

On the initial growth of interfaces in reaction–diffusion equations with strong absorption

Luis Alvarez

Depto. de Informatica y Sistemas, Univ. de Las Palmas., 35017, Las Palmas, Spain

and

Jesus Ildefonso Diaz*

Depto. de Matematica Aplicada, Univ. Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain

(MS received 10 March 1992)

Synopsis

We study the initial growth of the interfaces of non-negative local solutions of the equation $u_t = (u^m)_{xx} - \lambda u^q$ when $m \geq 1$ and $0 < q < 1$. We show that if $u(x, 0) \geq C(-x)_+^{2(m-q)}$ with $C > C_0$, for some explicit $C_0 = C_0(\lambda, m, q)$, then the free boundary $\zeta(t) = \sup\{x: u(x, t) > 0\}$ is a “heating front”. More precisely $\zeta(t) \geq at^{(m-q)/2(1-q)}$ for any t small enough and for some $a > 0$. If on the contrary, $u(x, 0) \leq C(-x)_+^{2(m-q)}$ with $C < C_0$, then $\zeta(t)$ is a “cooling front” and in fact $\zeta(t) \leq -at^{(m-q)/2(1-q)}$ for any t small enough and for some $a > 0$. Applications to solutions of the associated Cauchy and Dirichlet problems are also given.

1. Introduction

This paper deals with non-negative solutions of the scalar reaction-diffusion equation

$$u_t = (u^m)_{xx} - \lambda u^q \quad \text{in } Q = (-L, L) \times (0, T) \quad (1.1)$$

under the assumptions $m \geq 1$, $0 < q < 1$. Here, λ represents a positive number and $0 < T \leq +\infty$, $0 < L \leq +\infty$. Equation (1.1) arises in many physical situations such as thermal diffusion with absorption, chemical reactions, population dynamics etc. (see, for instance [12]). Due to the non-Lipschitz character of the absorption term λu^q , some interfaces may occur separating the definition set of u (a subset of $\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$) in two different regions: a region where u vanishes and another where u is strictly positive. In this paper we are interested in the study of the initial development of such interfaces as they emerge from the boundary points of the support of $u(x, 0)$. Due to the invariance of the equation through the transformation $x \rightarrow -x$, it is enough to consider the case in which the support of $u(x, 0)$ is contained in $(-\infty, 0]$, i.e. we shall assume

$$\sup\{x: u(x, 0) > 0\} = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

If, to fix ideas, we assume $u(x, 0)$ to be a bounded function, it is possible to show (by using comparison techniques: [25, 13, 14], or by energy methods: [31, 8, 5])

* Partially sponsored by the DGICYT (Spain) under project number PB90/0620.

the existence of a free boundary

$$\zeta(t) = \sup \{x \in (-L, L): u(x, t) > 0\}$$

i.e. $|\zeta(t)| < +\infty$ for any $t \in [0, T^*]$, for some $T^* \in (0, T]$.

We remark that u is merely assumed to be a local non-negative solution of equation (1.1), i.e. such that $u \in C((-L, L) \times [0, T])$ and u satisfies (1.1) in the sense of distributions. So, in particular, the results of this paper apply for solutions of the Cauchy problem ($L = +\infty$) or any boundary value problem ($L < +\infty$) associated with equation (1.1).

In many applications it is important to know whether, for given initial data, the support of the solution $u(\cdot, t)$, expands or contracts with time ($\zeta(t)$ is a *heating* or *cooling* front). Our main result shows that the initial behaviour of $\zeta(t)$ depends on the ‘‘concentration’’ of the mass of $u(x, 0)$ near $x = 0$. We shall compare, locally, $u(x, 0)$ with the auxiliary function $u_\infty(x)$ given by

$$u_\infty(x) = C_0(-x)_+^{2/(m-q)}, \quad x \in (-\infty, +\infty),$$

where $(s)_+ = \max(s, 0)$

$$C_0 = \left[\frac{\lambda(m-q)^2}{2m(m+q)} \right]^{1/(m-q)}. \tag{1.3}$$

It is easy to see that u_∞ is the non-negative stationary solution of (1.1) in $(-\infty, +\infty)$ vanishing on $[0, +\infty)$.

The precise statement of our main result is the following:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let u be any local non-negative solution of (1.1) satisfying (1.2).*

(i) *Assume that there exist $x_0 \in (-L, 0)$ and $C < C_0$ such that*

$$u(x, 0) \leq C(-x)_+^{2/(m-q)} \quad \text{for } x \in [x_0, 0].$$

Then

$$\zeta(t) \leq -at^{(m-q)/2(1-q)} \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, t_0],$$

for some $a > 0$ and $t_0 \in (0, T]$.

(ii) *Assume that there exist $x_0 \in (-L, 0)$ and $C > C_0$ such that*

$$u(x, 0) \geq C(-x)_+^{2/(m-q)} \quad \text{for } x \in [x_0, 0].$$

Then

$$\zeta(t) \geq at^{(m-q)/2(1-q)} \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, t_0],$$

for some $a > 0$ and $t_0 \in (0, T]$.

To prove the above theorem, we shall use the following programme. First we shall obtain the conclusions for the solution u of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = (u^m)_{xx} - \lambda u^q, & \text{in } (-\infty, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & \text{for } x \in (-\infty, +\infty), \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

when u_0 is given by

$$u_0(x) = C(-x)_+^{2/(m-q)}. \tag{1.5}$$

To do that, we shall prove that under those conditions the solution has a self-similar structure

$$u(x, t) = t^{1/(1-q)} f(xt^{-(m-q)/2(1-q)}) \tag{1.6}$$

for some real function f . The first step ends by comparing the solution with a family of travelling waves in a similar way to the technique introduced in [4]. The second step makes the above conclusions local by using the comparison principle again.

The organisation of the paper is as follows: In Section 2 we prove the main conclusions for the self-similar solution (1.6). Section 3 contains some existence, uniqueness and regularity results for solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.4) corresponding to general unbounded initial data u_0 satisfying

$$0 \leq u_0(x) \leq h_m(|x|) \quad \text{for } x \in (-\infty, +\infty), \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$h_m(|x|) = (K + |x|)^\alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 2/(m - 1), \quad K > 0, \tag{1.8}$$

if $m > 1$ and, for all $\alpha > 0$,

$$h_1(x) \leq Ke^{\alpha|x|^2} \quad \text{for } x \in (-\infty, +\infty). \tag{1.9}$$

The complete proof of Theorem 1.1 is then given in Section 4.

A previous version of the results of this paper was presented in the Ph.D dissertation of the first author at the University Complutense of Madrid in September 1988. While preparing the present article, the authors became aware of the paper [18] in which Grundy and Peletier obtain, by asymptotic methods, some related estimates on the interface $\zeta(t)$ of the solution of the Cauchy problem associated with (1.1) when $m = 1$. We point out the difference between the methods of proof used in both works, and the generality of our assumptions and conclusions.

2. The interface for self-similar solutions

This section is devoted to the study of qualitative properties of solutions of the Cauchy problem associated with (1.1) with the special initial data

$$u_0(x) = C(-x)_+^{2/(m-q)}, \quad \text{for some } C > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

In the next section we shall show the existence and uniqueness of such a solution as a consequence of more general results related to initial data satisfying the growth condition (1.7). We remark that such a condition is trivially satisfied when u_0 is given by (1.9) (since $0 < q < 1$) and that, of course, the proofs of the basic theory (existence, uniqueness etc.) are completely independent of the results in this section.

We start by showing that the solutions are self-similar.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let u be the solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1) corresponding to u_0 given by (2.1). Then there exists a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that:*

$$u(x, t) = t^{1/(1-q)} f(xt^{-(m-q)/2(1-q)}). \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover $\text{supp}(f) = (-\infty, a]$ with $a < +\infty$, i.e.

$$f(\eta) = 0 \quad \text{for any } \eta \geq a. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Given $k > 0$, we define the function

$$v(x, t; k) = ku(k^{-(m-q)/2}x, k^{(q-1)}t)$$

where u is the solution of the Cauchy problem (1.4). Since

$$v_t - (v^m)_{xx} + \lambda v^q = k^q(u_t - (u^m)_{xx} + \lambda u^q)$$

and $v(x, 0; k) = u_0(x)$ (due to (2.1)), we conclude (by the uniqueness of the solution of the Cauchy problem) that $v(x, t; k) = u(x, t)$, for any $k > 0$. Now, given $t_0 \in (0, T]$, we choose $k = t_0^{1/(1-q)}$ and so we deduce that

$$u(x, t_0) = t_0^{1/(1-q)}u(xt_0^{-(m-q)/2(1-q)}, 1) \quad \text{for any } t_0 \in (0, T] \quad \text{and } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Finally, given $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $f(\eta) = u(\eta, 1)$. Making $t = t_0$, we obtain (2.2) (t_0 is arbitrary). In order to prove (2.3) we first consider the case $m > 1$. Let \bar{u} be the solution of the equation without absorption:

$$\bar{u}_t - (\bar{u}^m)_{xx} = 0$$

and with the same initial data u_0 . Then, since $\lambda u^q \geq 0$, we conclude that $0 \leq u(x, t) \leq \bar{u}(x, t)$ for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, T]$. By the result of [33] we know that for any $t \geq 0$ we have that $\sup\{x: \bar{u}(x, t) > 0\} < +\infty$ and hence $\sup\{x: u(x, t) > 0\}$ is also finite. Choosing $t = 1$, we obtain (2.3). If $m = 1$, the existence of the free boundary

$$\zeta(t) = \sup\{x: u(x, t) > 0\} \tag{2.4}$$

has already been shown in [23] for initial data satisfying (1.7). \square

Now we shall prove the conclusions of Theorem 1.1 when $L = +\infty$ and $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$, with u_0 given by (2.1). We remark that from Proposition 2.1 we deduce that if $\zeta(t)$ is given by (2.4) then $\zeta(t) = at^{(m-q)/2(1-q)}$ and so the behaviour of $\zeta(t)$ is determined by the sign of a .

THEOREM 2.2. *Let u_0 and f be given by (2.1) and (2.2), respectively. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ defined by $a = \sup\{\eta: f(\eta) > 0\}$. Then we have:*

- (i) $C = C_0$ implies $a = 0$;
- (ii) $C < C_0$ implies $a < 0$;
- (iii) $C > C_0$ implies $a > 0$.

Proof. If $C = C_0$, we deduce from the uniqueness of solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.4) that $u(x, t) = u_\infty(x)$ and so (i) follows. To complete the proof, we shall adapt the arguments of [4] which consist in comparing $u(x, t)$ with different families of travelling wave solutions. The structure of such special solutions is different according to the values of q and m :

Region 1: ($m + q = 2$). In that special case it is not difficult to see that the function f can be made explicit and so

$$u(x, t) = C \left[\left(\left(\frac{m}{m-1} C^{m-1} - \lambda(m-1)C^{1-m} \right) t - x \right)_+ \right]^{1/(m-1)}.$$

The assertions (ii) and (iii) follow easily in this way.

Region 2: ($m + q > 2$). Given $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, the existence of travelling wave solutions to equation (1.1) of the form

$$w(x, t; k, \eta) = \phi_k((kt - x + \eta)_+) \tag{2.5}$$

was obtained by Herrero and Vazquez in [22], where they also showed that for any $k \in \mathbb{R}$, ϕ_k is a suitable continuous non-negative function such that $\phi_k(0) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_k(\xi) \xi^{-2/(m-q)} = C_0 \quad (C_0 \text{ given by (1.3)}). \tag{2.6}$$

Now let $C < C_0$. By (2.6) there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\phi_k(\xi) > C \xi^{2/(m-q)} \quad \text{for } \xi > M. \tag{2.7}$$

Let $\eta = M$. Then $w(x, t; k, M)$ is a solution of (1.4) with initial datum $\phi_k((-x + M))$. Besides, from (2.7), we deduce that

$$\phi_k((-x + M)_+) \geq C(-x)_+^{2/(m-q)} \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then by the comparison result of Section 3 we have that

$$w(x, t; k, M) \geq u(x, t) \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Finally, choosing $k < 0$, we see that $w(x, t; k, M)$ is a cooling wave and so we deduce (ii). If $C > C_0$, we choose $k > 0$. By (2.6) we have that

$$\phi_k(\xi) < C \xi^{2/(m-q)} \quad \text{for } \xi > M,$$

for some $M > 0$. Let $N = \max\{\phi_k(\xi): 0 \leq \xi \leq M\}$ and $\eta = -\max\{M, (N/C)^{(m-q)/2}\}$. Hence $w(x, t; k, \eta)$ is a solution to (1.4) with initial datum $\phi_k((-x + \eta))$. Moreover

$$\phi_k((-x + \eta)_+) \leq C(-x)_+^{2/(m-q)} \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then by the comparison result $w(x, t; k, \eta) \leq u(x, t)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq 0$. Since $k > 0$, we have that $w(x, t; k, \eta)$ is a heating wave and (iii) follows.

Region 3: $(m + q < 2)$. Again by the result of [22] there exists a family of travelling wave solutions to equation (1.1) of the form

$$w(x, t; k) = \phi_k((kt - x)), \tag{2.8}$$

for arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, ϕ_k is a continuous non-negative function satisfying $\phi_k(0) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \phi_k(\xi) \xi^{-2/(m-q)} = C_0 \quad (C_0 \text{ given by (1.3)}). \tag{2.9}$$

Now let $C < C_0$ and take $k < 0$. From (2.9) there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\phi_k(\xi) > C \xi^{2/(m-q)} \quad \text{for } 0 < \xi < M.$$

On the other hand, by the continuity of ϕ_k and u , there exists $\tau > 0$ such that

$$w(-M, t; k) \geq u(-M, t) \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, \tau].$$

Then we can compare $w(x, t; k)$ and $u(x, t)$ in the region $[-M, M] \times [0, \tau]$ and so

$$w(x, t; k) \geq u(x, t) \quad \text{in } [-M, M] \times [0, \tau].$$

Thus, since $k < 0$, conclusion (ii) follows. Finally, if $C > C_0$, we choose $k > 0$ and by a similar argument we obtain (iii). \square

Remark 2.3. Regularity of the interface of self-similar solutions. The initial growth of the interface $\zeta(t) = at^{(m-q)/2(1-q)}$ associated with the self-similar solutions is quite different according to the values of m and q . Thus in Region 1 ($m + q = 2$), we have $(m - q)/2(1 - q) = 1$ and then $\zeta(t) = at$. In Region 2 ($m + q > 2$), $(m - q)/2(1 - q) > 1$ and thus $\zeta(t)$ is a Lipschitz function for $t \in [0, M)$. Finally, in Region 3 ($m + q < 2$), $(m - q)/2(1 - q) < 1$ and thus $\zeta(t)$ is not Lipschitz at $t = 0$.

Remark 2.4. The technique of comparing the solution with a family of travelling waves was introduced in [4] (see also [3]) for the study of the equation $u_t = (u^m)_{xx} + (u^\lambda)_x$. When the exponent λ is in $(0, 1)$, there is a stationary solution $u_\infty(x)$ of this equation and the initial behaviour of the front depends on how concentrated is the mass of the initial datum $u_0(x)$ with respect to that of $u_\infty(x)$.

3. Existence, uniqueness and regularity of the solutions of the Cauchy problem

In this section, we are going to show some existence, uniqueness and regularity results of non-negative solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.4) corresponding to unbounded initial data u_0 satisfying the growth assumption (1.7).

3.1. Existence

We define a generalised solution of (1.4) as a continuous non-negative function $u(x, t)$ in $\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$ such that $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$ and, for all $0 \leq t_0 < t_1$ and $x_0 < x_1$, we have:

$$I(u, \phi, P) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (u^m \phi_{xx} + u \phi_t - \lambda u^q \phi) dx dt - \int_{x_0}^{x_1} u \phi dx \Big|_{t_0}^{t_1} - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} u^m \phi_x dt \Big|_{x_0}^{x_1} = 0,$$

where $\phi(x, t) \in C^{2,1}_{x,t}$ is any arbitrary function satisfying $\phi(x, t) = 0$ in $[t_0, t_1] \times \{x_0\} \cup [t_0, t_1] \times \{x_1\}$ and $P = [t_0, t_1] \times [x_0, x_1]$. Given $m > 1$, we introduce the functional space E_m defined by:

$$E_m = \left\{ u \in C(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)): \forall T > 0 \exists K > 0 \text{ and } \alpha \in \left(0, \frac{2}{m-1} \right): 0 \leq u(x, t) \leq (K + |x|)^\alpha \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad 0 < t < T \right\}.$$

If $m = 1$, we define

$$E_1 = \{u \in C(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)): \forall T, \exists K > 0 \text{ and } \alpha > 0: 0 \leq u(x, t) \leq Ke^{\alpha x^2} \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < t < T\}.$$

We have

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $m \geq 1$. If $u_0(x)$ satisfies (1.7), then there exists a generalised solution $u(x, t)$ of (1.1) and $u \in E_m$.*

In order to prove the above result, we start by showing some preliminary results, using some techniques introduced in [27] and [28].

LEMMA 3.2. Let $m \geq 1$ and $T > 0$. If $u_0(x)$ satisfies (1.7), then there exists a function $\bar{u} \in E_m$ such that $\bar{u}(x, 0) > u_0(x) + 1$ and

$$\bar{u}_t \geq (\bar{u}^m)_{xx} - \lambda \bar{u}^q + \lambda \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, T)$$

Proof. (i) Case $m > 1$. Let $T > 0$, $\alpha < 2/(m - 1)$ and $\bar{u}(x, t) = e^{(K + |x|)^\alpha}$. We have:

$$\bar{u}_t - (\bar{u}^m)_{xx} + \lambda \bar{u}^q \geq e^{(K + |x|)^\alpha} [e^{-T(m-1)\alpha m} (\alpha m - 1)(K + |x|)^{\alpha m - 2}].$$

Since $\alpha > \alpha m - 2$, the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to infinity as $K \rightarrow +\infty$, and the lemma follows by choosing K large enough.

(ii) Case $m = 1$. Let $\bar{u}(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (u_0(s) + 1)G(x - s, t) ds + \lambda \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(x - s, t - \tau) ds d\tau$, where $G(x, t)$ is the heat kernel defined by

$$G(x, t) = \frac{e^{-x^2/4t}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \quad \text{in } Q = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+.$$

It is well known that $\bar{u}(x, t)$ is the solution of the linear equation $\bar{u}_t = \bar{u}_{xx} + \lambda$ in Q for the initial datum $\bar{u}(x, 0) = u_0(x) + 1$. Moreover, since $u_0(x)$ verifies (1.7), we deduce that $\bar{u} \in E_1$. \square

LEMMA 3.3 [28]. Let $m \geq 1$ and $u_0(x)$ satisfying (1.7). Let $T > 0$ and $Q_n = (-n, n) \times (0, T)$. Then the Cauchy problem $\mathfrak{L}(v, \varepsilon) \equiv v_t - (v^m)_{xx} + \lambda v^q - \lambda \varepsilon^q = 0$ in Q_n with the auxiliary conditions

$$\left. \begin{aligned} v(x, 0) &= v_0(x; \varepsilon, n), \quad \text{for } x \in (-n, n), \\ v(\pm n, t) &= \bar{u}(\pm n, t), \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T), \end{aligned} \right\}$$

has a unique classical solution such that

$$0 < \varepsilon \leq v(x, t) \leq \bar{u}(x, t) \quad \text{in } Q_n, \tag{3.1}$$

where $v_0(x; \varepsilon, n)$ is a sequence of regular functions satisfying

- (i) $\varepsilon < v_0(x; \varepsilon, n) \leq \bar{u}(x, t)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) $v_0(\pm n; \varepsilon, n) = \bar{u}(\pm n, 0)$;
- (iii) $v_0(x; \varepsilon, n)$ decreases as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and converges to $u_0(x) + \varepsilon$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. This is analogous to the one given in [28]. Inequality (3.1) holds by comparing $v(x, t)$ with the subsolution $v = \varepsilon$ and the supersolution $\bar{u}(x, t)$ defined in Lemma 3.2. \square

Let $\varepsilon = 1/n$ and $u_n(x, t)$ be the function obtained in Lemma 3.3. The next result shows that u_n decreases with respect to n .

LEMMA 3.4. Let $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p > n$; then

$$u_p(x, t) \leq u_n(x, t) \quad \text{in } Q_n = (-n, n) \times (0, T).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3(iii) we obtain $u_p(x, 0) \leq u_n(x, 0)$. Moreover, $u_p(\pm n, t) \leq \bar{u}(\pm n, t) = u_n(\pm n, t)$ and in Q_n we have:

$$\mathfrak{L}(u_p, n - 1) = \mathfrak{L}(u_n, p^{-1}) + \lambda n^{-q} - \lambda p^{-q} > 0.$$

Then the conclusion follows by comparison. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Since $u_n(x, t)$ is a decreasing sequence and $u_n \geq 0$, we deduce that, for any $(x, t) \in (-\infty, +\infty) \times [0, T]$, $u_n(x, t)$ converges to a function $u(x, t)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.3, $u(x, t)$ satisfies $u(x, t) \leq \bar{u}(x, t)$ and we have

$$I(u_n, \phi, P) = -\lambda n^{-q} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \phi(x, t) dx dt.$$

Therefore the conclusion follows by application of the Lebesgue convergence theorem to the sequence $u_n(x, t)$. \square

We postpone for a while the proof of the continuity of the function u obtained above.

3.2. Comparison and uniqueness

We begin by proving a comparison principle.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let $u_0, v_0 \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying (1.7) and such that $0 \leq u_0(x) \leq v_0(x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $u, v \in E_m$ be solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.4) with initial data u_0 and v_0 , respectively. Then we have*

$$u(x, t) \leq v(x, t) \text{ in } Q = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Proof. We can suppose that one of the functions $u(x, t), v(x, t)$ is the solution obtained in the proof of Proposition 2.1. We shall prove the following inequality

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [u(x, t_1) - v(x, t_1)]w(x) dx \leq 0 \tag{3.2}$$

for all $t_1 > 0$ and for any $w \in C^\infty, w(x) > 0, w$ with compact support in \mathbb{R} . Proposition 3.5 follows in an obvious way from (3.2). Assume that $u(x, t)$ is the solution obtained in Proposition 3.1 (the same argument remains true if $v(x, t)$ is such a function). Let $t_1 \in (0, T]$ and $w(x)$ such that $w(x) = 0$ if $x \notin (-r, r)$. Let $n > r$ and $P = (-r, r) \times (0, T)$. Assume that $m > 1$ and define the functions

$$A_n(x, t) = \int_0^1 m(\theta u_n + (1 - \theta)v)^{m-1} d\theta$$

and

$$C_n(x, t) = \int_0^1 \lambda q(\theta u_n + (1 - \theta)v)^{q-1} d\theta.$$

Let $A_{nkr}(x, t)$ and $C_{nkr}(x, t)$ be two sequences of regular positive functions such that:

$\{A_{nkr}\}$ is decreasing and converges uniformly to A_n as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and

$A_{nkr}(x, t) \leq (K + |x|)^2$ in $Q_n = (-n, n) \times (0, T)$ for any n, k and r .

$\{C_{nkr}\}$ is decreasing and converges uniformly to C_n as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

Moreover, we can also assume that $A_n(x, t)$ and $C_n(x, t)$ satisfy

$$A_{nkr}(x, t) \geq A_n(x, t) \geq n^{1-m} \text{ in } (-r, r) \times [0, T]$$

and

$$C_{nkr}(x, t) \leq C_n(x, t) \leq \lambda n^{1-q} \quad \text{in } (-r, r) \times [0, T].$$

Then we can write $I(u - v, \phi, P)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-r}^{+r} [u_n(x, t_1) - v(x, t_1)]\phi(x, t_1) dx &= \int_{-r}^{+r} [u_n(x, 0) - v(x, 0)]\phi(x, 0) dx \\ &- \int_0^{t_1} (u_n^m - v^m)\phi_x \Big|_{-r}^{+r} + \int_{-r}^{+r} \int_0^{t_1} (A_n - A_{nkr})(u_n - v)\phi_{xx} dx dt \\ &+ \int_{-r}^{+r} \int_0^{t_1} (C_n - C_{nkr})(u_n - v)\phi dx dt + \int_{-r}^{+r} \int_0^{t_1} [A_{nkr}\phi_{xx} + \phi_t - C_{nkr}\phi](u_n - v) dx dt \\ &+ \lambda n^{-q} \int_{-r}^{+r} \int_0^{t_1} \phi(x, t) dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

It is well-known (see e.g. [15]) that the uniformly parabolic linear problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(\phi) \equiv A_{nkr}\phi_{xx} + \phi_t - C_{nkr}\phi = 0, & \text{in } P = (-r, r) \times (0, t_1), \\ \phi(\pm r, t) = 0, & \text{for } t \in (0, t_1), \\ \phi(x, t_1) = w(x), & \text{for } x \in (-r, r), \end{cases}$$

has a unique linear classical solution $\phi(x, t)$ which satisfies:

- (i) $0 \leq \phi(x, t) \leq \max\{w(x); x \in (-r, r)\}$;
- (ii) for any $\gamma > 1$, there exist $M_1(\gamma), M_2(\gamma)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(x, t)| &\leq M_1(1 + |x|)^{-\gamma} \quad \text{in } P, \\ |\phi_x(\pm r, t)| &< M_2 r^{-\gamma}; \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) there exists $M_3(n, r)$ such that

$$\int_{-r}^{+r} \int_0^{t_1} (\phi_{xx})^2 dx dt \leq M_3.$$

Substituting ϕ in [3.3], we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-r}^{+r} [u_n(x, t_1) - v(x, t_1)]w(x) dx &\leq \int_{-r}^{+r} [u_n(x, 0) - v(x, 0)]\phi(x, 0) dx \\ &+ 2M_2 t_1 \max_{t \leq t_1} |u_n^m(\pm r, t) - v_n^m(\pm r, t)| r^{-\gamma} \\ &+ \max_P |A_n(x, t) - A_{nkr}(x, t)| \max_P |u_n(x, t) - v(x, t)| M_3^{\frac{1}{2}} (2rt_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \max_P |C_n(x, t) - C_{nkr}(x, t)| \max_P |u_n(x, t) - v(x, t)| t_1 M_1 \int_{-r}^{+r} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|)} \gamma \\ &+ M_1 \lambda n^{-q} \int_{-r}^{+r} \int_0^{t_1} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|)} \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Then inequality (3.2) follows by choosing $\gamma = (2m/(m - 1)) + 1$, and by passing to

the limit when $k \rightarrow +\infty$, $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $r \rightarrow +\infty$ (notice that $v \in E_m$, and u_n satisfies (3.1)).

Consider now the case $m = 1$. We can write $I(u - v, \phi, P)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-r}^{+r} [u_n(x, t_1) - v(x, t_1)]\phi(x, t_1) dx = \int_{-r}^{+r} [u_n(x, 0) - v(x, 0)]\phi(x, 0) dx \\ & - \int_0^{t_1} (u_n - v)\phi_x \Big]_{-r}^{+r} + \int_{-r}^{+r} \int_0^{t_1} (C_n - C_{nkr})(u_n - v)\phi dx dt \\ & + \int_{-r}^{+r} \int_0^{t_1} [\phi_{xx} - \phi_t - C_{nkr}\phi](u_n - v) dx dt + \lambda n^{-q} \int_{-r}^{+r} \int_0^{t_1} \phi(x, t) dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Let ϕ be the classical solution of the parabolic linear problem:

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{L}(\phi) = \phi_{xx} + \phi_t - C_{nkr}\phi = 0, & \text{in } P = (-r, r) \times (0, t_1), \\ \phi(\pm r, t) = 0, & \text{for } t \in (0, t_1), \\ \phi(x, t_1) = w(x), & \text{for } x \in (-r, r). \end{cases}$$

Since C_{nkr} is uniformly bounded and $w(x)$ is a non-negative bounded function with compact support, we easily deduce the following estimates: there exist $K_1 = K_1(w)$, $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(t_1) > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq \phi(x, t) \leq K_1 e^{-\alpha_0 x^2} \quad \text{in } P = (-r, r) \times (0, t_1)$$

and

$$|\phi_x(\pm r, t)| \leq K_1 e^{-\alpha_0 r^2} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, t_1].$$

Substituting ϕ in (3.4), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-r}^{+r} [u_n(x, t_1) - v(x, t_1)]w(x) dx \leq \int_{-r}^{+r} [u_n(x, 0) - v(x, 0)]\phi(x, 0) dx \\ & + 2t_1 \max_{t \leq t_1} |u_n(\pm r, t) - v(\pm r, t)| K_1 e^{-\alpha_0 r^2} \\ & + \max_P |C_n(x, t) - C_{nkr}(x, t)| \max_P |u_n(x, t) - v(x, t)| t_1 \int_{-r}^{+r} K_1 e^{-\alpha_0 x^2} dx \\ & + \lambda n^{-q} \int_{-r}^{+r} \int_0^{t_1} K_1 e^{-\alpha_0 x^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the inequality (3.2) follows when $k \rightarrow +\infty$, $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $r \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

Remark 3.6. Notice that the uniqueness of solutions in the class of functions E_m is now a trivial consequence of the above comparison principle.

Remark 3.7. The choice of the class of functions E_m in order to obtain global existence and uniqueness of the solutions of (1.4) is optimal in the sense that if u_0 satisfies (1.7) in the limit case (i.e. if $u_0(x) = (K + |x|)^{2/(m-1)}$ for some $K > 0$, when $m > 1$ or if $u_0(x) = Ke^{\alpha|x|^2}$ for some K and $\alpha > 0$ when $m = 1$), we can easily get

subsolutions of the Cauchy problem (1.4) with initial data $u_0(x)$ such that they blow up in a finite time.

3.3. Regularity

The regularity in the x -variable of solutions of equation (1.1) is a direct consequence of the following result:

PROPOSITION 3.8 [28]. *Let $u(x, t)$ be a generalised solution of (1.1) in E_m . Let $\tau > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $Q_n = (-n, n) \times (0, T)$ and $Q_n^\tau = (-n, n) \times (\tau, T)$.*

(a) *If $m + q \geq 2$, then $(u^{m-1})_x$ is bounded in Q_n^τ . Moreover, if $\sup \{(u_0^{m-1})_x : x \in (-n, n)\} < \infty$, then $(u^{m-1})_x$ is bounded in Q_n .*

(b) *If $m + q < 2$, then $(u^{(m-q)/2})_x$ is bounded in Q_n^τ . Moreover, if $\sup \{(u_0^{(m-1)/2})_x : x \in (-n, n)\} < \infty$ then $(u^{(m-1)/2})_x$ is bounded in Q_n .*

It is not difficult to show that this result implies the continuity of the solution of (1.1) in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ (see [28]). As a final step, we shall prove the continuity of u near $t = 0$.

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Let $u(x, t)$ be a generalised solution of (1.4) in E_m , where the initial datum u_0 is assumed to be a non-negative continuous function. Then $u(x_0, t)$ converges to $u_0(x_0)$ when $t \rightarrow 0^+$ for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $Q_r = (x_0 - r, x_0 + r) \times (0, T)$. Let $M > 0$ such that $0 \leq u(x_0 \pm r, t) \leq M$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Two different cases arise.

(i) Assume $u_0(x_0) = 0$. Let $\bar{v}(x, t)$ be the solution of the porous medium equation $\mathcal{L}(\bar{v}) = \bar{v}_t - \bar{v}_{xx} = 0$ in Q_r , such that $\bar{v}(x_0 \pm r, t) = M$ and $\bar{v}(x, 0) = u_0(x)$. Then it is well known from the theory for the porous medium equation that $\bar{v}(x, t)$ is a non-negative function in Q_r , continuous in $t = 0$, and that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(u) &\leq \mathcal{L}(\bar{v}) \quad \text{in } Q_r; \\ u(x_0 \pm r, t) &\leq \bar{v}(x_0 \pm r, t), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]; \\ u(x, 0) &= \bar{v}(x, 0), \quad \text{for } x \in [x_0 - r, x_0 + r]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by the comparison principle we have that

$$0 \leq u(x, t) \leq \bar{v}(x, t) \quad \text{in } Q_r.$$

Then the continuity in $t = 0$ follows from the continuity of $\bar{v}(x, t)$ in $t = 0$.

(ii) Assume $u_0(x_0) > 0$. Then by the continuity of $u_0(x)$ there exist $r, \varepsilon > 0$ such that $u(x, t) > \varepsilon$ for any $(x, t) \in (x_0 - r, x_0 + r) \times [0, T]$ (notice that $u(x, t)$ is the limit of a decreasing sequence of classical solutions $u_n(x, t)$ defined in Lemma 3.2). Let $\bar{v}(x, t)$ be as in (i) and $\underline{v}(x, t)$ be the classical solution of the equation: $\mathcal{L}(\underline{v}) = \underline{v}_t - \underline{v}_{xx} = -bM^q$ in Q_r , with $\underline{v}(x_0 \pm r, t) = \varepsilon$, and $\underline{v}(x, 0) = u_0(x)$. Then $\underline{v}(x, t)$ is continuous in $t = 0$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\underline{v}) &\leq \mathcal{L}(u) \leq \mathcal{L}(\bar{v}) \quad \text{in } Q_r; \\ \underline{v}(x_0 \pm r, t) &\leq u(x_0 \pm r, t) \leq \bar{v}(x_0 \pm r, t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]; \\ \underline{v}(x, 0) &= u(x, 0) = \bar{v}(x, 0) \quad \text{for } x \in [x_0 - r, x_0 + r]. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the comparison principle we have that $\underline{v}(x, t) \leq u(x, t) \leq \bar{v}(x, t)$ in Q_r and

thus the continuity in $t = 0$ follows from the same property for $\underline{v}(x, t)$ and $\bar{v}(x, t)$ in $t = 0$. \square

Remark 3.10. The study of solutions of equation (1.1) with unbounded initial data started with the pioneering work of Kalashnikov [24] where the exponent q is assumed to be $q > 1$. More recent results (showing the nonuniqueness of solutions when $1 < q < m$) can be found in [26]. We also remark that if $q \in (0, 1)$ the growth condition (1.7) coincides with that of solutions of the unperturbed equation: i.e. the porous media equation if $m > 1$ and the linear heat equation if $m = 1$. As it was shown in [7] (for the porous media equation) it seems possible to generalise assumption (1.7) by expressing it in terms of a weighted average.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let u be any continuous solution of the equation (1.1). Assume that there exist $x_0 \in (-L, 0)$ and $C \in (0, C_0)$ such that

$$u(x, 0) \leq C(-x)_+^{2/(m-q)} \quad \text{if } x \in [x_0, 0]. \tag{4.1}$$

Let C_1 such that $C < C_1 < C_0$, and let $\bar{v}(x, t)$ be the solution of the Cauchy problem (1.4) with initial datum

$$\bar{v}(x, 0) = C_1(-x)_+^{2/(m-q)} \quad \text{for } x \in (-\infty, +\infty).$$

From the continuity of u and \bar{v} on the set $(-L, L) \times [0, T]$ and (4.1), we deduce the existence of a time $t_0 \in (0, T]$ such that

$$u(x_0, t) \leq \bar{v}(x_0, t) \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, t_0].$$

Then we are allowed to apply the comparison principle for (bounded) solutions of the equation (1.1) on the set $Q = (x_0, +\infty) \times (0, t_0)$ and thus we deduce that:

$$u(x, t) \leq \bar{v}(x, t) \quad \text{for any } (x, t) \in \bar{Q}. \tag{4.2}$$

Conclusion (i) of Theorem 1.1 is now a direct consequence of Theorem 2.2 and inequality (4.2). The assertion (ii) follows a similar argument. \square

We shall end this article by giving two direct applications of Theorem 1.1.

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let $u_0 \in C^{0,\beta}(-\infty, \infty) \cap L^\infty(-\infty, \infty)$ with $\beta \geq 1/m$ and such that $u_0 \geq 0$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Assume that $u_0(x) \leq C(-x)_+^{2/(m-q)}$ for any $x \in [x_0, +\infty)$, for some $x_0 < 0$ and $C < C_0$. Let u be the solution of the Cauchy problem (1.4) with initial datum u_0 , and let $\zeta(t)$ be defined by*

$$\zeta(t) = \sup \{x \in (-\infty, \infty) : u(x, t) > 0\}.$$

Then there exists a $t_0 > 0$ and a $a > 0$ such that

$$\zeta(t) \leq -at^{(m-q)/2(1-q)} \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, t_0].$$

If on the contrary, $u_0(x)$ satisfies

$$u_0(x) \geq C(-x)_+^{2/(m-q)} \quad \text{for any } x \in [x_0, +\infty), \text{ for some } x_0 < 0 \text{ and } C > C_0,$$

then

$$\zeta(t) \geq at^{(m-q)/2(1-q)} \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, t_0]$$

for some $t_0 > 0$ and a $a > 0$.

Remark 4.2. The existence and uniqueness of a continuous generalised solution of the Cauchy problem (1.4) was obtained in [25] and [28] (see also [29] and [21]). The study of the initial behaviour of the interfaces was started in [27], where Kersner also gave an explicit self-similar solution for the case $m + q = 2$. He obtained sufficient conditions for the existence of heating and cooling fronts which are special cases of the assumptions of Corollary 4.1, but no growth estimates on $\zeta(t)$ are given in that work. Our estimates also improve the ones given in [29]. The study of the initial growth of the interfaces via asymptotic methods started with the work of Rosenau and Kamin [32] and was continued by Grundy and Peletier [18, 19] for $(m = 1)$ and Grundy [17]. Finally, we mention the work of G. Díaz [11], where the study of the initial growth of the interface was carried out for the N -dimensional semilinear equation by using stochastic methods.

Remark 4.3. The comparison of the initial datum $u_0(x)$ and the stationary solution $u_\infty(x)$ is useful for many other purposes (see e.g. [23] where the authors study the finite extinction time phenomena for solutions of the semilinear heat equation with initial data in the class E_1).

Concerning the case of bounded domains we have the following corollary:

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let $u_0 \in C^{0,\beta}([-L, L])$ and $h_+, h_- \in C^{0,\beta}([0, T])$ with $\beta \geq 1/m$ and such that $u_0(-L) = h_-(0)$, $u_0(L) = h_+(0)$, $u_0 \geq 0$ and $h_+, h_- \geq 0$. Let $u \in C([-L, L] \times [0, T])$ be the (unique) generalised solution of the problem:*

$$\begin{cases} u_t = (u^m)_{xx} - \lambda u^q, & \text{in } Q = (-L, L) \times (0, T), \\ u(-L, t) = h_-(t), \quad u(L, t) = h_+(t), & \text{for } t \in (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & \text{for } x \in (-L, L). \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Assume that

$$u_0(x) \leq C(-x)_+^{2/(m-q)} \quad \text{for any } x \in (-x_0, x_0)$$

for some $x_0 \in (0, L)$, and $C \in (0, C_0)$. Then, if $\zeta(t)$ denotes the interface

$$\zeta(t) = \sup \{x \in (-L, L) : u(x, t) > 0\},$$

there exists $t_0 \in (0, T]$ and $a > 0$ such that

$$\zeta(t) \leq -at^{(m-q)/2(1-q)} \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, t_0].$$

If on the contrary $u_0(x)$ satisfies

$$u_0(x) \geq C(-x)_+^{2/(m-q)} \quad \text{for any } x \in (-x_0, x_0),$$

for some $x_0 \in (0, L)$ and $C > C_0$, then

$$\zeta(t) \geq at^{(m-q)/2(1-q)} \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, t_0],$$

for some $t_0 \in (0, T]$ and $a > 0$.

Remark 4.5. The existence and uniqueness of a generalised solution of the Dirichlet problem (4.3) has been obtained by different authors (see e.g. [30, 9])

and their references). It seems that the study of the formation of the free boundary by means of local methods and its application to the Dirichlet problem (4.3) was started in [13] (see also [14, 6, 16]). Our results also apply to formulations with an explicit (moving) free boundary (see [10, 20] and their references).

Remark 4.6. The initial growth of the interface $\zeta(t)$ is very close to the behaviour of the interface for the elliptic problem

$$-(u^m)_{xx} + \lambda u^q - \mu u = f(x), \quad x \in (-L, L).$$

The nondiffusion of the support property (see [12]) leads to results on the existence of a waiting time, and the dilation of the support property ([2, 1]) leads to the existence of heating fronts as in part (ii) of Theorem 1.1. The connection between the parabolic and elliptic problems is established by means of implicit time discretisation schemes.

References

- 1 L. Alvarez. On the behavior of the free boundary of some nonhomogeneous elliptic problems. *Applicable Anal.* **36** (1990), 131–144.
- 2 L. Alvarez and J. I. Díaz. On the behavior near the free boundary of solutions of some nonhomogeneous elliptic problems. In *Actas IX C.E.D.Y.A.* (Ed. Univ. de Valladolid, 1986), pp. 55–59.
- 3 L. Alvarez and J. I. Díaz. Sufficient and necessary initial mass conditions for the existence of a waiting time in nonlinear-convection processes. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **155** (1991), 378–392.
- 4 L. Alvarez, J. I. Díaz and R. Kersner. On the initial growth of the interfaces in nonlinear diffusion-convection processes. In *Nonlinear Diffusion Equations and Their Equilibrium States*, eds W.-M. Ni, L. A. Peletier and J. Serrin, pp. 1–20 (Berlin: Springer, 1988).
- 5 S. N. Antontsev and J. I. Díaz. New results on localization of solutions of nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations obtained by the energy methods. *Dokl. Acad. Nauk* **303** (1988), 524–529 (in Russian); English translation: *Soviet. Mat. Dokl.* **38** (1988), 535–539.
- 6 C. Bandle and I. Stakgold. The formation of the dead core in parabolic reaction-diffusion problems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **286** (1984), 275–293.
- 7 Ph. Benilan, M. G. Crandall and M. Pierre. Solutions of the porous medium equation in \mathbb{R}^N under optimal conditions on initial value. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **33** (1984), 51–87.
- 8 F. Bernis. Finite speed of propagation and asymptotic rates for some nonlinear higher order parabolic equations with absorption. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **104** (1986), 1–19.
- 9 M. Bertsch. A class of degenerate diffusion equations with a singular nonlinear term. *Nonlinear Anal.* **7** (1983), 117–127.
- 10 J. Crank and R. S. Gupta. A moving boundary problem arising from the diffusion of oxygen in absorbing tissue. *J. Inst. Math. Appl.* **10** (1972), 19–33.
- 11 G. Díaz. On the positivity set of solutions of semilinear equations by stochastic methods. In *Free Boundary Problems: Theory and Applications*, Vol. II, eds K. H. Hoffmann and J. Sprekels pp. 833–840 (Harlow: Longman, 1990).
- 12 J. I. Díaz. *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Free Boundaries: Vol. 1 Elliptic Equations*, Research Notes in Mathematics 106 (London: Pitman, 1985).
- 13 J. I. Díaz and J. Hernandez. Some results on the existence of free boundaries for parabolic reaction-diffusion systems. In *Trends in Theory and Practice of Nonlinear Differential Equations*, ed. V. Lakshmikantham, Proceeding of a meeting held at Lexington, Texas, June, 1982, pp. 149–156 (New York: Marcel Dekker, 1984).
- 14 J. I. Díaz and J. Hernandez. Qualitative properties of free boundaries for some nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations. In *Nonlinear parabolic equations: qualitative properties of solutions*, pp. 85–93 (Harlow: Longman, 1987).
- 15 A. Friedman. *Partial Differential Equations of the Parabolic Type* (Englewood Cliffs N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1969).
- 16 A. Friedman and M. A. Herrero. Extinction properties of semilinear heat equations with strong absorption. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **124** (1987), 530–546.

- 17 R. E. Grundy. Asymptotic solutions of a model diffusion-reaction equation. *IMA J. Appl. Math.* **40** (1988), 53–72.
- 18 R. E. Grundy and L. A. Peletier. Short time behavior of a singular solution to the heat equation with absorption. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect A* **107** (1987), 271–288.
- 19 R. E. Grundy and L. A. Peletier. The initial interface development for a reaction-diffusion equation with power law initial data. *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.* (to appear).
- 20 S. Gutman and R. H. Martin Jr. The porous medium equation with nonlinear absorption and moving boundaries. *Israel J. Math.* **54** (1986), 81–109.
- 21 M. A. Herrero and J. L. Vazquez. The one-dimensional nonlinear heat equation with absorption: Regularity of solutions and interfaces. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **18** (1987), 149–167.
- 22 M. A. Herrero and J. L. Vazquez. Thermal waves in absorbing media. *J. Differential Equations* **74** (1988), 218–233.
- 23 M. A. Herrero and J. J. L. Velazquez. On the dynamics of a semilinear heat equation with strong absorption. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **14** (1989), 1653–1715.
- 24 A. S. Kalashnikov. The propagation of disturbances in problems of non-linear heat conduction with absorption. *USSR. Comput. Math. and Math. Phys.* **14** (1974), 70–85.
- 25 A. S. Kalashnikov. The effect of absorption on heat propagation in a medium in which the thermal conductivity depends on temperature. *U.S.S.R. Comput. Math. and Math. Phys.* **16** (1976), 141–149.
- 26 S. Kamin, L. A. Peletier and J. L. Vazquez. A nonlinear diffusion-absorption equation with unbounded data. In *Nonlinear diffusion equations and their equilibrium states*. III, eds N. G. Lloyd *et al.*, pp. 243–263 (Boston: Birkhauser, 1992).
- 27 R. Kersner. The behavior of temperature fronts in media with nonlinear thermal conductivity under absorption. *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **31** (1976), 90–95.
- 28 R. Kersner. Degenerate parabolic equations with general nonlinearities. *Nonlinear Anal.* **4** (1984), 1043–1062.
- 29 B. F. Knerr. The behavior of the support of solutions of the equation of nonlinear heat conduction with absorption in one dimension. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **249** (1979), 409–424.
- 30 M. Langlais and D. Phillips. Stabilization of solutions of nonlinear and degenerate evolution problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **9** (1985), 321–333.
- 31 I. B. Palymskii. Some qualitative properties of solutions of nonlinear heat equations for nonlinear heat conductivity with absorption. In *Chislennye Met. Mekh. Sploshnoi Sredy (Novosibirsk)* **16** (1985), 136–145.
- 32 P. Rosenau and S. Kamin. Thermal waves in an absorbing and convecting medium. *Phys. D* **8** (1983), 273–283.
- 33 J. L. Vazquez. The interfaces of one-dimensional flows in porous media. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **285** (1984), 717–737.

(Issued 29 October 1993)