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1. Given a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$, we consider the problem: Find $u>0$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
-\Delta u=u^{p} & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\
u=0 & \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega \\
u>0 & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega
\end{array}
$$

Prove that there is a solution when $1<p+1<p^{*}$, where $p^{*}=\infty$ if $n \leq 2$ and $p^{*}<\frac{2 n}{n-2}$ when $n>2$.
Consider the minimization problem

$$
I=\operatorname{Min}_{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d \mathbf{x}}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p+1} d \mathbf{x}}=\operatorname{Min}_{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)} J(u)
$$

The functional $J(u)$ to be minimized is positive, thus, bounded from below. Consider a minimizing sequence $u_{n} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, such that $J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow I$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The sequence $v_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p+1}}}$ is a minimizing sequence satisfying also $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{p+1}}=1$. Then, $\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x} \rightarrow I$ implies that $v_{n}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $v_{n}$ tends weakly in $H_{0}^{1}$ to a limit $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. By Sobolev injections, $v_{n}$ is compact in $L^{p+1}, p+1<p^{*}$, thus $v \in L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ and $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{p+1}}=1 \rightarrow\|v\|_{L^{p+1}}=1$. By lower semicontinuity of weak convergence, we have $J(v) \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(v_{n}\right)=I$. Since $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we have $I \leq J(v)$. Therefore, $I=J(v)$ and the minimum is attained at $v$. Moreover, we can replace $v$ by $|v|$ and $J(|v|) \leq I(v)$, so that $w=|v| \geq 0$ is a minimizer too and $I=J(w) . w \neq 0$ because $\|w\|_{L^{p+1}}=1$.
Now, $J(w) \leq J(w+t r), r \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ for real $t$. An asymptotic expansion first for $t>0$ then for $t<0$ leads to

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla w \nabla r d \mathbf{x}=c \int_{\Omega} w^{p} r d \mathbf{x}
$$

for all $r \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and some $c>0$. This implies $-\Delta w=c w^{p}$. Setting $u=c^{-1 /(p-1)} w$, we get $-\Delta u=u^{p}$ and $u \geq 0, u \neq 0$. By the strong maximum principle, $u>0$.

If $p+1=p^{*}=\frac{2 n}{n-2}$ and $n>2$ existence depends on the geometry of $\Omega$, see [1].
2. Given a solution $u \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{+}, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2, \infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{+}, L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ of

$$
u_{t t}-\Delta u+\alpha\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t}=0 \quad \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{+}, H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)
$$

with $\alpha>0,1<p$ and $p+1<p^{*}$, we set

$$
E(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(\mathbf{x}, t)|^{2} d \mathbf{x}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}(\mathbf{x}, t)\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x}
$$

Then, for some positive constant $C(E(0))$, we have

$$
E(t) \leq C(E(0)) t^{-2 /(p-1)}, \quad t>0
$$

Proof taken from [2]. We set $\phi(t)=E^{(p-1) / 2} \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d \mathbf{x}$. Next, we differentiate with respect to $t$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{\prime}(t)= & -\alpha \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p+1} d \mathbf{x} \leq 0 \\
\phi^{\prime}(t)= & E(t)^{(p-1) / 2}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x}-\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d \mathbf{x}-\alpha \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t} u d \mathbf{x}\right) \\
& +\frac{p-1}{2} E(t)^{(p-3) / 2} E^{\prime}(t) \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d \mathbf{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

First, notice that $E(t) \leq E(0)$ and $-\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d \mathbf{x}=-2 E(t)+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x}$. Moreover,

$$
E(t)^{-1}\left|\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d \mathbf{x}\right| \leq E(t)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} d \mathbf{x}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x}\right) \leq C(\Omega)
$$

for some positive constant $C(\Omega)$ because Poincaré's inequality implies $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} d \mathbf{x} \leq \frac{\lambda(\Omega)}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d \mathbf{x}$. As a consequence, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{\prime}(t) \leq & 2 E(t)^{(p-1) / 2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x}-\alpha E(t)^{(p-1) / 2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t} u d \mathbf{x} \\
& -2 E(t)^{(p+1) / 2}-\frac{p-1}{2} C(\Omega) E(0)^{(p-1) / 2} E^{\prime}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we set $\psi_{\varepsilon}(t)=\left(1+K_{1} \varepsilon\right) E(t)+\varepsilon \phi(t)$ with $K_{1}=\frac{p-1}{2} C(\Omega) E(0)^{(p-1) / 2}$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq & 2 \varepsilon E(t)^{(p-1) / 2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x}-\alpha \varepsilon E(t)^{(p-1) / 2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p+1} d \mathbf{x} \\
& -2 \varepsilon E(t)^{(p+1) / 2}-\alpha \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p+1} d \mathbf{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \operatorname{meas}(\Omega)^{(p-1) /(p+1)}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p+1}\right)^{2 /(p+1)}$. By Young's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \varepsilon E(t)^{\frac{(p-1)}{2}} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x} \leq 2 \varepsilon \operatorname{meas}(\Omega)^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}} E(t)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p+1}\right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \\
& \leq \varepsilon E(t)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}+\varepsilon \delta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive $\delta$ depending on $\Omega$.
Using Sobolev injections for $p+1<p^{*}$ we find

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t} u d \mathbf{x} \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p+1} d \mathbf{x}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\|u\|_{L^{p+1}} \leq S(\Omega)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}
$$

Notice that $\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}} \leq 2 E(t)$. By Young's inequality again

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\varepsilon \alpha E(t)^{(p-1) / 2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p-1} u_{t} u d \mathbf{x} \leq \varepsilon \alpha E(t)^{(p-1) / 2} S(\Omega)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}} \\
\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p+1}+\varepsilon \eta(\varepsilon) E(t)^{(p+1) / 2}
\end{array}
$$

where $\eta>0$ depends on $E(0), \Omega, \alpha$ and $\varepsilon$, and tends to zero as $\varepsilon$ tends to zero. Adding up, we get

$$
\psi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\varepsilon \delta\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{t}\right|^{p+1}+\varepsilon(-1+\eta(\varepsilon)) E(t)^{(p+1) / 2}
$$

On the other hand, for $\varepsilon$ small enough,

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} E(t) \leq\left(1-K_{2} \varepsilon\right) E(t) \leq \psi_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq\left(1+K_{2} \varepsilon\right) \leq 2 E(t)
$$

Choosing $\varepsilon$ small enough, we find

$$
\psi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\frac{\varepsilon}{4} E^{(p+1) / 2} \leq-\frac{\varepsilon K_{3}}{4} \psi_{\varepsilon}(t)^{(p+1) / 2}
$$

Integrating the inequality we find $E(t) \leq C(E(0)) t^{-2 /(p-1)}$ for $t>0$.
3. Prove that the function $v(\mathbf{x}, t)=|t|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \phi(\mathbf{x}), 1<p<p^{*}-1$, where

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
-\Delta \phi=\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p}|\phi|^{p-1} \phi & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\
\phi=0 & \mathrm{x} \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}
$$

is a solution of the backward parabolic problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta v+\left|v_{t}\right|^{p-1} v_{t} & =0 \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \times(-\infty, 0] \\
v & =0
\end{aligned} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega \times(-\infty, 0] .
$$

Proof taken from [3, 8]. We see that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
v_{t}=-\frac{p}{p-1}|t|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \phi(\mathbf{x}), \\
\left|v_{t}\right|^{p-1} v_{t}=-\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p}|t|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}|\phi(\mathbf{x})|^{p-1} \phi(\mathbf{x}), \\
-\Delta v=-|t|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \Delta \phi(\mathbf{x})=|t|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p}|\phi(\mathbf{x})|^{p-1} \phi(\mathbf{x}),
\end{array}
$$

so that the equation is fulfilled. Existence of $\phi$ follows from critical point theory.
4. Consider the vorticity equation in two dimensions. Let $v=\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{u} \in$ $C\left((0, \infty) ; W^{1, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be the solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{t}-\Delta v+\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla v=0, & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \\
v(\mathbf{x}, 0)=v_{0}, & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

for a divergence free velocity field $u$ and an initial datum $v_{0} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$. Prove 1) that the mass $\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} v_{0} d \mathbf{x}$ does not change with time and 2) that $\|v(t)\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)} \leq C t^{-1+\frac{1}{p}}$ for $t>0$.
Proof taken from [4, 5]. Notice that $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla v=\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u} v)=0$. Integrating the equation, using the divergence theorem, and the fact that $v$ vanishes at infinity we get

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} v_{0} d \mathbf{x}=0
$$

The velocity vector is given by

$$
\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)=K * v(\mathbf{x}, t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} \frac{\left(-y_{2}, y_{1}\right)}{|\mathbf{y}|^{2}} v(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}, t) d \mathbf{y}
$$

where the kernel $K \in L^{2, \infty}$ and $\|K * v\|_{L^{r}} \leq\|K\|_{L^{2}, \infty}\|v\|_{L^{p}}$ for $r>2$, $1<p<2,1 / r=1 / p-1 / 2$.
Writing down the integral expression for the solution

$$
v(t)=G(t) * v_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \nabla G(t-s) *[v(s) \mathbf{K} * v(s)] d s
$$

where $G(t)$ stands for the heat kernel, and taking norms we find

$$
\|v(t)\|_{L^{p}}=\left\|G(t) * v_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}}+\int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla G(t-s) *[v(s) \mathbf{K} * v(s)]\|_{L^{p}} d s
$$

The integral terms decays faster than the rest, therefore

$$
\|v(t)\|_{L^{p}} \sim\left\|G(t) * v_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq C t^{-1+\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Recall that $G(t) * v_{0}$ is a solution of the heat equation with datum $v_{0}$ and it belongs to $L^{p}$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ for any $t>0$ if $v_{0} \in L^{1}$. Moreover, $\left\|G(t) * v_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq\|G(t)\|_{L^{p}}\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}}$ and $\|G(t)\|_{L^{p}}=C t^{-1+\frac{1}{p}}$.
5. Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a solution of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in two dimensions with initial datum $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in L^{1} \cap L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}\right)=0$. Then $\mathbf{u}(t) \in L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ for $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $t>0$.
Proof taken from [6, 10]. The theory of classical solutions with $L^{2}$ data, that is, $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ guarantees that $\mathbf{u}(t) \in L^{\infty}\left([0, \infty) ; L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\right)$ and is bounded by $\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}$. By taking the divergence of Navier-Stokes equations

$$
\mathbf{u}_{t}-\Delta \mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}=\nabla p, \quad \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u})=0
$$

we get an equation for the pressure

$$
-\Delta p=\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u})
$$

The pressure is then the convolution $p=E_{2} * \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u})$, where $E_{2}$ is the fundamental solution of $-\Delta$ in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$, up to a function of time. Then $\mathbf{u}$ satisfies the integral equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}(t)= & G(t) * \mathbf{u}_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \partial_{i} G(t-s) * u_{i} \mathbf{u}(s) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \partial_{i} G(t-s) * \partial_{j} \nabla E_{2} * u_{i} u_{j}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\partial_{i}$ denotes partial derivative with respect to $x_{i}, u_{i}$ are components of $\mathbf{u}$ and summation with respect to repeated indices is intended. Since $u \in L^{1}, G(t) * u_{0} \in L^{q}$ for all $q>1$ and $t>0$. On the other hand, $u(s) \in L^{2}$ implies that $u_{i} u_{j}(s) \in L^{1}$. Moreover,
$\left\|\int_{0}^{t} \partial_{i} G(t-s) * u_{i} u_{j}(s) d s\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-1+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d s \leq C t^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}}$
for $1 \leq q<2$. Thus, the first integral belongs to $L^{q}$ for $1 \leq q<2$. Let us consider now the second integral. Since $\partial_{i} G(t)$ belongs to the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ and $\partial_{j} \nabla E_{2}$ is a Calderon-Zygmund kernel, we conclude that $\partial_{i} G(t-s) * \partial_{j} \nabla E_{2} \in L^{1}$ and

$$
\left\|\partial_{i} G(t-s) * \partial_{j} \nabla E_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq C \| \partial_{i} G(t-s)_{\mathcal{H}^{1}}<C(t-s)^{\frac{-1}{2}}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\|\int_{0}^{t} \partial_{i} G(t-s) * \partial_{j} \nabla E_{2} * u_{i} u_{j}(s) d s\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq \int_{0}^{t} C(t-s)^{\frac{-1}{2}}\|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d s \leq C t^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

In an analogous way, since $\partial_{j} \nabla E_{2}$ is a Calderon-Zygmund kernel, we conclude that $\partial_{i} G(t-s) * \partial_{j} \nabla E_{2} \in L^{q}, 1<q<\infty$ and

$$
\left\|\partial_{i} G(t-s) * \partial_{j} \nabla E_{2}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C\left\|\partial_{i} G(t-s)\right\|_{L^{q}}<C(t-s)^{-1+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\int_{0}^{t} \partial_{i} G(t-s) * \partial_{j} \nabla E_{2} * u_{i} u_{j}(s) d s\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq \int_{0}^{t} C(t-s)^{-1+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d s \\
\leq C t^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}}
\end{array}
$$

for $1<q \leq 2$.
6. Consider the convection diffusion equation

$$
u_{t}-\Delta u+\partial_{y}\left(|u|^{q-1} u\right)=0
$$

set in $\mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{+}$, with $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y\right)$. Assume that $V$ is a solution with initial datum $V_{0} \in\left(L^{1} \cap L^{\infty}\right)\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and $v$ is a solution with initial datum $v_{0} \in\left(L^{1} \cap L^{\infty}\right)\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Assume that

$$
v, V \in C^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left((0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^{2}\right)
$$

for every $T>0$. Then, $v \leq V$.
Proof taken from [7, 9]. The function $w=v-V$ satisfies

$$
w_{t}-\Delta w+\partial_{y}\left(|v|^{q-1} v\right)-\partial_{y}\left(|V|^{q-1} V\right) \leq 0
$$

and $w(0) \leq 0$. Multiplying the inequality by $w^{+}$and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int \frac{\left|w^{+}(t)\right|^{2}}{2} d \mathbf{x}+\int\left|\nabla w^{+}(t)\right|^{2} d \mathbf{x} \leq \int a w^{+}(t) \partial_{y} w^{+}(t) d \mathbf{x}
$$

where $a(\mathbf{x}, t)=\frac{|v|^{q-1} v-|V|^{q-1} V}{v-V}$ is a bounded function. Integrating in $t$ and applying Young's inequality we get
$\frac{\left\|w^{+}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}}{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla w^{+}(s)\right\|_{2}^{2} d s \leq K_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|w^{+}(s)\right\|_{2}^{2} d s+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla w^{+}(s)\right\|_{2}^{2} d s$
for $\varepsilon$ as small as needed. Notice that $w^{+}(0)=0$. Gronwall's inequality for

$$
\left\|w^{+}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 K_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|w^{+}(s)\right\|_{2}^{2} d s
$$

implies $w^{+}(t)=0$.
7. A line vortex lying along a curve $\Gamma$ in an incompressible inviscid and irrotational fluid is a solution of the following equations

$$
\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u})=0, \quad \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{u})=\omega_{0} \delta_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x})
$$

where $\mathbf{u}$ is the fluid velocity, $\omega_{0}=2 \pi \gamma$ is the circulation around the vortex and $\gamma$ is the vortex strength. $\delta_{\Gamma}$ is a Dirac function supported at the curve $\Gamma$. Express this solution in terms of a vector stream function.
Taken from [11]. We define a vector stream function $\mathbf{U}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ as the solution of $\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{U})=0, \operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{U})=\mathbf{u}$. Then $-\Delta \mathbf{U}=\omega_{0} \delta_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x})$. Using the Green function for the Laplacian in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ we get $\mathbf{U}=\frac{\omega_{0}}{4 \pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|} d \mathbf{x}^{\prime}$.
8. We know that the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{t}-\Delta_{v} g+\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{x} g+\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \nabla_{v} g=0, & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{3}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^{3}, t \in \mathbf{R}^{+}, \\
g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, 0)=g_{0}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}), & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{3}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $g_{0} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{3} \times \mathbf{R}^{3}\right)$ and bounded and Lipschitz $\mathbf{E}$ admits fundamental solutions $\Gamma_{\mathbf{E}}$. The solution of the initial value problem can be expressed as

$$
g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t)=\int \Gamma_{\mathbf{E}}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t ; \mathbf{x}^{\prime}, \mathbf{v}^{\prime}, 0\right) d \mathbf{x}^{\prime} d \mathbf{v}^{\prime}
$$

and $\Gamma_{\mathbf{E}}$ satisfies the estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\Gamma_{\mathbf{E}}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t ; \mathbf{x}^{\prime}, \mathbf{v}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C\left(\|\mathbf{E}\|_{L_{\mathbf{x}, t}^{\infty}}, T\right) G\left(\mathbf{x} / 2, \mathbf{v} / 2, t ; \mathbf{x}^{\prime} / 2, \mathbf{v}^{\prime} / 2, t^{\prime}\right) \\
&\left|\partial_{v_{i}} \Gamma_{\mathbf{E}}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t ; \mathbf{x}^{\prime}, \mathbf{v}^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C\left(\|\mathbf{E}\|_{L_{\mathbf{x}, t}^{\infty}}, T\right) \frac{G\left(\mathbf{x} / 2, \mathbf{v} / 2, t ; \mathbf{x}^{\prime} / 2, \mathbf{v}^{\prime} / 2, t^{\prime}\right)}{\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G$ is the fundamental solution for the problem with $\mathbf{E}=0$. Extend these results to problems for which $\mathbf{E}$ is just bounded.
Taken from [12]. We regularize $\mathbf{E}$ by convolution and consider $\mathbf{E}_{\delta}=$ $\mathbf{E} * \eta_{\delta}$ where $\eta_{\delta}$ is a mollifying family of functions. Then $\mathbf{E}_{\delta}$ are bounded and Lipschitz, so for each of them we can construct solutions $g_{\delta}$ of the initial value problem and have estimates on the fundamental solutions $\Gamma_{\delta}$. Moreover, $\left\|\mathbf{E}_{\delta}\right\|_{L_{x, t}^{\infty}} \leq\|\mathbf{E}\|_{L_{x, t}^{\infty}}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\delta} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.
Since $\Gamma_{\delta}$ is bounded (locally in t) in any $L_{x v t}^{p}$ space, a subsequence converges weakly (locally in t) in any $L_{x v t}^{p}$ (weakly ${ }^{*}$ if $p=\infty$ ) to a function $\Gamma_{\mathbf{E}}$ and we can pass to the limit in the right-hand side of the integral expressions for the solutions $g_{\delta}$ in terms of $\Gamma_{\delta}$.
Moreover, the integral expressions imply that $g_{\delta}$ are uniformly bounded in any space $L_{x v t}^{p}$ with respect to $\delta$ and locally in t. Therefore, $g_{\delta}$ converges weakly (locally in t) in any $L_{x v t}^{p}$ space to a function $g$ and their derivatives also converge in the sense of distributions.
In the distribution sense, the derivatives of $\Gamma_{\delta}$ with respect to $\mathbf{v}$ converge weakly to the derivatives of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{E}}$. We can also pass to the limit in the inequalities satisfied by $\Gamma_{\delta}$ and establish similar inequalities for $\Gamma_{\mathbf{E}}$ because $\left\|\mathbf{E}_{\delta}\right\|_{L_{x, t}^{\infty}} \leq\|\mathbf{E}\|_{L_{x, t}^{\infty}}$.
Now, multiplying the differential equation satisfied by $g_{\delta}$ by $g_{\delta}$ we get a uniform $L_{x v t}^{2}$ bound on $\nabla_{v} g_{\delta}$. If we multiply the equation by $|\mathbf{v}|^{2}$ we get a uniform $L_{x v t}^{1}$ bound on $|\mathbf{v}|^{2} g_{\delta}$.
Multiplying the differential equations satisfied by $g_{\delta}$ by test functions, we can pass to the limit in all the terms of the weak formulation of the equation except in $\mathbf{E}_{\delta} \nabla_{v} g_{\delta}$ with the convergences already established. The passage to the limit in this term is technical, see details in [12]. Finally, $g$ is a solution for the initial value problem with bounded $\mathbf{E}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbf{E}}$ an associated fundamental solution.
9. Prove that the solution of

$$
z_{t}-\Delta z=\mathbf{d} \cdot \nabla\left(G^{q}\right), \quad z(0)=0
$$

can be calculated in terms of heat kernels.
Taken from [19]. Set $z=\mathbf{d} \cdot \nabla g$ where $g_{t}-\Delta g=G^{q}, g(0)=0$, that is,

$$
g(t)=\int_{0}^{t} G(t-s) * G^{q}(s) d s
$$

10. Prove that the solution $\Phi$ of the equation

$$
-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} \Phi(x)=n_{D}(x)-\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{d k}{1+\exp (\epsilon(k)-\Phi(x))}
$$

with $\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} \frac{d k d x}{1+\exp (\epsilon(k)-\Phi(x))}=a$ fixed and $\frac{d \Phi}{d x} \in L^{2}$ is unique.
Taken from [21]. Assume that there are two solutions $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ satisfying such conditions. Set $U=\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{2}$. Then, $\frac{d U}{d x} \in L^{2}$ and

$$
\frac{d^{2} U}{d x^{2}}=\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{d k}{1+\exp \left(\epsilon(k)-\Phi_{1}(x)\right)}-\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{d k}{1+\exp \left(\epsilon(k)-\Phi_{2}(x)\right)}
$$

Let us assume first that $U(x)>0$ everywhere. Then

$$
a=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} \frac{d k d x}{1+\exp \left(\epsilon(k)-\Phi_{1}(x)\right)}>\int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} \frac{d k d x}{1+\exp \left(\epsilon(k)-\Phi_{2}(x)\right)}=a
$$

which is impossible.
Let us assume now that there is a unique point $x_{0}$ at which $U\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. We take $U(x)<0$ for $x<x_{0}$ and $U(x)>0$ for $x>x_{0}$. Thus, $\frac{d^{2} U}{d x^{2}}<0$ if $x<x_{0}$ and $\frac{d^{2} U}{d x^{2}}<0$ if $x>x_{0}$. Then, $\frac{d U}{d x}$ is decreasing if $x<x_{0}$ and $\frac{d U}{d x}$ is increasing if $x>x_{0}$. On the other hand,

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\frac{d U}{d x}\right)^{2} d x=\int_{-\infty}^{x^{*}}\left(\frac{d U}{d x}\right)^{2} d x+\int_{x^{*}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{d U}{d x}\right)^{2} d x
$$

is finite. If there exists $x^{*}$ such that $\frac{d U\left(x^{*}\right)}{d x}>0$ and $x^{*}<x_{0}$ then $\int_{-\infty}^{x^{*}}\left(\frac{d U}{d x}\right)^{2} d x>\left(\frac{d U\left(x^{*}\right)}{d x}\right)^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{x^{*}} d x=\infty$. This is impossible, so that $\frac{d U}{d x} \leq 0$ for all $x$ and $U$ is decreasing. This contradicts our assumption on $x_{0}$. Therefore, we should have at least to points $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ at which $U$ vanishes.
Let $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ be such that $U\left(x_{0}\right)=U\left(x_{1}\right)=0$. If $x_{M}$ is such that $U\left(x_{M}\right)=\max \left\{U(x), x_{0} \leq x \leq x_{1}\right\}>0$, then $\frac{d^{2} U\left(x_{M}\right)}{d x^{2}} \leq 0$ because the maximum is attained at an interior point. However,
$0 \geq \frac{d^{2} U\left(x_{M}\right)}{d x^{2}}=\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{d k}{1+\exp \left(\epsilon(k)-\Phi_{1}\left(x_{M}\right)\right)}-\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{d k}{1+\exp \left(\epsilon(k)-\Phi_{2}\left(x_{M}\right)\right)}>0$,
since $U\left(x_{M}\right)>0$. Hence, $\max \left\{U(x), x_{0} \leq x \leq x_{1}\right\}=0$. In an analogous way, we conclude that $U\left(x_{m}\right)=\min \left\{U(x), x_{0} \leq x \leq x_{1}\right\}=0$. Therefore, $U=0$ on $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$.
Now we set $x_{0}=\min \{x \mid U(x)=0\}$ and $x_{1}=\max \{x \mid U(x)=0\}$. Then, either $U(x)<0$ for $x<x_{0}$ and $U(x)>0$ for $x>x_{1}$. Repeating the above arguments, we would obtain $x^{\prime} \notin\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$ such that $U\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0$. This contradicts the definition of $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$. Therefore, $U=0$ everywhere and $\Phi_{1}=\Phi_{2}$.
11. Consider the hyperbolic problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} E}{\partial x \partial t}+A \frac{\partial E}{\partial t}+B \frac{\partial E}{\partial x}+C \frac{\partial J}{\partial t}+D=0, & x \in(0, L), t>0 \\
E(x, 0)=0, & x \in(0, L) \\
E(0, t)=\rho J(t), & t \geq 0 \\
\int_{0}^{L} E(x, t) d x=\phi, & t \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho, \phi, L$ are positive and $A, B, C, D$ are bounded functions, $A$ and $B$ positive, while $C$ is negative. What would be an adequate numerical scheme to solve this problem?
Hyperbolic problems are typically discretized in explicit ways. However, in this case i) we have an integral constraint which couples all the values at each time level, ii) the hyperbolic operator is given in non characteristic form. We use forward finite differences of first order for first order time derivatives of $E$ and $J$. A second order approximation for the space derivative of $E$ because the use of central differences leads to instabilities. The second order derivarive $E_{x t}$ is approximated combining the space and time derivative approximation just described. At $x=1$ we use for the first order spatial derivative of $E$ a first order backward difference formula. The integral constraint is discretized by means of a composite trapezoidal rule. For a proof of the convergence and stability properties of the scheme see [16].
12. Construct solutions of the scalar conservation law $w_{t}+(c(x) w)_{0}=0$ with $w(0)=w_{0}$.
Taken from [17]. We set $v=c w$. Then, $v_{t}+c v_{x}=0$. Thus, $v$ is constant along the characteristic curves $x(t)$ solution of $x^{\prime}(t)=c(x(t)), x(0)=x_{0}$, because

$$
\frac{d}{d t} v(x(t), t)=v_{x}(x(t), t) x^{\prime}(t)+v_{t}(x(t), t)=0
$$

Given $(x, t)$ we may be able to calculate $x_{0}(x, t)$ such that the characteristic curve with initial value $x_{0}(x, t)$ satisfies $x(t)=x$. Then $v(x, t)=$ $v(x(t), t)=v_{0}\left(x_{0}(x, t)\right)$ and $w(x, t)=\frac{v_{0}\left(x_{0}(x, t)\right)}{c\left(x_{0}(x, t)\right)}$. The feasibility of this procedure will depend on the function $c$.
13. Consider the differential difference equation $u_{n}^{\prime}(t)=u_{n+1}-2 u_{n}+u_{n-1}-$ $A \sin \left(u_{n}\right)$, where $A$ is a positive parameter. Prove that there is a monotone solution such that $u_{-\infty}=0$ and $u_{\infty}=2 \pi$ with $u_{0}=\pi$ and $u_{n}-\pi=\pi-u_{-n}$ for all $n$.
Taken from [14]. We set $u_{0}=\pi$ and vary $u_{1}$ in the interval $(\pi, 2 \pi)$ to find the desired solution. The condition $u_{0}=\pi$ ensures that $u_{n}-\pi$ is an odd function of $n$. We first choose $\epsilon>0$ so that $-A \sin (u)>\epsilon(u-\pi)$ for $\pi<u \leq \frac{3}{2} \pi$. Then, we choose $N$ large so that $\epsilon(N-1)>1$. Next, we choose $u_{1}-\pi$ small so that $u_{j} \leq \frac{3}{2} \pi$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$. We wish to show that under these conditions, the finite sequence $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right\}$ is not monotone increasing. It is convenient to let $U_{n}=u_{n}-\pi$. If $\left\{U_{1}, \ldots, U_{N}\right\}$ is monotone increasing, then $2 \leq j \leq N$ and $U_{j} \leq(2-\epsilon) U_{j-1}-U_{j-2}$. Adding these inequalities results in $U_{N}-U_{N-1} \leq \epsilon \sum_{i=2}^{-N-1} U_{i}+(1-\varepsilon) U_{1}$. Since we assumed that $U_{i} \geq U_{1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq N$, our lower bound on $N$ then shows that $U_{N}<U_{N-1}$, a contradiction. Therefore, we have shown that for sufficiently small $U_{1}$, the sequence starts to decrease before crossing $\pi$. On the other hand, we have simply to choose $U_{1}>\pi$ to have the sequence cross $\pi$ before decreasing. Note that if the sequence increases until some first $N$ such that $U_{N}=\pi$, then $U_{N+1}>\pi$. If, finally, there is an $N$ such that the sequence increases up to $n=N$, with $U_{N}<\pi$, and $U_{N}=U_{N+1}$, then $U_{N+2}<U_{N+1}$ so that the sequence decreases before reaching $\pi$.
14. Let $U_{i}(t)$ and $L_{i}(t), i \in \mathbf{Z}$ be differentiable sequences such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{i}^{\prime}(t)-d_{1}\left(U_{i}\right)\left(U_{i+1}-U_{i}\right)-d_{2}\left(U_{i}\right)\left(U_{i-1}-U_{i}\right)-f\left(U_{i}\right) \geq \\
& L_{i}^{\prime}(t)-d_{1}\left(L_{i}\right)\left(L_{i+1}-L_{i}\right)-d_{2}\left(L_{i}\right)\left(L_{i-1}-L_{i}\right)-f\left(L_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $U_{i}(0)<L_{i}(0)$ for all $i$, where $f, d_{1}>0$ and $d_{2}>0$ are Lipschitz continuous functions. Then, $U_{i}(t)>L_{i}(t)$ for all $t>0$ and $i \in \mathbf{Z}$.
Taken from [15]. By contradiction, set $W_{i}(t)=U_{i}(t)-L_{i}(t)$. At $t=0$, $W_{i}(0)>0$ for all $i$. Let us assume that $W_{i}$ changes sign after a certain minimum time $t_{1}>0$, at some value of $i, i=k$. Thus $W_{k}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$ and $W_{k}^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$, as $t \rightarrow t_{1}$. We shall show that this is contradictory. At $t=t_{1}$, there must be an index m (equal or different from k ) such that $W_{m}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$, while its next neighbor $W_{m+j}\left(t_{1}\right)>0(j$ is either 1 or -1$)$, and $W_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$ for all indices between $k$ and $m$. For otherwise $W_{k}$ should be identically 0 for all $k$. The differential inequality implies

$$
W_{m}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \geq d_{1}\left(U_{m}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) W_{m+1}\left(t_{1}\right)+d_{2}\left(U_{m}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) W_{m-1}\left(t_{1}\right)>0
$$

This contradicts the fact that $W_{m}^{\prime}(t)$ should have been nonpositive as $t \rightarrow t_{1}$, for $W_{m}\left(t_{1}\right)$ to have become zero in the first place.
15. Consider the equation

$$
U^{\prime}(t)=z_{1}(F / A)+z_{3}(F / A)-2 U(t)-A \sin (U(t))+F
$$

for $|F|<A, A \gg 1$ where $z_{1}(F / A)<z_{2}(F / A)<z_{3}(F / A)$ are three consecutive solutions of the equation $\sin (U(t))=F / A$ in one period. Prove that there is a critical value $F_{c}$ such that this equation has three stable constant solutions if $0 \leq F<F_{c}$ but one if $F>F_{c}$. Characterize $F_{c}$.
Taken from [18]. When $F=0, z_{1}(0)=0, z_{2}(0)=\pi$ and $z_{3}(0)=2 \pi$. We need to solve

$$
2 z+A \sin (z)=F+2 \arcsin (F / A)+2 \pi .
$$

As we increase $F$ from 0 , we keep on finding three solutions $z_{1}(F / A)<$ $z_{2}(F / A)<z_{3}(F / A)$ continuing these branches until $F+2 \arcsin (F / A)+2 \pi$ hits the first local maximum of $2 z+A \sin (z)$ (remember that $A$ is large). The value $F_{c}$ at which this happens is characterized by the existence of a double zero, a value $u_{0}$ such that $2+A \cos \left(u_{0}\right)=0$ and $2 u_{0}+A \sin \left(u_{0}\right)=$ $F_{c}+2 \arcsin \left(F_{c} / A\right)+2 \pi$. Then, $u_{0}=\arccos (-2 / A)$ and $F_{c}$ is the solution of $2 u_{0}(A)+A \sin \left(u_{0}(A)\right)=F_{c}+2 \arcsin \left(F_{c} / A\right)+2 \pi$. Below $F_{c}$ we have three zeroes, at $F_{c}$ two collapse, above $F_{c}$ the collapsing ones, $z_{1}(F / A)$ and $z_{2}(F / A)$ are lost.
$z_{1}(F / A)$ and $z_{3}(F / A)$ are stable while they exist. This picture corresponds to a saddle node bifurcation in the system, see [18].
16. The system of equations
$\frac{d E_{i}}{d t}+\frac{v\left(E_{i}\right)}{\nu}\left(E_{i}-E_{i-1}\right)-\frac{D\left(E_{i}\right)}{\nu}\left(E_{i+1}-2 E_{i}+E_{i-1}\right)=J-v\left(E_{i}\right)$,
for $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ admits traveling wave solutions of the form $E_{i}(t)=E(i-c t)$ propagating at constant velocity $c$ when the parameter $J$ is large enough. Here, $v, D$ are positive functions and $\nu>0$ is large. $v$ is a cubic, it grows from 0 to a local maximum, decreases to a positive minimum, and increases to infinity later. Justify that the wavefront velocity scales as $\left(J-J_{c}\right)^{1 / 2}$ where $J_{c}$ is the threshold for existence of travelling waves.
Taken from [20]. For $\nu$ large, we can construct stationary solutions, which can be approximated by

$$
E_{i} \sim z_{1}(J) \quad i<0, \quad E_{i} \sim z_{3}(J) \quad i>0
$$

for $|J|<J_{c}$, while $E_{0}$ solves
$J-v\left(E_{0}\right)-\frac{v\left(E_{0}\right)}{\nu}\left(E_{0}-z_{1}(J)\right)+\frac{D\left(E_{0}\right)}{\mu}\left(z_{3}(J)-2 E_{0}+z_{1}(J)\right)=0$,
where $z_{1}(J)<z_{2}(J)<z_{3}(J)$ are solutions of $J=v(z)$. At a value $J_{c}$, $z_{1}\left(J_{c}\right)=z_{2}\left(J_{c}\right)$ and these roots are lost for $J>J_{c}$, only $z_{3}(J)$ remains. The reduced equation
$\frac{d E_{0}}{d t}=J-v\left(E_{0}\right)-\frac{v\left(E_{0}\right)}{\nu}\left(E_{0}-z_{1}(J)\right)+\frac{D\left(E_{0}\right)}{\nu}\left(z_{3}(J)-2 E_{0}+z_{1}(J)\right)$,
for the middle point undergoes a saddle node bifurcation at $J_{c}$ with normal form

$$
\phi^{\prime}=\alpha\left(J_{c}\right)\left(J-J_{c}\right)+\beta\left(J_{c}\right) \phi^{2},
$$

which has solutions of the form $\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\left(J-J_{c}\right)} \tan \left(\sqrt{\alpha \beta\left(J-J_{c}\right)}\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right)$, blowing up when the argument of the tangent approaches $\pm \pi / 2$, over a time $t-t_{0} \sim \pi / \sqrt{\alpha \beta\left(J-J_{c}\right)}$.
Now, for $J>J_{c}$ but close to $J_{c}$, simulations show staircase like wave profiles, in which a point stays near the vanished equilibrium $E_{0}\left(J_{c}\right)$ until it moves following the tangent path given by the normal form and is replaced at position $E_{0}\left(J_{c}\right)$ by a neighbouring one, once and again. The wave velocity is the reciprocal of the time this transition takes $c(J, \nu) \sim \frac{\sqrt{\alpha \beta\left(J-J_{c}\right)}}{\pi}$, see [20] for details.
17. We consider a problem with noise

$$
\frac{d u_{i}}{d t}=u_{i+1}-2 u_{i}+u_{i-1}+F-A \sin \left(u_{i}\right)+\gamma \xi_{i}
$$

where $A>0$ is large and $\gamma>0$ characterizes the disorder strength and $\xi_{i}$ is a zero mean random variable taking values on an interval $(-1,1)$ with equal probability. Show that the speed of the wavefronts for $F$ larger than the critical value $F_{c}^{*}$ scales as $\left(F-F_{c}^{*}\right)^{3 / 2}$.
Taken from [22]. Setting $\gamma=0$, we can repeat with this equation the study done in the previous exercise and obtain a velocity that scales like $\left(F-F_{c}\right)^{1 / 2}$. However, when we add noise, for each realization of the noise, the threshold $F_{c}$ is shifted slightly up or down by the noise. The observed velocity will be the average of the velocities observed for a large number of realizations. If

$$
\left|c_{R}\right| \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\alpha\left(F_{c}\right) \beta\left(F_{c}\right)\left(F-F_{c}\right)+\gamma \beta\left(F_{c}\right) \xi_{0}}
$$

the average

$$
\bar{c}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{R=1}^{N}\left|c_{R}\right|=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(\alpha \beta\left(F-F_{c}\right)+\gamma \beta \xi\right)^{1 / 2} d \xi \sim\left(F-F_{c}^{*}\right)^{3 / 2}
$$

where the new critical field is $F_{c}^{*}=F_{c}-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$.
18. Let $u_{i, j}(t)$ be a solution to
$\frac{\partial u_{i, j}}{\partial t}=u_{i-1, j}-2 u_{i, j}+u_{i+1, j}+A\left(\sin \left(u_{i, j-1}-u_{i, j}\right) \sin \left(u_{i, j+1}-u_{i, j}\right)\right)$
for $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $u_{i, j}(0)=\alpha_{i, j}$ satisfying $\alpha_{i+1, j}-2 \alpha_{i, j}+\alpha_{i-1, j} \in l^{2}$, $\sin \left(\alpha_{i, j-1}-\alpha_{i, j}\right) \sin \left(\alpha_{i, j+1}-\alpha_{i, j}\right) \in l^{2}$ and $\alpha_{i, j} \in l_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}$. If $\left(u_{i, j+1}-u_{i, j}\right)(t) \in$
$\cap_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}+2 n \pi, \frac{\pi}{2}+2 n \pi\right]$ holds for all $i, j, t$, then $u_{i, j}(t)$ tends to a limit $s_{i, j}$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ which is a stationary solution of the problem.
Taken from [23]. Define $w_{i, j}(t)=u_{i, j}(t+\tau)-u_{i, j}(t)$ for some $\tau>0$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j}\left|w_{i, j}(t)\right|^{2}\right)=-\sum_{i, j}\left(\left(w_{i+1, j}-w_{i, j}\right)(t)\right)^{2}-\sum_{i, j}\left(\sin \left(\left(u_{i, j+1}-u_{i, j}\right)(t+\tau)\right)\right. \\
\left.-\sin \left(\left(u_{i, j+1}-u_{i, j}\right)(t)\right)\right)\left(\left(u_{i, j+1}-u_{i, j}\right)(t+\tau)-\left(u_{i, j+1}-u_{i, j}\right)(t)\right) \leq 0 .
\end{array}
$$

This implies $w_{i, j}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for every $i, j$. In conclusion, $u_{i, j}(t)$ tends to a limit $s_{i, j}$ which is a stationary solution of the problem.
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