

# Transference and restriction of Fourier multipliers on Orlicz spaces

**OSCAR BLASCO**

joint work with Ruya Üster (Istanbul University)

Universidad Valencia

*XX EARCO*

Encuentros de Análisis Real y Complejo

28 Mayo, 2022

## Multipliers on $L^p$

Recall that a bounded measurable function  $m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be a  $p$ -multiplier in  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$ , if

$$T_m(f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(x) \hat{f}(x) e^{ixy} dx$$

defines a bounded operator from  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Multipliers on $L^p$

Recall that a bounded measurable function  $m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be a  $p$ -multiplier in  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$ , if

$$T_m(f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(x) \hat{f}(x) e^{ixy} dx$$

defines a bounded operator from  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

Recall that a bounded sequence  $(m_n) \subset \mathbb{C}$  (respect. a bounded periodic function  $m : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ) is said to be a  $p$ -multiplier in  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z})$  (respect.  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{T})$ ),

## Multipliers on $L^p$

Recall that a bounded measurable function  $m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be a  $p$ -multiplier in  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$ , if

$$T_m(f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(x) \hat{f}(x) e^{ixy} dx$$

defines a bounded operator from  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

Recall that a bounded sequence  $(m_n) \subset \mathbb{C}$  (respect. a bounded periodic function  $m : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ) is said to be a  $p$ -multiplier in  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z})$  (respect.  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{T})$ ), if

$$T_m(f)(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} m_n \hat{f}(n) e^{int}$$

(respect.  $(T_m((\alpha_n)))_n = \left( \int_0^{2\pi} m(t) (\sum_k \alpha_k e^{ikt}) e^{int} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)_n$ )

## Multipliers on $L^p$

Recall that a bounded measurable function  $m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be a  $p$ -multiplier in  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$ , if

$$T_m(f)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(x) \hat{f}(x) e^{ixy} dx$$

defines a bounded operator from  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

Recall that a bounded sequence  $(m_n) \subset \mathbb{C}$  (respect. a bounded periodic function  $m : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ) is said to be a  $p$ -multiplier in  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z})$  (respect.  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{T})$ ), if

$$T_m(f)(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} m_n \hat{f}(n) e^{int}$$

(respect.  $(T_m((\alpha_n)))_n = \left( \int_0^{2\pi} m(t) (\sum_k \alpha_k e^{ikt}) e^{int} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)_n$ ) defines a bounded operator from  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$  into  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$  (respect. from  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$  into  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ .)

## Transference and restriction

Let  $m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous and bounded. Assume that  $m \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Transference and restriction

Let  $m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous and bounded. Assume that  $m \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Consider the periodic extension of its restriction to  $[0, 2\pi)$ , that is  $\tilde{m}(t) = m(t - 2\pi[t/2\pi])$ . **Does it hold that  $\tilde{m} \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z})$ ?**

## Transference and restriction

Let  $m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous and bounded. Assume that  $m \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Consider the periodic extension of its restriction to  $[0, 2\pi)$ , that is  $\tilde{m}(t) = m(t - 2\pi[t/2\pi])$ . **Does it hold that  $\tilde{m} \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z})$ ?**  
Let  $m_n = m(n)$ . **Does it hold that  $(m_n) \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{T})$ ?**



## Transference and restriction

Let  $m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous and bounded. Assume that  $m \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$ .

Consider the periodic extension of its restriction to  $[0, 2\pi)$ , that is  $\tilde{m}(t) = m(t - 2\pi[t/2\pi])$ . **Does it hold that  $\tilde{m} \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z})$ ?**

Let  $m_n = m(n)$ . **Does it hold that  $(m_n) \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{T})$ ?**

K. DeLeeuw, (1965) YES

## Transference and restriction

Let  $m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous and bounded. Assume that  $m \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Consider the periodic extension of its restriction to  $[0, 2\pi)$ , that is  $\tilde{m}(t) = m(t - 2\pi[t/2\pi])$ . **Does it hold that  $\tilde{m} \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z})$ ?**

Let  $m_n = m(n)$ . **Does it hold that  $(m_n) \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{T})$ ?**

K. DeLeeuw, (1965) YES

Tool (Use the Bohr group  $\mathbf{D}$ , that is  $\mathbb{R}$  with the discrete topology.

$$\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbf{D})$$

## Transference and restriction

Let  $m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous and bounded. Assume that  $m \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Consider the periodic extension of its restriction to  $[0, 2\pi)$ , that is  $\tilde{m}(t) = m(t - 2\pi[t/2\pi])$ . **Does it hold that  $\tilde{m} \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z})$ ?**

Let  $m_n = m(n)$ . **Does it hold that  $(m_n) \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{T})$ ?**

K. DeLeeuw, (1965) YES

Tool (Use the Bohr group  $\mathbf{D}$ , that is  $\mathbb{R}$  with the discrete topology.

$$\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbf{D})$$

**Aim: Similar questions for multipliers between Orlicz spaces**

## Groups

Throughout the paper  $(G, \cdot)$  denotes a locally compact abelian group,

## Groups

Throughout the paper  $(G, \cdot)$  denotes a locally compact abelian group,  $\hat{G}$  the dual group of  $G$  and

## Groups

Throughout the paper  $(G, \cdot)$  denotes a locally compact abelian group,  $\hat{G}$  the dual group of  $G$  and  $m_G$  stands for the Haar measure.

## Groups

Throughout the paper  $(G, \cdot)$  denotes a locally compact abelian group,  $\hat{G}$  the dual group of  $G$  and  $m_G$  stands for the Haar measure.

**Examples to be used:**  $\mathbb{R}$  for the real line,  $\mathbf{D}$  for the  $\mathbb{R}$  with the discrete topology,  $\mathbb{T}$  for the unit circle and  $\mathbb{Z}$  for the integers.

## Groups

Throughout the paper  $(G, \cdot)$  denotes a locally compact abelian group,  $\hat{G}$  the dual group of  $G$  and  $m_G$  stands for the Haar measure.

**Examples to be used:**  $\mathbb{R}$  for the real line,  $\mathbf{D}$  for the  $\mathbb{R}$  with the discrete topology,  $\mathbb{T}$  for the unit circle and  $\mathbb{Z}$  for the integers.

We write

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = \int_G f(x) \gamma^{-1}(x) dm_G(x)$$

for  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$  whenever  $f \in L^1(G)$ .



## Groups

Throughout the paper  $(G, \cdot)$  denotes a locally compact abelian group,  $\hat{G}$  the dual group of  $G$  and  $m_G$  stands for the Haar measure.

**Examples to be used:**  $\mathbb{R}$  for the real line,  $\mathbf{D}$  for the  $\mathbb{R}$  with the discrete topology,  $\mathbb{T}$  for the unit circle and  $\mathbb{Z}$  for the integers.

We write

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = \int_G f(x) \gamma^{-1}(x) dm_G(x)$$

for  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$  whenever  $f \in L^1(G)$ .

Given a bounded measurable function  $m$  defined on  $G$  we write

$$T_m(f)(\gamma) = \int_G m(x) \hat{f}(x) \gamma(x) dm_G(x), \quad \gamma \in \hat{G}. \quad (1)$$

for any  $f \in A(\hat{G}) = \{f : \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \hat{f} \in L^1(G)\}$ .

## Groups

Throughout the paper  $(G, \cdot)$  denotes a locally compact abelian group,  $\hat{G}$  the dual group of  $G$  and  $m_G$  stands for the Haar measure.

**Examples to be used:**  $\mathbb{R}$  for the real line,  $\mathbf{D}$  for the  $\mathbb{R}$  with the discrete topology,  $\mathbb{T}$  for the unit circle and  $\mathbb{Z}$  for the integers.

We write

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = \int_G f(x) \gamma^{-1}(x) dm_G(x)$$

for  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$  whenever  $f \in L^1(G)$ .

Given a bounded measurable function  $m$  defined on  $G$  we write

$$T_m(f)(\gamma) = \int_G m(x) \hat{f}(x) \gamma(x) dm_G(x), \quad \gamma \in \hat{G}. \quad (1)$$

for any  $f \in A(\hat{G}) = \{f : \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \hat{f} \in L^1(G)\}$ .

## Orlicz spaces

Given a Young function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , that is convex,  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x) = \infty$ , we write

$$\rho_\Phi(f) = \int_G \Phi(|f(x)|) dm_G(x).$$

## Orlicz spaces

Given a Young function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , that is convex,  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x) = \infty$ , we write

$$\rho_\Phi(f) = \int_G \Phi(|f(x)|) dm_G(x).$$

Then the Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G)$  consists of the set of all measurable functions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\rho_\Phi(f/\lambda) < \infty$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

## Orlicz spaces

Given a Young function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , that is convex,  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x) = \infty$ , we write

$$\rho_\Phi(f) = \int_G \Phi(|f(x)|) dm_G(x).$$

Then the Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G)$  consists of the set of all measurable functions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\rho_\Phi(f/\lambda) < \infty$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Some equivalent norms:**

(Luxemburg norm)  $N_\Phi(f) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \rho_\Phi(f/\lambda) \leq 1\}$

## Orlicz spaces

Given a Young function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , that is convex,  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x) = \infty$ , we write

$$\rho_\Phi(f) = \int_G \Phi(|f(x)|) dm_G(x).$$

Then the Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G)$  consists of the set of all measurable functions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\rho_\Phi(f/\lambda) < \infty$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Some equivalent norms:**

(Luxemburg norm)  $N_\Phi(f) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \rho_\Phi(f/\lambda) \leq 1\}$

(Orlicz norm)  $\|f\|_\Phi = \sup\{\int_G |f(x)g(x)| dm_G(x) : \rho_\Psi(g) \leq 1\}$  where  $\Psi$  is the complementary Young function, i.e.

$\Psi(y) = \sup\{xy - \Phi(x) : x \geq 0\}$  for  $y \geq 0$ .

## Orlicz spaces

Given a Young function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , that is convex,  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x) = \infty$ , we write

$$\rho_\Phi(f) = \int_G \Phi(|f(x)|) dm_G(x).$$

Then the Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G)$  consists of the set of all measurable functions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\rho_\Phi(f/\lambda) < \infty$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Some equivalent norms:**

(Luxemburg norm)  $N_\Phi(f) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \rho_\Phi(f/\lambda) \leq 1\}$

(Orlicz norm)  $\|f\|_\Phi = \sup\{\int_G |f(x)g(x)| dm_G(x) : \rho_\Psi(g) \leq 1\}$  where  $\Psi$  is the complementary Young function, i.e.

$\Psi(y) = \sup\{xy - \Phi(x) : x \geq 0\}$  for  $y \geq 0$ .

(Amemiya norm)  $\|f\|_\Phi = \inf_{k>0} \frac{1}{k}(1 + \rho_\Phi(kf))$ .

## $\Delta_2$ -condition

A Young function  $\Phi$  is said to satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition (globally) if there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$\Phi(2x) \leq K\Phi(x), \quad x \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

A Young function  $\Phi$  is said to satisfy  $\nabla_2$ -condition (globally) if there exists a constant  $\ell > 1$  such that

$$\Phi(x) \leq \frac{1}{2\ell}\Phi(\ell x) \quad x \geq 0. \quad (3)$$



## $\Delta_2$ -condition

A Young function  $\Phi$  is said to satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition (globally) if there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$\Phi(2x) \leq K\Phi(x), \quad x \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

A Young function  $\Phi$  is said to satisfy  $\nabla_2$ -condition (globally) if there exists a constant  $\ell > 1$  such that

$$\Phi(x) \leq \frac{1}{2\ell}\Phi(\ell x) \quad x \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

## Multipliers

Given a bounded measurable function  $m$  defined on  $G$  and  $f \in A(\hat{G})$  we write

$$T_m(f)(\gamma) = \int_G m(x) \hat{f}(x) \gamma(x) dm_G(x), \quad \gamma \in \hat{G}. \quad (4)$$

## Multipliers

Given a bounded measurable function  $m$  defined on  $G$  and  $f \in A(\hat{G})$  we write

$$T_m(f)(\gamma) = \int_G m(x) \hat{f}(x) \gamma(x) dm_G(x), \quad \gamma \in \hat{G}. \quad (4)$$

Let  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  be Young functions, and let  $m$  be a bounded measurable function defined on  $G$ . The function  $m$  is said to be a  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier on  $G$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$N_{\Phi_2}(T_m(f)) \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(f) \quad (5)$$

for all  $f \in A(\hat{G})$ .

## Multipliers

Given a bounded measurable function  $m$  defined on  $G$  and  $f \in A(\hat{G})$  we write

$$T_m(f)(\gamma) = \int_G m(x) \hat{f}(x) \gamma(x) dm_G(x), \quad \gamma \in \hat{G}. \quad (4)$$

Let  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  be Young functions, and let  $m$  be a bounded measurable function defined on  $G$ . The function  $m$  is said to be a  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier on  $G$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$N_{\Phi_2}(T_m(f)) \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(f) \quad (5)$$

for all  $f \in A(\hat{G})$ .

We write  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(G)$  for the space of  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multipliers on  $G$ .

## Multipliers

Given a bounded measurable function  $m$  defined on  $G$  and  $f \in A(\hat{G})$  we write

$$T_m(f)(\gamma) = \int_G m(x) \hat{f}(x) \gamma(x) dm_G(x), \quad \gamma \in \hat{G}. \quad (4)$$

Let  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  be Young functions, and let  $m$  be a bounded measurable function defined on  $G$ . The function  $m$  is said to be a  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier on  $G$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$N_{\Phi_2}(T_m(f)) \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(f) \quad (5)$$

for all  $f \in A(\hat{G})$ .

We write  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(G)$  for the space of  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multipliers on  $G$ .

Whenever  $A(\hat{G})$  is dense in  $L^{\Phi_1}(\hat{G})$  we have that  $T_m$  extends to a bounded operator from  $L^{\Phi_1}(\hat{G})$  to  $L^{\Phi_2}(\hat{G})$  for any  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier  $m$ .

Moreover  $\|T_m\|_{L^{\Phi_1} \rightarrow L^{\Phi_2}} = \|m\|_{(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}$ .

## Multipliers

Given a bounded measurable function  $m$  defined on  $G$  and  $f \in A(\hat{G})$  we write

$$T_m(f)(\gamma) = \int_G m(x) \hat{f}(x) \gamma(x) dm_G(x), \quad \gamma \in \hat{G}. \quad (4)$$

Let  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  be Young functions, and let  $m$  be a bounded measurable function defined on  $G$ . The function  $m$  is said to be a  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier on  $G$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$N_{\Phi_2}(T_m(f)) \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(f) \quad (5)$$

for all  $f \in A(\hat{G})$ .

We write  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(G)$  for the space of  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multipliers on  $G$ .

Whenever  $A(\hat{G})$  is dense in  $L^{\Phi_1}(\hat{G})$  we have that  $T_m$  extends to a bounded operator from  $L^{\Phi_1}(\hat{G})$  to  $L^{\Phi_2}(\hat{G})$  for any  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier  $m$ .

Moreover  $\|T_m\|_{L^{\Phi_1} \rightarrow L^{\Phi_2}} = \|m\|_{(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}$ .

If  $\Phi$  is a Young function satisfying  $\Delta_2$  condition then  $A(G)$  is dense in  $L^{\Phi}(G)$ .

## Basic Examples

As usual we denote  $\hat{\mu}(x) = \int_{\hat{G}} \gamma^{-1}(x) d\mu(\gamma)$  for the Fourier transform of a regular Borel measure  $\mu$  defined in  $\hat{G}$ .

## Basic Examples

As usual we denote  $\hat{\mu}(x) = \int_{\hat{G}} \gamma^{-1}(x) d\mu(\gamma)$  for the Fourier transform of a regular Borel measure  $\mu$  defined in  $\hat{G}$ .

## Proposition

Let  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  and  $\Phi_3$  be Young functions.

(i) Assume that there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\Phi_2(x) \leq C\Phi_1(x), \quad x > 0. \quad (6)$$

If  $m(x) = \hat{\mu}(x)$  for some regular Borel measure  $\mu$  defined on  $\hat{G}$  then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(G)$ . Moreover  $\|m\|_{(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)} \leq C\|\mu\|_1$ .

(ii) Assume that

$$\Phi_1^{-1}(x)\Phi_2^{-1}(x) \leq x\Phi_3^{-1}(x), \quad x \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

If  $m(x) = \hat{g}(x)$  for some  $g \in L^1(\hat{G}) \cap L^{\Phi_2}(\hat{G})$  then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_3}(G)$  and

$$\|m\|_{(\Phi_1, \Phi_3)} \leq 2N_{\Phi_2}(g).$$



## Basic Examples

As usual we denote  $\hat{\mu}(x) = \int_{\hat{G}} \gamma^{-1}(x) d\mu(\gamma)$  for the Fourier transform of a regular Borel measure  $\mu$  defined in  $\hat{G}$ .

## Proposition

Let  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  and  $\Phi_3$  be Young functions.

(i) Assume that there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\Phi_2(x) \leq C\Phi_1(x), \quad x > 0. \quad (6)$$

If  $m(x) = \hat{\mu}(x)$  for some regular Borel measure  $\mu$  defined on  $\hat{G}$  then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(G)$ . Moreover  $\|m\|_{(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)} \leq C\|\mu\|_1$ .

(ii) Assume that

$$\Phi_1^{-1}(x)\Phi_2^{-1}(x) \leq x\Phi_3^{-1}(x), \quad x \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

If  $m(x) = \hat{g}(x)$  for some  $g \in L^1(\hat{G}) \cap L^{\Phi_2}(\hat{G})$  then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_3}(G)$  and

$$\|m\|_{(\Phi_1, \Phi_3)} \leq 2N_{\Phi_2}(g).$$

## More Examples

### Proposition

Let  $\Phi, \Phi_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  be Young functions and  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(G)$ .

(i) If  $\varphi \in L^1(G)$  then  $\varphi * m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(G)$ . Moreover

$$\|\varphi * m\|_{(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)} \leq \|\varphi\|_1 \|m\|_{(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}$$

(ii) If  $\psi \in L^1(\hat{G})$  then  $\hat{\psi}m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(G)$ . Moreover

$$\|\hat{\psi}m\|_{(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)} \leq \|\psi\|_1 \|m\|_{(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)}.$$

$G = \mathbb{R}$ 

A bounded measurable function  $m$  defined in  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier on  $\mathbb{R}$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$T_m(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \quad (8)$$

satisfies  $N_{\Phi_2}(T_m(f)) \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(f)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , which in case that  $\Phi_1$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$  is equivalent to the fact that  $T_m$  extends to a bounded operator from  $L^{\Phi_1}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^{\Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

$G = \mathbb{R}$ 

A bounded measurable function  $m$  defined in  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier on  $\mathbb{R}$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$T_m(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \quad (8)$$

satisfies  $N_{\Phi_2}(T_m(f)) \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(f)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , which in case that  $\Phi_1$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$  is equivalent to the fact that  $T_m$  extends to a bounded operator from  $L^{\Phi_1}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^{\Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

There are a lot of results known about  $(p, q)$ -multipliers corresponding to  $\Phi_1(x) = x^p$  and  $\Phi_2(x) = x^q$  and denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$ .

$G = \mathbb{R}$ 

A bounded measurable function  $m$  defined in  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier on  $\mathbb{R}$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$T_m(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \quad (8)$$

satisfies  $N_{\Phi_2}(T_m(f)) \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(f)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , which in case that  $\Phi_1$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$  is equivalent to the fact that  $T_m$  extends to a bounded operator from  $L^{\Phi_1}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^{\Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

There are a lot of results known about  $(p, q)$ -multipliers corresponding to  $\Phi_1(x) = x^p$  and  $\Phi_2(x) = x^q$  and denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$ .

- $\text{sign}(\xi) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

$G = \mathbb{R}$ 

A bounded measurable function  $m$  defined in  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier on  $\mathbb{R}$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$T_m(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \quad (8)$$

satisfies  $N_{\Phi_2}(T_m(f)) \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(f)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , which in case that  $\Phi_1$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$  is equivalent to the fact that  $T_m$  extends to a bounded operator from  $L^{\Phi_1}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^{\Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

There are a lot of results known about  $(p, q)$ -multipliers corresponding to  $\Phi_1(x) = x^p$  and  $\Phi_2(x) = x^q$  and denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$ .

- $\text{sign}(\xi) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .
- $|2\pi\xi|^{-\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1, 1/q = 1/p - \alpha$ .

$G = \mathbb{R}$ 

A bounded measurable function  $m$  defined in  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier on  $\mathbb{R}$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$T_m(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \quad (8)$$

satisfies  $N_{\Phi_2}(T_m(f)) \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(f)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , which in case that  $\Phi_1$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$  is equivalent to the fact that  $T_m$  extends to a bounded operator from  $L^{\Phi_1}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^{\Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

There are a lot of results known about  $(p, q)$ -multipliers corresponding to  $\Phi_1(x) = x^p$  and  $\Phi_2(x) = x^q$  and denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$ .

- $\text{sign}(\xi) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .
- $|2\pi\xi|^{-\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1, 1/q = 1/p - \alpha$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{M}_{q',p'}(\mathbb{R})$  where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ .

$G = \mathbb{R}$ 

A bounded measurable function  $m$  defined in  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier on  $\mathbb{R}$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$T_m(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \quad (8)$$

satisfies  $N_{\Phi_2}(T_m(f)) \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(f)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , which in case that  $\Phi_1$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$  is equivalent to the fact that  $T_m$  extends to a bounded operator from  $L^{\Phi_1}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^{\Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

There are a lot of results known about  $(p, q)$ -multipliers corresponding to  $\Phi_1(x) = x^p$  and  $\Phi_2(x) = x^q$  and denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$ .

- $\text{sign}(\xi) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .
- $|2\pi\xi|^{-\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1, 1/q = 1/p - \alpha$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{M}_{q',p'}(\mathbb{R})$  where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{2,2}(\mathbb{R}) = L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .



$G = \mathbb{R}$ 

A bounded measurable function  $m$  defined in  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier on  $\mathbb{R}$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$T_m(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \quad (8)$$

satisfies  $N_{\Phi_2}(T_m(f)) \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(f)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , which in case that  $\Phi_1$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$  is equivalent to the fact that  $T_m$  extends to a bounded operator from  $L^{\Phi_1}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^{\Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

There are a lot of results known about  $(p, q)$ -multipliers corresponding to  $\Phi_1(x) = x^p$  and  $\Phi_2(x) = x^q$  and denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$ .

- $\text{sign}(\xi) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .
- $|2\pi\xi|^{-\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1, 1/q = 1/p - \alpha$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{M}_{q',p'}(\mathbb{R})$  where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{2,2}(\mathbb{R}) = L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}) = \{\hat{\mu} : \mu \in M(\mathbb{R})\}$

$G = \mathbb{R}$ 

A bounded measurable function  $m$  defined in  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier on  $\mathbb{R}$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$T_m(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \quad (8)$$

satisfies  $N_{\Phi_2}(T_m(f)) \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(f)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , which in case that  $\Phi_1$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$  is equivalent to the fact that  $T_m$  extends to a bounded operator from  $L^{\Phi_1}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^{\Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

There are a lot of results known about  $(p, q)$ -multipliers corresponding to  $\Phi_1(x) = x^p$  and  $\Phi_2(x) = x^q$  and denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$ .

- $\text{sign}(\xi) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,p}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .
- $|2\pi\xi|^{-\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1, 1/q = 1/p - \alpha$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{M}_{q',p'}(\mathbb{R})$  where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{2,2}(\mathbb{R}) = L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .
- $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}) = \{\hat{\mu} : \mu \in M(\mathbb{R})\}$
- $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$  for  $p > q$ .

## The dilation operator $D_\lambda$

Denote  $D_\lambda(f)(x) = f(\lambda x)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ .

$$C_\Phi(\lambda) = \|D_\lambda\|_{L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^\Phi(\mathbb{R})} = \sup\{N_\Phi(D_\lambda(f)) : N_\Phi(f) \leq 1\}$$

Of course  $C_\Phi(\lambda)$  is non-increasing, submultiplicative and  $C_\Phi(1) = 1$ .

## The dilation operator $D_\lambda$

Denote  $D_\lambda(f)(x) = f(\lambda x)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ .

$$C_\Phi(\lambda) = \|D_\lambda\|_{L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^\Phi(\mathbb{R})} = \sup\{N_\Phi(D_\lambda(f)) : N_\Phi(f) \leq 1\}$$

Of course  $C_\Phi(\lambda)$  is non-increasing, submultiplicative and  $C_\Phi(1) = 1$ .

$$\alpha(\Phi) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log C_\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda})}{\log \lambda}, \quad \beta(\Phi) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log C_\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda})}{\log \lambda}. \quad (\text{Boyd indices})$$

## The dilation operator $D_\lambda$

Denote  $D_\lambda(f)(x) = f(\lambda x)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ .

$$C_\Phi(\lambda) = \|D_\lambda\|_{L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^\Phi(\mathbb{R})} = \sup\{N_\Phi(D_\lambda(f)) : N_\Phi(f) \leq 1\}$$

Of course  $C_\Phi(\lambda)$  is non-increasing, submultiplicative and  $C_\Phi(1) = 1$ .

$$\alpha(\Phi) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log C_\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda})}{\log \lambda}, \quad \beta(\Phi) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log C_\Phi(\frac{1}{\lambda})}{\log \lambda}. \text{ (Boyd indices)}$$

$\alpha(\Phi) > 0$  implies  $\Phi$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$  and  $\beta(\Phi) < 1$  implies  $\Phi$  satisfies  $\nabla_2$ .

## New results

### Theorem

Let  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  be Young functions satisfying  $\Delta_2$ .  
 If  $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \{0\}$  then  $\beta(\Phi_1) \geq \alpha(\Phi_2)$ .

### Corollary

Let  $\Phi_{p,q}(t) = \max\{t^p, t^q\}$ . If  $\max\{p_2, q_2\} < \min\{p_1, q_1\}$  then  
 $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi_{p_1, q_1}, \Phi_{p_2, q_2}}(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$ .

## The Bohr group

It is well-known that  $\hat{\mathbb{D}}$  is the Bohr compactification of  $\mathbb{D}$ . We use the notation  $AP(\mathbb{R})$  for the set of all continuous almost periodic functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , that is to say uniform limits of polynomials  $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e^{2\pi i x_k t}$  where  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$ .

## The Bohr group

It is well-known that  $\hat{\mathbb{D}}$  is the Bohr compactification of  $\mathbb{D}$ . We use the notation  $AP(\mathbb{R})$  for the set of all continuous almost periodic functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , that is to say uniform limits of polynomials  $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e^{2\pi i x_k t}$  where  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Recall now the Besicovitch-Orlicz spaces for almost periodic functions: If  $f \in AP(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\Phi$  is a Young function we define

$$\tilde{\rho}_\Phi(f) = \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \Phi(|f(x)|) dx = \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \Phi(|D_T f(x)|) dx$$

and

$$\|f\|_{B\Phi} = \inf\{k > 0 : \tilde{\rho}_\Phi(f/k) \leq 1\}.$$



## The Bohr group

It is well-known that  $\hat{\mathbb{D}}$  is the Bohr compactification of  $\mathbb{D}$ . We use the notation  $AP(\mathbb{R})$  for the set of all continuous almost periodic functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , that is to say uniform limits of polynomials  $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e^{2\pi i x_k t}$  where  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Recall now the Besicovitch-Orlicz spaces for almost periodic functions: If  $f \in AP(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\Phi$  is a Young function we define

$$\tilde{\rho}_\Phi(f) = \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \Phi(|f(x)|) dx = \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \Phi(|D_T f(x)|) dx$$

and

$$\|f\|_{B_\Phi} = \inf \{k > 0 : \tilde{\rho}_\Phi(f/k) \leq 1\}.$$

A basic fact to use for the Bohr group is that if  $\mu$  is any measure defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  having support on a finite number of points, then  $\hat{\mu} \in AP(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$\|\hat{\mu}\|_{B_\Phi(\mathbb{R})} = \|\mu\|_{L^\Phi(\hat{\mathbb{D}})}. \quad (9)$$

## Multipliers for $G = \mathbb{D}$

Let  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  be Young functions. A bounded function  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{D})$  if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$N_{\Phi_2}(\sum \alpha_t m(t) \chi_t) \leq C N_{\Phi_1}(\sum \alpha_t \chi_t) \quad (10)$$

for any  $\alpha = \sum \alpha_t \chi_t$  (finite sum) .

## Multipliers for $G = \mathbb{D}$

Let  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  be Young functions. A bounded function  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{D})$  if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$N_{\Phi_2}\left(\sum \alpha_t m(t) \chi_t\right) \leq C N_{\Phi_1}\left(\sum \alpha_t \chi_t\right) \quad (10)$$

for any  $\alpha = \sum \alpha_t \chi_t$  (finite sum).

Assume that  $\Phi_2$  satisfies  $\nabla_2$  and  $m$  is a bounded function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{D})$ .
- (ii) There exists a constant  $K$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{t \in \mathbb{R}} m(t) \mu(t) \lambda(t) dx \right| \leq C \|\hat{\mu}\|_{B_{\Phi_1}} \|\hat{\lambda}\|_{B_{\Psi_2}} \quad (11)$$

for any measures  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  having supports on a finite number of points.

## Multipliers for $G = \mathbb{D}$

Let  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  be Young functions. A bounded function  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{D})$  if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$N_{\Phi_2}(\sum \alpha_t m(t) \chi_t) \leq C N_{\Phi_1}(\sum \alpha_t \chi_t) \quad (10)$$

for any  $\alpha = \sum \alpha_t \chi_t$  (finite sum) .

Assume that  $\Phi_2$  satisfies  $\nabla_2$  and  $m$  is a bounded function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{D})$ .
- (ii) There exists a constant  $K$  such that

$$| \sum_{t \in \mathbb{R}} m(t) \mu(t) \lambda(t) dx | \leq C \| \hat{\mu} \|_{B_{\Phi_1}} \| \hat{\lambda} \|_{B_{\Psi_2}} \quad (11)$$

for any measures  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  having supports on a finite number of points.

## Main results 1

### Theorem

Let  $m$  be a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  be Young functions such that  $\Phi_2$  satisfies  $\nabla_2$  and

$$\sup_{\lambda > 1} C_{\Phi_1}(\lambda) C_{\Phi_2}(1/\lambda) < +\infty. \quad (12)$$

If  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{D})$  then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Main results 1

### Theorem

Let  $m$  be a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  be Young functions such that  $\Phi_2$  satisfies  $\nabla_2$  and

$$\sup_{\lambda > 1} C_{\Phi_1}(\lambda) C_{\Phi_2}(1/\lambda) < +\infty. \quad (12)$$

If  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(D)$  then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

### Corollary

Let  $m$  be a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(D)$  and let  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  be Young functions such that  $\alpha(\Phi_1) > \beta(\Phi_2)$ . Then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Main results 2

### Theorem

Let  $m$  be a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  be Young functions satisfying that  $\Phi_2$  has  $\nabla_2$  condition and

$$\sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} C_{\Phi_1}(\lambda) C_{\Phi_2}(1/\lambda) < +\infty. \quad (13)$$

If  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$  then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{D})$ .

## Main results 2

### Theorem

Let  $m$  be a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  be Young functions satisfying that  $\Phi_2$  has  $\nabla_2$  condition and

$$\sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} C_{\Phi_1}(\lambda) C_{\Phi_2}(1/\lambda) < +\infty. \quad (13)$$

If  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$  then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{D})$ .

### Corollary

Let  $m$  be a bounded continuous in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\Phi$  be a Young function satisfying  $\nabla_2$  and

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} C_{\Phi}(\lambda) C_{\Phi}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) < \infty. \quad (14)$$

Then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R})$  iff  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}(\mathbb{D})$ .



$G = \mathbb{Z}$ 

A bounded sequence  $m = (m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier on  $\mathbb{Z}$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$T_m(P)(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} m_k \alpha_k e^{2\pi i k t} \quad (15)$$

satisfies  $N_{\Phi_2}(T_m(P)) \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(P)$  for any  $P(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k e^{2\pi i k t} \in P(\mathbb{T})$ , or equivalently, in case that  $\Phi_1$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$ , extends to a bounded operator from  $L^{\Phi_1}(\mathbb{T})$  to  $L^{\Phi_2}(\mathbb{T})$ .

$G = \mathbb{Z}$ 

A bounded sequence  $m = (m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ -multiplier on  $\mathbb{Z}$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$T_m(P)(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} m_k \alpha_k e^{2\pi i k t} \quad (15)$$

satisfies  $N_{\Phi_2}(T_m(P)) \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(P)$  for any  $P(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k e^{2\pi i k t} \in P(\mathbb{T})$ , or equivalently, in case that  $\Phi_1$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$ , extends to a bounded operator from  $L^{\Phi_1}(\mathbb{T})$  to  $L^{\Phi_2}(\mathbb{T})$ .

If  $\Phi_2$  satisfying  $\nabla_2$  and let  $m = (m_n)$  be a bounded sequence on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{Z})$ .
- (ii) There exists a constant  $K$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} m_n \alpha_n \beta_n \right| \leq CN_{\Phi_1}(P) N_{\Psi_2}(Q) \quad (16)$$

for any  $P(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n e^{2\pi i n t}$  and  $Q(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_n e^{2\pi i n t}$  in  $P(\mathbb{T})$ .

## Main results 3

### Theorem

Let  $m$  be a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  be Young functions with  $\Phi_2$  satisfying  $\nabla_2$ .

(i) Assume that




$$\sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} C_{\Phi_1}(\lambda) C_{\Phi_2}(1/\lambda) < \infty. \quad (17)$$

If  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$  then  $m_n = (m(n)) \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

(ii) Assume that

$$\sup_{\lambda > 1} C_{\Phi_1}(\lambda) C_{\Phi_2}(1/\lambda) < \infty. \quad (18)$$

If  $(D_{1/N} m(n)) \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{Z})$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\sup_N \|(D_{1/N} m)_n\|_{(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)} < \infty$  then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1, \Phi_2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

-  DeLeeuw, K. *On  $L_p$ -multipliers*. Ann. Math. **91** [1965], pp 364–379.
-  Rao, M. M., Ren, Z.D. *Theory of Orlicz spaces*. CRM Press [1991].
-  Rudin, W. *Fourier Analysis on Groups*. Interscience, New York, [1962].

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION !