## NEW APPLICATIONS OF MONOTONICITY METHODS TO A CLASS OF NON-MONOTONE PARABOLIC QUASILINEAR SUB-HOMOGENEOUS PROBLEMS

### JESÚS ILDEFONSO DÍAZ

ABSTRACT. The main goal of this survey is to show how some monotonicity methods related with the subdifferential of suitable convex functions lead to new and unexpected results showing the continuous and monotone dependence of solutions with the respect to the data (and coefficients) of the problem. In this way, this paper offers 'a common roof' to several methods and results concerning monotone and non-monotone frameworks. Besides to present here some new results, this paper offers also a peculiar review to some topics which attracted the attention of many specialists in elliptic and parabolic nonlinear partial differential equations in the last years under the important influence of Haïm Brezis. To be more precise, the model problem under consideration concerns to positive solutions of a class of doubly nonlinear diffusion parabolic equations with some sub-homogeneous non-monotone forcing terms.

#### 1. Introduction

This survey offers a common roof to several methods and results concerning the continuous dependence of solutions with respect to the data in monotone and non-monotone frameworks. So, besides to present here some new results, this paper offers also a peculiar survey to some topics which attracted the attention of many specialists in elliptic and parabolic nonlinear partial differential equations in the last years. We will show how some monotonicity methods (as in Brezis [48] and Lions [114]), related with the subdifferential of suitable convex functions, lead to new results concerning the monotone and continuous dependence of solutions on an unexpected framework for the problem under consideration. Our main goal here is not exactly the existence of solutions but the continuous and monotone dependence of solutions with respect to the data (and coefficients) of the problem in  $L^2$  when the expected space for it is reduced to  $L^1$ . Most of the result of this paper will deal with positive solutions of the following class of doubly nonlinear diffusion parabolic equations (in divergence form) with a

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K55, 35B30, 47H06.

Key words and phrases. Subhomogenous parabolic equations, monotone and continuous dependence, accretive operators.

sub-homogeneous non-monotone forcing term

(P) 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(u^{2q-1}) - \Delta_p u &= f(x, u) + h(t, x)u^{q-1} & \text{in } Q_T := (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \Sigma := (0, T) \times \partial \Omega, \\ u(0, .) &= u_0(.) & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 1$ , T > 0 and with  $\Delta_p u$  the usual p-Laplacian operator,  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$  for 1 . We emphasize that probably the interest of our results is not for the applications to the above doubly nonlinear equations but by its method of proof. Moreover, they are new even for the case of a linear diffusion as <math>(P) with p = 2. We assume in (P) a possible nonlinear inertia term (i.e. in the time derivative), for some

$$(1.1) q \in (1, p]$$

and a sub-homogeneous forcing term  $f(x,u) + h(t,x)u^{q-1}$ , where

$$(1.2) h \in L^1(0, T : L^2(\Omega)),$$

and with the non-homogeneous perturbation term f(x, u) satisfying the following structural assumptions:

- (f1) f(x, u) is a continuous function on  $u \in (0, +\infty)$ , for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and  $x \to f(x, u)$  belongs to  $L^2(\Omega)$ , for any  $u \in (0, +\infty)$ ,
- (f2)  $f(x,u) = f_1(x,u) + f_2(x,u)$  with  $\frac{f_1(x,u)}{u^{q-1}}$  non increasing and  $\frac{f_2(x,u)}{u^{q-1}}$  is globally Lipschitz continuous in  $u \in (0,+\infty)$ , of Lipschitz constant  $K \geq 0$ , for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ,
- (f3)  $\lim_{r\downarrow 0} \frac{f_1(x,r)}{r^{q-1}} = a_0(x)$  with  $a_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

Additionally, in some cases, we shall need also the condition

(f4) for any z > 0 there exists  $v_z \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  such that

$$z = \frac{f_1(x, v_2(x))}{|v_2(x)|^{q-1}} - a_0(x) \ a.e.x \in \Omega.$$

Notice that, since we shall not pay attention to the existence of solutions but to the continuous dependence with respect to the data, no sign condition is assumed on h(t,x) although we are interested in positive solutions of (P). Notice also that, as in [88], condition (f2) can be simply formulated as

$$f(x,u)-f(x,\widehat{u})\geq -K\big(u^{q-1}-\widehat{u}^{q-1}\big) \text{ for any } u>\widehat{u}\geq 0 \text{ and a.e. } x\in\Omega.$$

Condition (f4), of technical nature, will be required only when  $f_1(x,r)$  is x-dependent and express some kind of surjectivity condition of the application  $u \mapsto \frac{f_1(x,u)}{u^{q-1}}$ , over  $(0,+\infty)$ . We also point out that assumptions (f1) and (f4), for some  $q \in (1,p]$ , are compatible with other assumptions, near r=0 and near  $r=+\infty$ , which arise in the literature and that allows to consider some singular problems. For instance, in [86] it was proved that

the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a positive solution for the stationary problem associated to (P), when h(t,x) = K = 0 is that

$$\lambda_1(-\Delta_p v - a_0 v^{p-1}) < 0$$

and

$$\lambda_1(-\Delta_p v - a_\infty v^{p-1}) > 0, \ a_\infty(x) = \lim_{r \uparrow + \infty} \frac{f_1(x, r)}{r^{p-1}}.$$

There are many variants in the literature: for instance, in [101] (see page 275) it is assumed (for p=2) that  $\lim_{r\downarrow 0} \frac{f_1(x,r)}{r^{p-1}} = +\infty$  and that  $\lim_{r\uparrow +\infty} \frac{f_1(x,r)}{r^{p-1}} = 0$ 

On the initial condition we will assume that

(1.3) 
$$u_0 \in L^{2q}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \ u_0 > 0 \text{ on } \Omega.$$

but some more general conditions are also possible (see Remark 3.3).

Very often the nonlinear diffusion equation is equivalently written, in terms of  $W=u^{2q-1}$  with

$$m = \frac{1}{2q-1} \in \left[\frac{1}{2p-1}, 1\right)$$

as

$$(P_{m,p,q}) \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \partial_t W - \Delta_p W^m & = & f(x,W^m) + h(t,x) (W^m)^{\frac{1-2m}{2m}} & \text{in } Q_T, \\ W^m & = & 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ W(0,.) & = & u_0^{2q-1} & \text{on } \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

Since  $(p-1)m = \frac{p-1}{2q-1} \in [\frac{p-1}{2q-1}, p-1)$ , the diffusion operator in problem (P), i.e.  $(P_{m,p,q})$ , offers three different classes of diffusions, in the terminology of [81], [108], [67], [134], [103], [68], [136]:

i) fast diffusion (which corresponds to (p-1)m < 1, i.e.  $q \in (\max(\frac{p}{2},1),p]$ ), ii) slow diffusion (which corresponds to (p-1)m > 1, i.e. p > 2 and  $q \in (1,\frac{p}{2})$ ), and

iii) the case (p-1)m=1 (i.e.  $q=\frac{p}{2}$ ), which was considered, for instance, in [65] in connection with optimal logarithmic Sobolev inequalities: see also [128].

Since the perturbation in the right hand side can be written as  $(W^m)^{\frac{1-2m}{2m}} = W^r$  with  $r := \frac{1-2m}{2}$ , if we assume, for instance, p = 2 then  $m \in [\frac{1}{3}, 1)$  and, in particular 0 < r < m < 1: a case considered for h = 1 and f = 0 by several authors as, e.g. [119], and [107]: see also [105].

In the limit case q=1 (i.e. m=1), the problem formally includes a Heaviside function (a model similar to the one which appears in some climate models with the p-Laplace operator) since, roughly speaking, we can approximate the problem by other ones corresponding to a sequence of exponents  $q_n \searrow 1$  as  $n \to +\infty$  and thus it seems possible to extend the

conclusions to the multivalued problem

$$(P_H) \qquad \begin{cases} \partial_t W - \Delta_p W & \in f(x, W) + h(t, x) H(W) & \text{in } Q_T, \\ W & = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ W(0, .) & = W_0 & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

with H(r), the Heaviside, multivalued-function,  $H(r) = \{0\}$  if r < 0,  $H(r) = \{1\}$  if r > 0 and H(0) = [0, 1]. Problems similar to  $(P_H)$  appear in many contexts, and, in particular, in climate Energy Balance Models (see, e.g., [87], [38], and their references). For some comparison results concerning solutions of  $(P_{m,p,q})$  corresponding to two different values of m see [33]. The continuous dependence on m (even in a more general framework than the one here considered) was studied in [29] and [32].

It is well known (see, e.g., the exposition made in [48], [28], [88], [68]) that the theory of maximal monotone operators on Hilbert spaces [or, more in general, the theory of m-accretive operators in Banach spaces: see, e.g., [18], [31] and the surveys [93] and [37]] can be applied to the above class of problems in the absence of the forcing term or when it is assumed to be globally Lipschitz continuous on the corresponding functional space. But it seems that the applicability of the abstract theory of such type of operators is not well known in the literature when the forcing term is merely sublinear (if p = 2) or, more generally, sub-homogeneous ( $q \le p$  if  $p \ne 2$ ). For some pioneering results we send the reader to [111], [98], [109], [110], [6], [41], [113], [112] and the book [129].

As said before, the main goal of this paper is to show how the above mentioned monotonicity methods can be suitably applied also to this class of non-monotone problems, leading to a general framework (specially concerning the x-dependence of coefficients) in which it is possible to show the continuous and monotone dependence with respect to the data (the initial datum and the potential type coefficient h(t,x)) even if there are non-monotone terms in the right hand side.

As a matter of fact, in contrast with the previous literature, we will show that it is possible to give a sense to the solvability of the equation even for time dependent coefficients h(x,t) satisfying merely (1.2) (see some comments on the difficulties arising when using a more classical variational approach in [43], [12], [120]) and, what it is more important, without prescribing any sign on h(x,t), which corresponds to the so-called indefinite perturbed problems arising, for instance, in population dynamics: see [117], [17], [15] and [11], among many other possible references.

As we will see, it is useful to start our program by considering the sub-homogeneous *simpler* problem corresponding to  $f(x, u) \equiv 0$ , *i.e.* the problem

$$(P_q) \qquad \begin{cases} \partial_t(u^{2q-1}) - \Delta_p u &= h(t, x)u^{q-1} & \text{in } Q_T, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ u(0, .) &= u_0(.) & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

The existence and uniqueness of a  $L^1$ -mild positive solution when  $h(t,x) \leq 0$  is a consequence of the well-known m-T-accretivity results of the associated operator (see, e.g. [26], [88] and [136]). Nevertheless, since the right hand side is non-Lipschiz continuous, problem  $(P_q)$  (and also problem (P)) may have more than one solution (in particular when h(t,x) is changing sign and negative near  $\Sigma$  and we assume p>2 and  $q\in(1,\frac{p}{2})$ ). Nevertheless we can introduce a method to select only one  $L^1$ -mild positive solution by means of some monotonicity arguments. Indeed, we will select the  $L^1$ -mild positive solution u of  $(P_q)$  such that  $w(\frac{q}{2q-1}t)=u(t)^q$  coincides with the unique  $L^2$ -mild positive solution of the problem

(1.4) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{dw}{dt} + \partial J_{0,q}(w) \ni h(t) & \text{in } L^2(\Omega), \\ w(0) = w_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $J_{0,q}$  is the functional in  $L^2(\Omega)$  given by

$$J_{0,q}(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{q}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w^{\frac{1}{q}}|^p dx & \text{if } w \in D(J_{0,q}), \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with

$$D(J_{0,q}) := \{ w \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ such that } w \ge 0 \text{ and } w^{\frac{1}{q}} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \}.$$

Developing an idea of Díaz and Saá [86] (for  $p \neq 2$ ) we will see that  $J_{0,q}$  is a convex, lower semicontinuous functional and thus its subdifferential  $\partial J_{0,q}(w)$  is well defined and the uniqueness of a  $L^2$ -mild positive solution w of (1.4) is well-known. In that case we say that u(t) is the selected  $L^1$ -mild positive solution of  $(P_q)$  (and so it is unique). Of course that if, under some additional assumptions, it can be shown the uniqueness of a positive weak solution of the equation then necessarily it must coincides with the selected  $L^1$ -mild positive solution (see, e.g., [119], [107], [105], [59], [90] and [61], among others).

In Section 2 of this paper we will study the subdifferential  $\partial J_{0,q}(w)$ . We we will prove that, given  $\mu > 0$  and  $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ , the resolvent equation

$$(1.5) w + \mu \partial J_{0,q}(w) \ni h$$

is connected, through the relation  $w = u^q$ , with the auxiliary variational problem

$$\min_{v \in K} J_{h,q}(v)$$

where

$$K := \left\{ v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega), v \ge 0 \text{ on } \Omega \right\}$$

and

$$J_{h,q}(v) := \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2q} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{2q} \mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} h(x)|v|^q \mathrm{d}x.$$

Since the problem is sub-homogeneous  $(q \in (1, p])$  the different terms of  $J_{h,q}(v)$  satisfy good growth conditions and the existence and uniqueness of

a minimum  $v_{h,q} \in K$  can be obtained by standard direct methods of the Calculus of Variations (see, e.g., Lemma 5 of [23] for the case p = 2 and [132] for p > 1 and  $q \in [1, p]$ ). Once again, the Euler-Lagrange equation

(1.6) 
$$-\mu \Delta_p v + v^{2q-1} = h(x)v^{q-1} \text{ in } \Omega,$$

may have other weak solutions  $\hat{v} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  different to the minimum v of  $J_{h,q}$  (specially if the sign of h(x) is not prescribed, h(x) is negative near  $\Sigma$  and we assume p > 2 and  $q \in (1, \frac{p}{2})$ ) but the relation  $w = u^q$  allows to select only v when we assume that w is the solution of (1.5).

As we shall show in Section 3, the definition of a unique u(t) selected  $L^1$ -mild positive solution of (P) can be also obtained for the general case of  $f \neq 0$  as indicated before by following a similar process to the indicated above. The main result of this paper is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $q \in (1,p]$  and  $h \in L^1(0,T:L^2(\Omega))$ . Let  $u_0$ , f satisfying (1.3) and (f1)-(f3). Assume that  $f_1(x,u) = f_1(u)$  independent of x or  $f_1(x,u)$  satisfying also (f4). Then for any T > 0, there exists a unique selected positive  $L^1$ -mild solution u to problem (P) and  $u^q \in C([0,T]:L^2(\Omega))$ . In addition, if  $h \in L^{\infty}(0,T:L^{\infty}(\Omega))$  and  $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  then  $u \in L^{\infty}(0,T:L^{\infty}(\Omega))$ . Moreover, if  $v_0$  and g satisfy the same conditions than  $u_0$  and h, and if v is the respective selected positive  $L^1$ -mild solution of problem (P), then, for any  $t \in [0,T]$  we have the monotone continuous dependence estimate

where  $K \geq 0$  is the constant indicated in (f2).

Notice that, in particular, for the case of a slow diffusion, p > 2 and  $q \in (1, \frac{p}{2})$ , the above conclusions hold for 'flat solutions' (i.e. positive solutions such that  $u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$  on  $\Sigma$ ). Notice that even for the special case h = g estimate (1.7) is new for the doubly nonlinear problem (P): indeed, as indicated before the accretivity results of the doubly nonlinear diffusion operator leads only to  $L^1(\Omega)$ —monotone continuous dependence estimates (if p = 2 such estimates also hold on  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$  [48]), but not in  $L^2(\Omega)$  (see, e.g., Bénilan [27]) as it is expressed in (1.7).

We point out that, obviously, the function  $u_{\infty}(x) \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega$  is a trivial solution of the stationary problem associated to (P). Here we are interested on positive solutions of problem (P). We will prove (see Theorem 3.9) that, in fact, if  $q \in (1, \frac{p}{2})$  and p > 2,  $f(x, u) \equiv 0$ ,  $h \in L^1(0, T : L^2(\Omega))$ ,  $h \geq 0$  and  $u_0 \geq 0$  then there is no extinction in finite time, so that  $||u^{2q-1}(t)||_{L^2(\Omega)} > 0$  for any t > 0. The situation is different if  $q \in (\frac{p}{2}, p]$  since, at least for  $f(x, u) \equiv 0$  and  $h \leq 0$ , there is a finite extinction time  $T_e > 0$ , such that  $w(t) \equiv 0$ , in  $\Omega$ , for any  $t \geq T_e$ . In that case, we understand that the  $L^1$ -mild solution u(t) of (P) also extinguishes in  $\Omega$  after  $T_e$ .

In the Section 3 we will study of the auxiliary simplified problem  $(P_q)$  through the study of the subdifferential operator  $\partial J_{0,q}(v)$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . This will allow to get the proof of Theorem 1.1 by application of some abstract results on monotone operators on Hilbert spaces. Many other variants, commented in form of a series of Remarks, opening the application of this view point to many other different formulations, will be presented. This is the case, for instance when the p-Laplacian is replaced by an homogeneous diffusion operator of the form  $\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))$  with the homogeneity condition

$$A(x,t,\xi)=\left|t\right|^{p}A(x,\xi)\text{ for all }t\in\mathbb{R}\text{ and all }(x,\xi)\in\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^{N},$$
 where  $a(x,\xi)=\frac{1}{p}\partial_{\xi}A(x,\xi).$ 

## 2. On the subdifferential of $J_{0,q}$

The proof of the main results will be obtained through the study of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dw}{dt} + \partial J_{0,q}(w) \ni h(t) & \text{in } L^2(\Omega) \\ w(0) = w_0, \end{cases}$$

with  $J_{0,q}$  the functional presented in the Introduction. The convexity of  $J_{0,q}$  will play a crucial role in the rest of the paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** Given  $q \in (1, p]$ , the functional  $J_{0,q}$  is convex, lower semicontinuous and proper on  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

Proof. The proof for the case q=p was given in Lemma 1 of [86], and the proof for the case  $q\in(1,p)$  was obtained in [132] (see Lemma 4 and Example 5.2). A different proof of this last case can be obtained from Proposition 2.6 of [46]. To prove that  $J_{0,q}$  is lower semicontinuous in  $L^2(\Omega)$  it suffices to prove that if we have a sequence  $\rho_n\to\rho$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  such that  $J_{0,q}(\rho_n)\leq\lambda$  then  $J_{0,q}(\rho)\leq\lambda$ . But since  $\rho_n^{1/q}$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  there exists a subsequence, still labeled as  $\rho_n^{1/q}$ , such that  $\rho_n^{1/q}$  converges weakly in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , so that  $\nabla \rho_n^{1/q}$  converges weakly in  $L^p(\Omega)^N$  and since the norm is lower semicontinuous we obtain that  $\lim\inf_n J_{0,q}(\rho_n)\geq J_{0,q}(\rho)$ , and hence  $J_{0,q}(\rho)\leq\lambda$ .

**Remark 2.2.** As indicated in [86], the main results of [86] were presented in September 1985 in [85]. Its Lemma 1 extends and develops to the case  $p \neq 2$  Remark 2 of Brezis and Oswald [55] which was inspired in the paper Benguria, Brezis and Lieb [23] where some previous results of Rafael Benguria's Ph.D. thesis [22] were presented together with some newer results. So, in contrast to what is indicated in [46], the consideration of the case  $p \neq 2$  was not carried for the first time in [21] but in [85], [86] seventeen years before. The extension to the case of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  was carried out in [60] (for an extension to weaker solutions see [64]).

Remark 2.3. It seems, that the connection between Lemma 1 of [86] (called by some authors Diaz-Saá inequality when q = p, [60], [133]) and the generalization of the 1910 Picone inequality [121] (concerning originally with ordinary differential equations and much more later extended to partial differential equations in [2]; see, also the survey [91]) was pointed out for the first time in Chaib [60]. As a matter of fact, it was proved in Section 3.2 of [46] that the convexity of  $J_{0,q}$  (for any  $q \in (1,p]$ ) is equivalent to the generalized Picone inequality

$$\frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \left( \frac{z^q}{u^{q-1}} \right) \rangle \leq \frac{q}{p} |\nabla z|^p + \frac{p-q}{p} |\nabla u|^p \text{ a.e. on } \Omega$$

if 
$$u, z \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega), u > 0, z \ge 0$$
 on  $\Omega$ .

We recall that given a convex, l.s.c. function  $\phi: H \to (-\infty, +\infty]$ ,  $\phi$  proper, over a Hilbert space H, a pair  $(w,z) \in H \times H$  is such that  $z \in \partial \phi(w)$  if  $\forall \xi \in H$ ,  $\phi(\xi) \geq \phi(w) + (z, \xi - w)$ . We say that  $w \in D(\phi) := \{v \in H \text{ such that } \phi(v) < +\infty\}$  is such that  $w \in D(\partial \phi)$  if the set of  $z \in \partial \phi(w)$  is not empty. We have

$$D(\partial J_{0,q}) \subset D(J_{0,q}) \subset \overline{D(J_{0,q})}^{L^2} = \overline{D(\partial J_{0,q})}^{L^2}$$

(see Proposition 2.11 of Brezis [49]). The following result proves that the operator  $\partial J_{0,q}$  satisfies an additional property to the mere monotonicity: it is a T-monotone operator in  $L^2(\Omega)$  in the sense of Brezis-Stampacchia ([56]). This will explain later the comparison of solutions of problem (P) with respect to different data h(t,x) for solutions.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\tau(s) = s_+$ . Then for any  $w, \widehat{w} \in L^2(\Omega)$ 

$$(2.1) J_{0,q}(w - \tau(w - \widehat{w})) + J_{0,q}(\widehat{w} + \tau(w - \widehat{w})) \le J_{0,q}(w) + J_{0,q}(\widehat{w}).$$

In particular  $\partial J_{0,q}$  is a T-monotone operator in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , i.e. for any  $w, \widehat{w} \in D(\partial J_{0,q})$  and  $z \in \partial J_{0,q}(w)$ ,  $\widehat{z} \in \partial J_{0,q}(\widehat{w})$ ,

(2.2) 
$$\int_{\Omega} (z - \widehat{z})[w - \widehat{w}]_{+} dx \ge 0,$$

and given  $h, \hat{h} \in L^2(\Omega)$ , if for  $\mu > 0$ ,  $w, \hat{w} \in L^2(\Omega)$  are such that

(2.3) 
$$w + \mu \partial J_{0,q}(w) \ni h \text{ and } \widehat{w} + \mu \partial J_{0,q}(\widehat{w}) \ni \widehat{h},$$

then

(2.4) 
$$||[w - \widehat{w}]_{+}||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \le ||[h - \widehat{h}]_{+}||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}.$$

*Proof.* Property (2.1) is equivalent to the inequality

$$(2.5) J_{0,q}(\min(w,\widehat{w})) + J_{0,q}(\max(w,\widehat{w})) \le J_{0,q}(w) + J_{0,q}(\widehat{w}).$$

Obviously we can assume  $w, \widehat{w}, \min(w, (\widehat{w}-k)), \max((w-k), \widehat{w}) \in D(J_{0,q}) := \{v \geq 0 \text{ and } v^{\frac{1}{q}} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\frac{2}{q}}(\Omega)\}$  and then, by Stampacchia's truncation results, we can write

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \min(w, \widehat{w})^{\frac{1}{q}}|^p dx = \int_{\{w \le \widehat{w}\}} |\nabla w^{\frac{1}{q}}|^p dx + \int_{\{w > \widehat{w}\}} |\nabla \widehat{w}^{\frac{1}{q}}|^p dx$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \max(w,\widehat{w})^{\frac{1}{q}}|^p \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\{w > \widehat{w}\}} |\nabla w^{\frac{1}{q}}|^p \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\{w \leq \widehat{w}\}} |\nabla \widehat{w}^{\frac{1}{q}}|^p \mathrm{d}x.$$

Adding both expressions we get inequality (2.5). To show that (2.5) implies that  $\partial J_{0,q}$  is a T-monotone operator in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , i.e. (2.2) we shall develop a suggestion made by H. Brezis in Remark 1.10 of [47]. Since  $z \in \partial J_{0,q}(w)$  and  $\hat{z} \in \partial J_{0,q}(\hat{w})$  we know that

$$J_{0,q}(v) - J_{0,q}(w) \ge \int_{\Omega} z[v - w] dx \ge 0 \quad \text{for any } v \in L^2(\Omega),$$
  
$$J_{0,q}(v) - J_{0,q}(\widehat{w}) \ge \int_{\Omega} \widehat{z}[v - \widehat{w}] dx \ge 0 \quad \text{for any } v \in L^2(\Omega).$$

By taking  $v = \min(w, \widehat{w}) = w - [w - \widehat{w}]_+$  in the first of the two inequalities, and  $v = \max(w, \widehat{w}) = \widehat{w} + [w - \widehat{w}]_+$  in the second one, using that

$$\min(w, \widehat{w}) - w = -[w - \widehat{w}]_+$$
 and  $\max(w, \widehat{w}) - \widehat{w} = [w - \widehat{w}]_+$ ,

by adding the results we get

$$J_{0,q}(\min(w,\widehat{w})) + J_{0,q}(\max(w,\widehat{w})) - J_{0,q}(w) - J_{0,q}(\widehat{w}) \le -\int_{\Omega} (z-\widehat{z})[w-\widehat{w}]_{+} dx,$$

and thus inequality (2.5) implies property (2.2). By well-known results (see Section IV.4 of Brezis [49]) we get conclusion (2.4).

**Remark 2.5.** For some convex functionals J a stronger property than (2.1) holds:

$$(2.6) J(\min(w,(\widehat{w}-k))) + J(\max((w-k),\widehat{w})) \le J(w) + J(\widehat{w})$$

for any k > 0. This property is equivalent ([35]) to inequality (2.1) for any  $\tau : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  Lipschitz continuous with  $0 \le \tau' \le 1$  and  $\tau(0) = 0$  and for any k > 0. This property (2.1) implies several important properties for the realization of the operator  $w \to \partial J(w)$  over the Banach spaces  $L^s(\Omega)$ ,  $1 \le s \le +\infty$  (see Lemma 3 of [57] and its generalization in a series of papers (Théorème 1.2 and Remark 1.4 of [35], [24], [25]) and ([35]). Property (2.1) holds for the class of the, so called, normal convex functionals (see the above mentioned references) but to check it for the special case of the functional  $J_{0,q}$  remains as an open problem (some partial results can be obtained in this direction: see Remark 3.11).

An uneasy task is to identify the operator  $\partial J_{0,q}$  involved in the resolvent equation (2.3) in terms of the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to the functional  $J_{0,q}$ . When trying to do that directly, using merely the functional  $J_{0,q}$ , we see that, if we assume that w > 0 on  $\Omega$ , given a direction test function  $\zeta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)$  the Gâteaux derivative of  $J_{0,q}$  in w in the direction  $\zeta$  is given formally by

(2.7) 
$$J'_{0,q}(w;\zeta) = -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\Delta_p(w^{\frac{1}{q}})}{w^{\frac{q-1}{q}}} \zeta dx.$$

Thus, at least formally, the convexity of  $J_{0,q}$  implies the monotonicity in  $L^2(\Omega)$  of its subdifferential and so

(2.8) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \left( -\frac{\Delta_p(w^{\frac{1}{q}})}{w^{\frac{q-1}{q}}} + \frac{\Delta_p(\widehat{w}^{\frac{1}{q}})}{\widehat{w}^{\frac{q-1}{q}}} \right) (w - \widehat{w}) dx \ge 0.$$

In [86] it was shown that expression (2.7) is well justified if we assume  $w \in \mathcal{D}(J_{0,q})$  and  $w, \Delta_p(w^{\frac{1}{q}}) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . A different justification was made in Remark 3.3 of Takač [131], this time under the additional condition that w > 0 on any compact subset  $M \subset \Omega$ ,

$$\frac{\Delta_p(w^{\frac{1}{q}})}{w^{\frac{q-1}{q}}} \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega),$$

and  $w \in C^0(\Omega)$ . Nevertheless, it is possible to get some more general justifications when instead of analyzing separately  $J'_{0,q}(w;\zeta)$  we consider the resolvent equation (2.3). The following result is inspired by Lemma 6 of [23] concerning a related problem in which p = q = 2 and N = 3.

**Lemma 2.6.** Given  $q \in (1,p]$ ,  $h \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\mu > 0$ , assume that  $w \in D(\partial J_{0,q})$ ,  $w \geq 0$ , satisfies the resolvent equation (1.5). Then function  $v := w^{\frac{1}{q}}$  satisfies that  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega)$ ,  $\Delta_p v$ ,  $h(x)v^{q-1} \in L^1(\Omega)$ , v is positive in the sense that

(2.9) 
$$|\{x \in \Omega : v(x) = 0\}| = 0,$$

and v satisfies the sub-homogeneous equation (1.6) in the sense of distributions. Moreover,

i) if 
$$1 < q < p$$
 and  $0 < h_{-}(x) = \max(-h(x), 0) \le C_{h_{-}} near \partial \Omega$  (2.10)

$$v(x) \ge Cd(x,\partial\Omega)^{\frac{p}{p-q}}$$
 a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , for some  $C > 0$  dependent of  $C_{h_-}$ ,

ii) if 
$$h_{-}(x) \equiv 0$$
 near  $\partial\Omega$  and  $p > 2$  with  $q \in (1, \frac{p}{2})$  then (2.11)

$$v(x) \ge Cd(x,\partial\Omega)^{\frac{p}{p-2q}}$$
 a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , for some  $C > 0$  independent on  $h$ ,

iii) if 
$$h_{-}(x) \equiv 0$$
 near  $\partial\Omega$  and  $q \in [\frac{p}{2}, p)$  if  $p > 2$ , or  $q \in (\max(1, \frac{p}{2}), p)$  if  $p \leq 2$ , then

$$(2.12) \quad v(x) \geq Cd(x,\partial\Omega) \ a.e. \ x \in \Omega, \ for \ some \ C > 0 \ independent \ on \ h,$$

iv) if q = p then

(2.13) 
$$v(x) \ge Cd(x, \partial\Omega)$$
 a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , for some  $C > 0$  independent on h.

*Proof.* Since  $D(\partial J_{0,q}) \subset D(J_{0,q})$  we know that  $v = w^{\frac{1}{q}} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega)$ . Moreover  $h(x)v^{q-1} \in L^1(\Omega)$  since  $v \in L^{2q-2}(\Omega)$  and  $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Therefore the equation (1.6) has a meaning in the sense of distributions. Let  $\eta \in \widetilde{D} := W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega)$  (i.e. without the sign condition  $\eta \geq 0$ ). Define the functional

$$J_{h,q}(\eta) = \frac{\mu}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta|^p dx + \frac{1}{2q} \int_{\Omega} |\eta|^{2q} dx - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} h(x) |\eta|^q dx.$$

Therefore, for every  $\eta \in \widetilde{D}$ 

$$J_{h,q}(v) \leq J_{h,q}(\eta)$$

so, v is a minimum of  $J_{h,q}$  on  $\widetilde{D}$ . Now, for  $\zeta \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , using that  $d(J_{h,q}(v + \epsilon \zeta))/d\epsilon = 0$  we conclude easily that

$$\mu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla \eta dx + \int_{\Omega} v^{2q-1} \eta dx = \int_{\Omega} h(x) v^q \eta dx,$$

which proves v satisfies (1.6) and  $\Delta_p v \in L^2(\Omega)$ . On the other hand,

$$-\frac{\Delta_p(w^{\frac{1}{q}})}{w^{\frac{1}{q}}} = h(x) - w \in L^2(\Omega),$$

so, necessarily, w is positive (in the sense of (2.9)). Moreover, using the decomposition  $h(x) = h_{+}(x) - h_{-}(x)$ , with

$$h_{+}(x) = \max(h(x), 0), h_{-}(x) = \max(-h(x), 0),$$

we can write (1.6) as

$$-\mu \Delta_n v + v^{2q-1} + h_-(x)v^{q-1} = h_+(x)v^{q-1} \text{ in } \Omega.$$

The proof of iii) and v) is consequence of the strong maximum principle ([135], [124]) once that  $v \geq 0$  on  $\Omega$ ,  $-\mu \Delta_p v + v^{2q-1} + h_-(x)v^{q-1} \geq 0$  and since the zero order terms in the above inequality are super-homogeneous  $(2q-1 \geq p-1)$  and  $h_-(x)=0$  near  $\partial \Omega$  if  $q \in [\frac{p}{2}, p)$ .

To prove i) and ii) notice that in both cases there is a strong absorption with respect to the diffusion once we write

$$-\mu \Delta_p v + v^{2q-1} + h_-(x)v^{q-1} = h_+(x)v^{q-1}.$$

In the case ii), if  $h_{-}(x) = 0$  on a neighborhood  $D_{\delta}$  of  $\partial\Omega$ , with  $D_{\delta} = \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \delta\}$ , for some  $\delta > 0$ , then  $-\mu\Delta_{p}v + v^{2q-1} \geq 0$  in  $D_{\delta}$ . Given M > 0 and  $\epsilon > 0$ , small enough, the set

$$\Omega_{\epsilon,M} = \left\{ x \in \Omega : \epsilon \le v(x) \le M \right\}$$

is a neighborhood of  $\partial\Omega$  contained in  $D_{\delta}$  (i.e.  $\Omega_{\epsilon,M} \subset D$ ). Then, for any  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega_{\epsilon,M}$ , we can use a local barrier function  $\underline{V}(x)$  based on the expression  $c |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-2q}}$  over the set  $\Omega_{\epsilon,M} \cap B_{\delta}(x_0)$ , for some c > 0. As in the proof

of Theorem 2.3 of [5], it is possible to chose c > 0 (independent of h) such that  $\underline{V}(x)$  is a local subsolution, in the sense that

$$\begin{cases} -\mu \Delta_p \underline{V} + \underline{V}^{2q-1} \leq 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{\epsilon,M} \cap B_{\delta}(x_0), \\ \underline{V} \leq v & \text{on } \partial(\Omega_{\epsilon,M} \cap B_{\delta}(x_0)). \end{cases}$$

Thus, by the weak comparison principle  $v(x) \geq \underline{V}(x)$  on  $\Omega_{\epsilon,M} \cap B_{\delta}(x_0)$ , which implies (2.11) since  $\Omega$  is bounded (see an alternative direct proof, for N = 1, in Proposition 1.5 of [70]).

The proof of i) follows also those type of arguments. Since q < p and  $h_{-}(x) \leq \overline{h}_{-}$  on a neighborhood  $D_{\delta}$  of  $\partial \Omega$  we can built a local subsolution  $\underline{V}^{*}(x)$  on the set  $\Omega_{\epsilon,M}$  (a neighborhood  $D_{\delta}$  of  $\partial \Omega$ ) such that

$$-\mu \Delta_p \underline{V}^* + \overline{h}_- \underline{V}^{*q-1} \le 0 \text{ in } \Omega_{\epsilon,M} \cap B_{\delta}(x_0),$$

and the same above arguments apply (leading to the estimate (2.11) since  $\Omega$  is bounded) but now building the subsolution by modifying the function  $c |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-q}}$  with c depending on  $\overline{h}_-$ .

It is useful to study some additional properties satisfied by the subdifferential  $\partial J_{0,q}$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** i)  $\partial J_{0,q}$  generates a compact semigroup over  $L^2(\Omega)$ 

ii) the resolvent operator  $(I + \mu \partial J_{0,q})^{-1}$  leaves invariant the subspace  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ; i.e. if  $h \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and if  $w \in D(\partial J_{0,q})$ ,  $w \geq 0$ , satisfies (1.5) then  $w \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , for any  $\mu > 0$ .

*Proof.* i) Let  $\{h_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a bounded sequence in  $L^2(\Omega)$ ,

$$||h_n||_{L^2(\Omega)} \le M.$$

In particular,  $h_n \rightharpoonup h$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  to some  $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Let  $w_n \in D(\partial J_{0,q}), w_n \ge 0$  be the associated solution of (1.5) for any given  $\mu > 0$ . Then, by Lemma 2.6  $v_n := w_n^{\frac{1}{q}}$  satisfies that  $v_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega), \Delta_p v_n, h_n(x) v_n^{q-1} \in L^1(\Omega), v_n$  is positive and satisfies the sub-homogeneous equation

(2.14) 
$$-\mu \Delta_p v_n + v_n^{2q-1} = h_n(x) v_n^{q-1} \text{ in } \Omega,$$

in the sense of distributions. By multiplying the equation  $w_n + \mu \partial J_{0,q}(w_n) \ni h_n$  by  $w_n$ , from the monotonicity of  $\partial J_{0,q}$  we get

$$||w_n||_{L^2(\Omega)} \le M$$

and so

$$||v_n||_{L^{2q}(\Omega)} \le M.$$

Thus

$$\left\| -\mu \Delta_p v_n + v_n^{2q-1} \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \le M'$$

for some M' > 0 (independent on n) and thus there exists a subsequence such that  $v_n \to v$  strongly in  $L^1(\Omega)$  and weakly in  $W^{1,s}(\Omega)$  for any  $1 \le s \le N(p-1)/(N-1)$  (see, e.g., [67] Chapter 4 and its references). By

the dominated convergence Lebesgue theorem  $v_n^q \to v^q$  strongly in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Moreover, integrating by parts

$$\mu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} v^{2q} \mathrm{d}x \le M''$$

for some M''>0 and then  $v\in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\cap L^{2q}(\Omega),\ \Delta_p v,\ h(x)v^{q-1}\in L^1(\Omega)$  (see, e.g. [43]) and so  $w_n\to w$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Applying the results of [50] (see also Theorem 2.2.2 of [141]) we get the conclusion.

The proof of ii) follows by the Stampacchia iteration method and it is an obvious modification of Theorem 5.5 of ([43]) (notice that their arguments, for the case 1 < q < p, apply also for this special purpose to the limit case q = p).

Remark 2.8. Notice that the functional  $J_{h,q}$  may have other stationary points different to  $w^{1/q}$ , with w solution of the resolvent equation (1.5). What the above lemma shows is that the relation  $v = w^{1/q}$  gives a uniqueness criterion for positive solutions of (1.6). The positivity of v is fundamental since it is known that if  $|\{x \in \Omega : v(x) = 0\}| > 0$  (which arise, in particular, when  $h(x) \leq -\overline{h}_- < 0$  in a neighborhood of  $\partial\Omega$  and q < p ([130])) there is multiplicity of nonnegative solutions of (1.6) (see also [17]). Nevertheless, if q < p, the uniqueness result applies to 'flat solutions' (i.e. positive solutions such that  $u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$  on  $\Sigma$ ) (see [77]). When the set  $\{x \in \Omega : h(x) < 0\}$  is big enough (or if  $\{x \in \Omega : h(x) = 0\}$  is big enough and  $q \in (1,p)$ ) there are some nonnegative solutions v of (1.6) which may vanish on some positively measured subset of  $\Omega$  (and so their support is strictly included in  $\overline{\Omega}$ ). This property (which does not holds when  $v = w^{1/q}$  with w solution of (1.5)) can be obtained by comparison methods: through a refined version of [39] (see [67], [69]), by local energy type methods ([10]), etc.

**Remark 2.9.** It is clear that it is possible to consider equations like (1.6) with some different balances between the nonlinear absorption  $(v^{2q-1})$  and forcing  $(v^{q-1})$  terms. Our special case is motivated by the application of the semigroup theory to the operator  $\partial J_{0,q}(w)$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

**Remark 2.10.** Lemma 2.6 admits many generalizations dealing with  $h \notin L^2(\Omega)$  but still with solutions  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega)$ . It seems possible to complement inequality (2.4) by other inequalities involving different exponents on the norms of the data and the solutions (see, e.g., [43] and [120] in the parabolic framework and Remark 3.11).

**Remark 2.11.** It is possible to extend the above approach by replacing the p-Laplace operator by more general quasilinear homogeneous operators of the form  $\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))$  with

$$A(x, t\xi) = |t|^p A(x, \xi)$$
 for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ,

where

$$a(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{p}\partial_{\xi}A(x,\xi)$$

(see [131] and [104]). We point out that the application of the abstract results of the accretive operators theory allows also the consideration of this type of diffusion operators (see, e.g., [26]).

**Remark 2.12.** A crucial property of the functional  $J_{0,q}(w)$  is its strict ray-convexity: it means that  $J_{0,q}(w)$  is strictly convex except for any couple of colinear points w,  $\widehat{w}$  with  $\widehat{w} = \alpha w$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, +\infty)$ . That was used in [7], [132] and [131] to get the uniqueness of nonnegative solutions when  $\frac{f_1(x,u)}{u^{q-1}}$  in (f2) is not strictly decreasing (as it is the case of the first eigenfunction of the p-Laplacian).

Remark 2.13. The limit case  $p=\infty$  (defined in a suitable way) can be also considered since, curiously enough, it is an homogeneous operator of exponent 3 (see, e.g., [66]). It is well-known that the other limit case p=1 can be also treated as a subdifferential of a convex function (see e.g., [8]) but the unique choice to apply the reasoning of this paper seems to be q=p=1 and then the results reduce to the well-known case of monotone perturbations. It would be interesting to know if it is possible to get the uniqueness of nonnegative solutions of equations involving some different kind of non-monotone sub-homogeneity nonlinear term.

# 3. Selected $L^s$ -mild solutions, proof of the main theorem and further remarks

It is useful to unify the application of abstract results on the associated Cauchy Problem to the case of the Banach spaces  $L^s(\Omega)$ , for any  $s \in [1, +\infty]$ . For instance, we can define the realizations of the operator  $\partial J_{0,q}$  over the spaces  $L^s(\Omega)$ , for any  $s \in [1, +\infty]$  as  $A_s = \overline{\partial J_{0,q}}^{L^s}$  in the sense of graphs over  $L^s(\Omega) \times L^s(\Omega)$ : i.e.,  $A_s : D(A_s) \to \mathcal{P}(L^s(\Omega))$  and  $z \in A_s(w)$  if and only if there exists  $z_n \in \partial J_{0,q}(w_n)$  such that  $w_n \to w$  and  $z_n \to z$  in  $L^s(\Omega)$ , so that  $D(A_s) = \left\{ w \in L^s(\Omega) : \exists w_n \in L^2(\Omega), \text{ with } w_n^{\frac{1}{q}} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{2q}(\Omega) \text{ such that } w_n \to w \text{ in } L^s(\Omega) \right\}$ .

Then, we consider the Cauchy problem

(3.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{dw}{dt} + A_s w \ni F(t) & \text{in } L^s(\Omega) \\ w(0) = w_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $w_0 \in \overline{D(A_s)}$  and  $F \in L^1(0,T:L^s(\Omega))$ . In our case, two relevant examples are  $A_2 = \partial J_{0,q}$  and the  $L^1(\Omega)$  operator

$$\begin{cases} AW = -\Delta_p W^m, \text{ for } W \in D(A), \text{ with} \\ D(A) = \{W \in L^1(\Omega), W^m \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega), \Delta_p W^m \in L^1(\Omega)\}, \end{cases}$$

given m > 0 and p > 1.

We start by recalling the definition of *mild solution* of (3.1) by particularizing the abstract framework to the case of the Banach space  $X = L^s(\Omega)$ . The good class of operators to solve (3.1) is the class of accretive operators (resp. T-accretive operators) over a Banach space X: i.e.  $A: D(A) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x-\widehat{x}\| &\leq \|x-\widehat{x}+\mu(y-\widehat{y})\| \\ \text{(resp. } \|[x-\widehat{x}]_+\| &\leq \|[x-\widehat{x}+\mu(y-\widehat{y})]_+\| \text{)} \\ \text{whenever } \mu &> 0 \text{ and } (x,y), (\widehat{x},\widehat{y}) \in A. \end{aligned}$$

The operator is called *m-accretive* if in addition R(I+A)=X. For many results and definitions about mild solutions of the Cauchy Problem for accretive operators in Banach spaces see, e.g., [18], [19], [31], [67], [138], [93] and [37]. We recall that over any Hilbert space (as  $L^2(\Omega)$ ) the class of m-Taccetive operators coincides with the class of maximal T-monotone operators and thus it is possible to apply the abstract theory presented in Brezis [49]) to problem (1.4). The notion of mild solution below is well defined in both cases: Hilbert and Banach spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** A function  $w \in C([0,T]:L^s(\Omega))$  is a  $L^s$ -mild solution of (3.1) if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a partition  $\{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots t_n\}$  of  $[0,t_n]$  and there exist two finite sequences  $\{w_i\}_{i=0}^n$ ,  $\{F_i\}_{i=0}^n$  in  $L^s(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} (i) \frac{w_{i+1} - w_i}{t_{i+1} - t_i} + A_s w_{i+1} \ni F_{i+1}, & i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 \\ (ii) t_{i+1} - t_i < \epsilon \\ (iii) 0 \le T - t_n < \epsilon \\ (iv) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \|F_i - F(t)\|_{L^s(\Omega)} dt < \epsilon, \end{cases}$$

and

$$||w_{\epsilon}(t) - w(t)||_{L^{s}(\Omega)} \le \epsilon \text{ on } [0, t_n],$$

where

$$w_{\epsilon}(t) = w_i \text{ for } t_i \le t < t_{i+1}, i = 0, 1, ..., n-1.$$

**Definition 3.2.** The piecewise constant function  $w_{\epsilon}(t)$  defined before is called an  $\epsilon$ - $L^s$ -approximate solution of (3.1).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us start by considering the simpler problem  $f(x,v) \equiv 0$ . Since  $w_0 = u_0^q \in D(J_{0,q}) \subset \overline{D(J_{0,q})}^{L^2} = \overline{D(\partial J_{0,q})}^{L^2}$ , the existence and uniqueness of a mild solution  $w \in C([0,\widetilde{T}]:L^2(\Omega))$ , for any arbitrary T>0, is a direct consequence of the application of the abstract theory (Brezis [49]) on maximal T-monotone operators in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Moreover, we know that w is a weak solution (in the sense of Definition 3.1 of [49]): i.e. if we assume  $w_{0,n} \in D(\partial J_{0,q})$  and  $h_n \in W^{1,1}(0,\widetilde{T}:L^2(\Omega))$  such that  $w_{0,n} \to w_0$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $h_n \to h$  in  $L^1(0,\widetilde{T}:L^2(\Omega))$  then the respective

solutions  $w_n$  satisfy that  $w_n \to w$  in  $C([0, \widetilde{T}] : L^2(\Omega))$  (see, Theorem 3.4 of [49]). By applying Theorem 3.7 of [49] we know that, in fact,  $w_n$  is a strong solution in the sense that  $w_n(t)$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $[\delta, \widetilde{T}]$  for any  $\delta \in (0, \widetilde{T})$  and thus differentiable. Then the associate problem (1.4) can be written as

$$\frac{dw_n}{d\tau}(\tau) - \frac{\Delta_p(w_n(\tau)^{\frac{1}{q}})}{w_n(\tau)^{\frac{q-1}{q}}} = h_n(\tau),$$

i.e.,

$$w_n(\tau)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \frac{dw_n}{d\tau}(\tau) - \Delta_p(w_n(\tau)^{\frac{1}{q}}) = h_n(\tau)w_n(\tau)^{\frac{q-1}{q}}.$$

If we define  $w_n(\tau) = u_n(t)^q$  then

$$w_n(\tau)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \frac{dw_n}{d\tau}(\tau) = \frac{q}{2q-1} \frac{d(w_n^{(2q-1)/q})}{d\tau}(\tau) = \frac{d(u_n^{2q-1})}{dt}(t)$$

if

$$\tau = \frac{q}{2q - 1}t.$$

Obviously we take now  $\widetilde{T} = \frac{q}{2q-1}T$ . Notice that  $w_n \in C([0,\widetilde{T}]:L^2(\Omega))$  implies that  $u_n^q \in C([0,T]:L^2(\Omega))$  and thus  $u_n^{2q-1} \in C([0,T]:L^2(\Omega))$  since (2q-1)/q > 1 (remember that q > 1). In addition, for those regular data

$$\frac{d(u_n^{2q-1})}{dt} \in [\hat{\delta}, T] \text{ for any } \hat{\delta} \in (0, T].$$

Thus, we conclude that  $u_n(t) := w_n(\frac{q}{2q-1}t)^{1/q}$  is a  $L^1$ -mild positive solution of  $(P_q)$  on [0,T], associated to  $u_{0,n} := w_{0,n}^{-1/q}$  and  $h_n$  (which the corresponding unique selected  $L^1$ -mild positive solution of  $(P_q)$ . Finally, as  $w_n \to w$  in  $C([0,\widetilde{T}]:L^2(\Omega))$  we get that  $u(t) := w(\frac{q}{2q-1}t)^{1/q}$  is a  $L^1$ -mild positive solution of  $(P_q)$  on [0,T], associated to  $u_0 := w_0^{-1/q}$  and h since the notion of mild solution is stable by approximations of the data (see, e.g. Theorem 11.1 of [31]). The rest of conclusions of Theorem 1.1, when  $f(x,v) \equiv 0$  are a consequence of Lemma 2.7 and the T-monotocity of operator  $\partial J_{0,q}$  (Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6).

We consider now the parabolic problem (P) in the general case, i.e., with a non-homogeneous term f(x, u) satisfying the structural assumptions (f1)-(f3). We consider now the operator on  $L^2(\Omega)$ 

(3.2) 
$$Cw = \partial J_{0,q}(w) - \frac{f_1(x, w)}{w^{q-1}}$$

with  $D(C) = D(\partial J_{0,q})$ . Since (f1)-(f3) hold and  $f_1(x,w) = f_1(w)$ , independent of x, or  $f_1(x,w)$  satisfies also (f4), then the function  $E: \Omega \times [0,+\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ , given by

$$E(x,w) = -\frac{f_1(x,w)}{w^{p-1}} - a_0(x)$$

generates a m-T-accretive operator  $L^2(\Omega)$  with E(x,0) = 0. Then, the operator C is m-T-accretive on  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Moreover, the Lipschitz function

$$G(x, w) = -\frac{f_2(x, w)}{w^{q-1}} + a_0(x)$$

(of constant  $K_G > 0$ ) generates a Lipschitz operator on  $L^2(\Omega)$  (of constant K for some K > 0). Then the operator C + KI is a m-T-accretive in  $L^2(\Omega)$  (see, e.g., Chapter 2, Example 2.2 of [31]), i.e., C is a K-m-T-accretive in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . So, by the Crandall-Ligget theorem (see, e.g., [18], and [31]), for any  $w_0 \in \overline{D(\partial J_{0,q})}$  and  $h \in L^1(0,T:L^2(\Omega))$  there exists a unique positive  $L^2$ -mild solution  $w \in C([0,T]:L^2(\Omega))$  of the Cauchy Problem

(3.3) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{dw}{dt} + \partial J_{0,q}(w) - \frac{f_1(x,w)}{w^{q-1}} - \frac{f_2(x,w)}{w^{q-1}} \ni h(t) & \text{in } L^2(\Omega) \\ w(0) = w_0, \end{cases}$$

and if  $\widehat{w} \in C([0,T]:L^2(\Omega))$  is the  $L^2$ -mild solution corresponding to the data  $\widehat{w}_0 \in \overline{D(\partial J_{0,q})}$  and  $\widehat{h} \in L^1(0,T:L^2(\Omega))$  then for any  $t \in [0,T]$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \|[w(t) - \widehat{w}(t)]_{+}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} &\leq e^{Kt} \|(w_{0} - \widehat{w}_{0})^{+}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} e^{K(t-s)} \left\| [h(s) - \widehat{h}(s)]_{+} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} ds, \end{aligned}$$

(see, e.g., [19] Proposition 4.1 or Theorem 13.1 of [31]). Arguing as before  $u(t) := w(\frac{q}{2q-1}t)^{1/q}$  is a  $L^1-mild$  positive solution of (P). The proof that  $u \in L^{\infty}(0,T:L^{\infty}(\Omega))$  once we assume  $h \in L^{\infty}(0,T:L^{\infty}(\Omega))$  and  $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  is a consequence of Lemma 2.7 (which implies the compactness of the semigroup generated by operator  $\partial J_{0,q}(w) - \frac{f_1(x,w)}{w^{q-1}} - \frac{f_2(x,w)}{w^{q-1}}$ ) and the abstract invariant results presented in Theorem 2.4.1 of Vrabie [141] (see also [89]), which ends the proof of Theorem 1.1

Remark 3.3. In fact, the existence and uniqueness of a  $L^2$ -mild positive solution of problem (1.4) can be assured in the more general case of  $w_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(\partial J_{0,q})}$ . Notice that if  $w_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(\partial J_{0,q})}$  the selected  $L^1$ -mild positive solution u of  $(P_q)$  such that  $w(\frac{q}{2q-1}t) = u(t)^q$ , with w(t) the corresponding  $L^2$ -mild positive solution of problem (1.4) satisfies (in some sense) the decay estimates given in Lemma 2.6 since they are obtained through the implicit Euler scheme given in the definition of mild solution. As a matter of fact, if  $w(t_0) \in \mathcal{D}(\partial J_{0,q})$  for some  $t_0 \in [0,T]$ , i.e.  $\partial J_{0,q}(w(t_0)) \ni h(t_0)$  for some  $h(t_0) \in L^2(\Omega)$  then  $-\Delta_p v(t_0) + h(t_0)_-(x)v(t_0)^{q-1} = h(t_0)_+(x)v(t_0)^{q-1}$  and necessarily we get the estimates iii) and iv) of 2.6 for  $v(t_0)$ . We also point out that some uniqueness results for suitable sublinear parabolic problems, when  $u_0(x) \geq Cd(x,\partial\Omega)$ , can be found in [59], [102], [63], [78], [73] (see also their references to previous works in this direction). Curiously enough such type of assumptions also lead to the uniqueness of solutions in the case of equations with multivalued right hand side terms as problem  $(P_H)$  (see [94], [87]) which until now required completely different ideas.

**Remark 3.4.** We point out that selected  $L^1$ -mild positive solution u satisfies some extra regularity properties due to the subdifferential of  $J_{0,q}$  involved in the equation. See also some variational type techniques applied to the case p=2 in [120] and the general approach (also for p=2) presented to some related problems in [51], [52].

Remark 3.5. It seems possible to make a sharper study of the regularity of the solution of the equation  $-\mu\Delta_p v + v^{2q-1} = h(x)v^{q-1}$ , but we shall not enter into the maximum of its generality here. For instance, when p=2 such equation becomes a Schrödinger equation with a potential h(x) (and a nonlinear perturbation term  $v^3$ ) and so it is possible to consider potentials h(x) with a singular behavior near  $\partial\Omega$  (and in other subregions of  $\Omega$ ) which goes beyond  $L^1(\Omega)$  (see, e.g., [36], [123], [74],[118], [76] and its many references). For the special case of  $q=p\neq 2$  singular potentials were considered in [115], [122], [79] and in many other papers.

Remark 3.6. The main result of this paper may be also proved when we replace the open bounded set  $\Omega$  by the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . The Diaz-Saá inequality (and the generalized Picone inequality) was obtained in [60] (respectively in [64]). We do no want to enter into details here but the arguments of truncating the domain, generate the associate problems on an expansive sequence of domains  $\Omega_n$  and then to get the solution as limit of the solutions of the corresponding problems on  $\Omega_n$  can be applied as in Brezis and Kamin [54] (see also [83]). The assumptions made on functions  $f_i$  allow to get some similar estimates to (1.7) to solutions of several quasilinear formulations (see, [119], and [107]) and, in particular, to solutions of the associated to the KPP equation as in the papers [54], [82], [13] and [14]).

**Remark 3.7.** As mentioned before, the assumptions on  $f_1(x, u)$  allow the consideration of some singular terms: see, e.g., [16], [45], [99], [73] and the surveys [106] and [100]. The assumption of the type  $\frac{f_2(x,u)}{u^{q-1}}$  globally Lipschitz continuous in  $u \in (0, +\infty)$  was used for other purposes in previous works in the literature (see, e.g., [62]).

**Remark 3.8.** It seems possible to get similar results to positive solutions of Neumann type boundary conditions once that the homogeneity of the boundary condition is compatible with the one of the doubly nonlinear problem (P) (see, e.g., [26], [4], [17] and [9] among many other possible references).

We point out that, obviously, the function  $u_{\infty}(x) \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega$  is a trivial solution of the stationary problem. Here we are interested on nonnegative solutions of problem (P) (and its implicit time discretization). The following result shows that the asymptotic behavior, as  $t \to +\infty$ , is very different according  $q \in (1, \frac{p}{2})$  and p > 2 than in the case  $q \in (\frac{p}{2}, p]$ .

We will prove that, in fact, if  $q \in (1, \frac{p}{2})$  and p > 2,  $f(x, u) \equiv 0$ ,  $h \in L^1(0, T : L^2(\Omega))$ ,  $h \geq 0$  and  $u_0 \geq 0$  then there is no extinction in finite

time, so that  $||u^{2q-1}(t)||_{L^2(\Omega)} > 0$  for any t > 0. The situation is different if  $q \in (\frac{p}{2}, p]$  since, at least for  $f(x, u) \equiv 0$  and  $h \leq 0$ , there is a finite extinction time  $T_e > 0$ , such that  $w(t) \equiv 0$ , in  $\Omega$ , for any  $t \geq T_e$ . In that case, we understand that the selected solution v(t) of (P) also extinguishes in  $\Omega$  after  $T_e$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** a) Assume  $q \in (1, \frac{p}{2})$  and p > 2,  $f(x, u) \equiv 0$ ,  $h \in L^1_{loc}(0, +\infty : L^2(\Omega))$ ,  $h \geq 0$  and  $u_0 \geq 0$  satisfying (1.3). Then the selected  $L^1$ -mild positive solution u of (P) satisfies that

$$||u^q(t)||_{L^2(\Omega)} \ge \frac{1}{(c_1t + c_2)^{(q-1)/(p+q-2)}}$$

for any t > 0, for some positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ .

b) Assume  $q \in (\frac{p}{2}, p]$ ,  $f(x, v) \equiv 0$  and  $h \in L^1_{loc}(0, +\infty : L^2(\Omega))$  such that  $h \leq 0$ . Then there is a finite extinction time  $T_e > 0$ , such that the selected solution u(t) of (P) extinguishes in  $\Omega$  after  $T_e$ , i.e.,  $u(t) = u_{\infty}(x) \equiv 0$ , in  $\Omega$ , for any  $t \geq T_e$ .

*Proof.* Since  $h \geq 0$ , from the comparison estimate (1.7) we deduce that  $u \geq \underline{U}$  with  $\underline{U}$  the unique solution of the problem

$$(P_0) \qquad \begin{cases} \partial_t(\underline{U}^{2q-1}) - \Delta_p \underline{U} &= 0 & \text{in } Q_T, \\ \underline{U} &= 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \underline{U}^q(0,.) &= u_0^q(.) & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, as indicated in Theorem 1.1, we know that if  $\underline{U}(t) := \underline{W}(\frac{q}{2q-1}t)^{1/q}$  then  $\underline{W}$  satisfies of the problem

(3.4) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\underline{W}}{dt} + \partial J_{0,q}(\underline{W}) \ni 0 & \text{in } L^2(\Omega) \\ \underline{W}(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

In addition, the operator  $\partial J_{0,q}(\underline{W})$  is formally given by  $\frac{\Delta_p(w^{\frac{1}{q}})}{w^{\frac{q-1}{q}}}$  and thus it is homogeneous of exponent  $\theta = (p-q)/q$ , in the sense that

$$\partial J_{0,q}(r\underline{W}) = r^{\theta} \partial J_{0,q}(r\underline{W}) \text{ for any } r \geq 0 \text{ and } \underline{W} \in D(\partial J_{0,q}).$$

Then, since  $q \in (1, \frac{p}{2})$  and p > 2 implies that  $\theta > 1$ , applying Theorem 1.1 of [1] we get that

$$\|\underline{U}^{q}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \ge \frac{1}{(c_{1}t + c_{2})^{(q-1)/(p+q-2)}} \text{ for any } t > 0,$$

for some positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , and then the conclusion holds since  $U \geq \underline{U}$ .

b) We consider, again the solution  $\underline{U}$  of  $(P_0)$ . Now  $0 \le u \le \underline{U}$  and since, in this case, the homogeneity exponent of  $\partial J_{0,q}(\underline{W})$  is  $\theta < 1$  the conclusion results of the application of Corollary 1 of [20].

Remark 3.10. Systems involving sub-homogeneous terms have been extensively considered in the literature: see, e.g., [96], [97], [60] and its references. It would be interesting to apply the assumptions of the general framework in this paper to the case of systems. In the case of higher order equations with sub-homogeneous terms the T-accretivity in  $L^p$  fails but I conjecture that the  $L^2$ -contraction continuous dependence still holds for certain homogeneous higher order operators (as for instance those considered in [42] and [3]).

Remark 3.11. As mentioned before (see Remark 2.5) a stronger property on the convex funcional J may lead to the accretivity in  $L^1$  and in  $L^{\infty}$  of the realization over these spaces of the subdifferential operator  $\partial J$ . Although we are not able to check the stronger property (2.6) in the special case of functional  $J_{0,q}$  it is possible to get some continuity dependence inequalities for solutions of the equation  $w + \mu \partial J_{0,q}(w) \ni h$ , for any  $\mu > 0$ , which keep some resemblances with the inequalities expressing the  $L^1$  and  $L^{\infty}$  T-accretivity for the realization of the operator  $\partial J_{0,q}(w)$  over those spaces (some related techniques can be found in Brezis and Kamin [54] and [61]).

Acknowledgement. It is a great pleasure to thank the many discussions with Jacques Giacomoni on a very preliminary version of this paper. In particular, he showed me how to prove that the operator  $\partial J_{0,q}$  is m-T-accretive in  $L^2(\Omega)$  by using the Picone inequality instead the convexity of  $J_{0,q}$ . I also thank Lucio Boccardo for several comments (in particular on Remark 2.10) and Gregorio Díaz, David Gómez-Castro, Jesús Hernández, Jean Michel Rakotoson and Laurent Veron for some useful conversations. The research was partially supported by the project ref. MTM2017-85449-P of the DGISPI (Spain) and the Research Group MOMAT (Ref. 910480) of the UCM.

#### References

- N. Alikakos and R. Rostamian, Lower bound estimates and separable solutions for homogeneous equations of evolution in Banach space, J. Differential Equations 43 (1982), 323-344.
- [2] W. Allegretto and Y. X. Huang: A Picone's identity for the p-Laplacian and applications, Nonlin. Anal. 32 (1998), 819–830.
- [3] H.W. Alt, An abstract existence theorem for parabolic systems, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 11 (2012), 2079–2123.
- [4] H.W. Alt and S. Luckhaus, Quasilinear elliptic-parabolic differential equations, Math. Z. 183 (1983), 311-341.
- [5] L. Álvarez and J.I. Díaz, On the retention of the interfaces in some elliptic and parabolic nonlinear problems, Discrete and Continuum Dynamical Systems 25 (2009), 1-17.
- [6] H. Amann, Existence and multiplicity theorems far semi-linear elliptic boundary value problems, Math. Z. 150 (1976), 281-295.
- [7] A. Anane, Simplicité et isolation de la premiere valeur propre du p-Laplacien avec poids, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sc. Paris Série I 305 (1987), 725-728.

- [8] F. Andreu, V. Caselles, J.I. Díaz and J.M. Mazón, Some Qualitative properties for the Total Variation Flow, Journal of Functional Analysis 188 (2002), 516-547.
- [9] D. Andreucci and A.F. Tedeev, A Fujita Type Result for Degenerate Neumann Problem in Domains with Noncompact Boundary, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 231 (1999), 543– 567.
- [10] S. Antontsev, J.I. Díaz and S. Shmarev, Energy methods for free boundary problems, Birkäuser, Boston, 2002.
- [11] M. Arias, J. Campos, M. Cuesta and J.P. Gossez, Asymmetric elliptic problems with indefinite weights, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré 19 (2002), 581–616.
- [12] M. Artola, Sur une classe de problemes paraboliques quasi-linéaires avec second membre Höldérien, Richerche di Matematica 52 (2003), 115-144.
- [13] A. Audrito and J.L. Vázquez, The Fisher-KPP problem with doubly nonlinear "fast" diffusion, Nonlinear Analysis, 157 (2017), 212-248.
- [14] A. Audrito and J.L. Vázquez, The Fisher-KPP problem with doubly nonlinear diffusion, J. Differential equations 263 (2017), 7617-7708.
- [15] M. Badii, J.I. Díaz and A. Tesei, Existence and attractivity results for a class of degenerate functional parabolic problems, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 78 (1987), 109-124.
- [16] M. Badra, K. Bal and J. Giacomoni, A singular parabolic equation: existence, stabilization, J. Differential Equations 252 (2012), 5042–5075.
- [17] C. Bandle, M.A. Pozio, and A. Tesei, The asymptotic behavior of the solutions of degenerate parabolic equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 303 (1987), 487–501.
- [18] V. Barbu, Non-linear semi-groups and differential equations in Banach spaces, Nord-hoff Inf. Publ. Co., Leyden 1976.
- [19] V. Barbu, Nonlinear Differential Equations of Monotone Types in Banach Spaces, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [20] Y. Belaud, J.I. Díaz, Abstract results on the finite extinction time property: application to a singular parabolic equation, Journal of Convex Analysis 17 (2010), 827-860.
- [21] M. Belloni and B. Kawohl, A direct uniqueness proof for equations involving the p-Laplace operator, Manuscripta Math. 109 (2002), 229–231.
- [22] R. Benguria, The von Weizsacker and exchange corrections in the Thomas-Fermi theory, dissertation, Princeton University, 1979.
- [23] R. Benguria, H. Brezis and E. Lieb, The Thomas-Fermi-von Weizslicker theory of atoms and molecules, Communs Math. Phys. 79 (1981), 167-180.
- [24] Ph. Bénilan, Equations d'evolution dans un espace de Banach quelconque et applications, Thése, Orsay, 1972.
- [25] Ph. Bénilan, Semi-Groupes Invariants par un Cone de Fonctions Convexes. In: Analyse Convexe et Ses Applications, J.P. Aubin (ed.), Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems 102, Springer, Berlin, 1974, 49-65.
- [26] Ph. Bénilan, Opérateurs accrétifs et semi-groupes dans les espaces  $L^p$  ( $1 \le p \le \infty$ ), In: Functional analysis and numerical analysis: Japan-France Seminar, Tokyo and Kyoto 1976, H. Fujita (ed.), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Tokyo, 1978, 15-53.
- [27] Ph. Bénilan, Sur un probleme d'évolution non monotone dans  $L^2(\Omega)$ , Publ. Math. Fac. Sc. Besančon, No. 2 (1976).
- [28] Ph. Bénilan, A strong regularity L<sup>p</sup> for solutions of the porous media equation, In: Contributions to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, C.Bardos, A. Damlamian, J.I. Díaz and J. Hernández (eds.), Pitman, London, 1983, 39-58.
- [29] Ph. Bénilan and M.G. Crandall, The continuous dependence on  $\phi$  of solutions of  $u_t \Delta \phi(u) = 0$ , Indiana Univ Math J. **30** (1981), 162–177.
- [30] Ph. Bénilan and M.G. Crandall, Regularizing effects of homogeneous evolution equations, In: Contributions to analysis and geometry (Baltimore, Md., 1980), Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, Md., 1981, 23–39.

- [31] Ph. Bénilan, M.G. Crandall and A. Pazy, Nonlinear evolution equations governed by accretive operators, Unpublished book.
- [32] Ph. Bénilan, M.G. Crandall and P. Sacks, Some L<sup>1</sup> existence and dependence results for semilinear elliptic equations under nonlinear boundary conditions, Appl Math Optim 17 (1988), 203-224.
- [33] Ph. Bénilan and J.I. Díaz, Comparison of solutions of nonlinear evolutions equations with different nonlinear terms, Israel Journal of Mathematics 42 (1982), 241-257.
- [34] Ph. Bénilan and J.I. Díaz, Pointwise gradient estimates of solutions of onedimensional nonlinear parabolic problems, J. Evolution Equations 3 (2004), 557-602.
- [35] Ph.Bénilan and C. Picard, Quelques aspects non lineaires du principe du maximum. In: Séminaire de Théorie du Potentiel Paris, No. 4, F. Hirsch and G. Mokobodzki (eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 713, Springer, Berlin, 1979, 1-37.
- [36] Ph. Bénilan and P. Wittbold, Absorptions non linéaires, J. Funct. Anal. 114 (1993), 59–96.
- [37] Ph. Bénilan and P. Wittbold, Nonlinear evolution equations in Banach spaces: basic results and open problems. In: Functional analysis (Essen, 1991), K. D. Bierstedt et al. (eds.), Dekker, New York, 1994, 1–32.
- [38] S. Bensid and J.I. Díaz, On the exact number of monotone solutions of a simplified Budyko climate model and their different stability, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, Series B 24 (2019), 1033-1047.
- [39] A. Bensoussan, H. Brezis and A. Friedman, Estimates on the free boundary for quasivariational inequalities, Comm. PDEs 2 (1977), 297–321.
- [40] N. El Berdan, J.I. Díaz and J.M. Rakotoson, The uniform Hopf inequality for discontinuous coefficients and optimal regularity in BMO for singular problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 437 (2016), 350–379.
- [41] H. Berestycki, Le nombre de solutions de certains probltmes semi-lineaires elliptiques, J. Functional Analysis 40 (1981), 1-29.
- [42] F. Bernis, Existence results for doubly nonlinear higher order parabolic equations on unbounded domains, Math. Ann. 279 (1988), 373–394.
- [43] L. Boccardo and L. Orsina, Sublinear elliptic equations in L<sup>s</sup> with s small, Houston J. of Math. 20 (1994), 99-114.
- [44] V. Bögelein , F. Duzaar , P. Marcellini and Ch. Scheven, *Doubly Nonlinear Equations of Porous Medium Type*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **229** (2018), 503–545.
- [45] B. Bougherara and J. Giacomoni, Existence of mild solutions for a singular parabolic equation and stabilization, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 4 (2015), 123–134.
- [46] L. Brasco and G. Franzina, Convexity properties of Dirichlet integrals and Picone-type inequalities, Kodai Math. J. 37 (2014), 769–799.
- [47] H. Brezis, Problèmes unilatéraux, J. Math. Pures Appl. 51 (1972), 1-168.
- [48] H. Brezis, Monotonicity methods in Hilbert spaces and some applications to nonlinear partial differential equations, In: Contributions to Nonlinear Funct. Analysis, Madison, 1971, E. Zarantonello (ed.), Acad. Press, NY, 1971, 101-156.
- [49] H.Brezis, Operateurs maximaux monotones et semigroupes de contraction dans les espaces de Hilbert. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [50] H. Brezis, New results concerning monotone operators and nonlinear semi-groups, in Proc. Symp. on Analysis of Nonlinear problems, RIMS, Kyoto, 1974, Sûrikaisekikenkyûsho Kókyûroku No. 258, 1975, 2-27.
- [51] H. Brezis and T. Cazenave, A nonlinear heat equation with singular initial data, J. Analyse Math. 68 (1996), 277-304.
- [52] H. Brezis and T. Cazenave, Nonlinear evolution equations. Unpublished book. Part of it appeared under the title Linear semigroups of contractions; the Hille-Yosida theory and some applications, Publications du Laboratoire D' Analyse Numerique, nº 92004, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 1993.

- [53] H. Brezis, T. Cazenave, Y. Martel and A. Ramiandrisoa, Blow up for  $u_t \Delta u = g(u)$  revisited, Adv. Differential Equations 1 (1996), 73–90.
- [54] H. Brezis and S. Kamin, Sublinear elliptic equations in R<sup>N</sup>, Manuscripta Math. 74 (1992), 87-106.
- [55] H. Brezis and L. Oswald, Remarks on sublinear elliptic equations, Nonlinear Analysis 10 (1986), 55-64.
- [56] H. Brezis and G. Stampacchia, Sur la régularité de la solution d'inéquations elliptiques, Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. 96 (1968), 153-180.
- [57] H. Brezis and W. Strauss, Semilinear second order elliptic equations in L<sup>1</sup>, J. Math. Soc. Japan 25 (1974), 831-844.
- [58] J. Carmona, T. Leonori, S. López-Martínez and P.J. Martínez-Aparicio, Quasilinear elliptic problems with singular and homogeneous lower order terms, Nonlinear Anal. 179 (2019), 105–130.
- [59] T. Cazenave, T. Dickstein and M. Escobedo, A semilinear heat equation with concaveconvex nonlinearity, Rendiconti di Matematica, Serie VII, 19 (1999), 211-242.
- [60] K. Chaib, Extension of Díaz-Saá's inequality in R<sup>N</sup> and application to a system of p-Laplacian, Publ. Mat. 46 (2002), 473–488.
- [61] F. Charro and I. Peral, Zero order perturbations to fully nonlinear equations: comparison, existence and uniqueness, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics 11 (2009), 131–164.
- [62] M. Cuesta and P. Takac, A strong comparison principle for positive solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, Differential Integral Equations 13 (2000), 721–746.
- [63] A. N. Dao and J.I. Díaz, The extinction versus the blow-up: Global and non-global existence of solutions of source types of degenerate parabolic equations with a singular absorption, J. Differential Equations 263 (2017), 6764–6804.
- [64] C. T. Dat and I. E. Verbitsky, Finite energy solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with sub-natural growth terms, Calc. Var. (2015), 529-546.
- [65] M. Del Pino, J. Dolbeault and I. Gentil, Nonlinear diffusions, hypercontractivity and the optimal L<sup>p</sup>-Euclidean logarithmic Sobolev inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 293 (2004), 375–388.
- [66] G. Díaz and J.I. Díaz, Uniqueness of the boundary behavior for large solutions to a degenerate elliptic equation involving the ∞-Laplacian, Rev. R. Acad. Cien. Serie A Matem. 97 (2003), 425–430.
- [67] J.I. Díaz, Nonlinear partial differential equations and free boundaries, Research Notes in Mathematics 106, Pitman, Boston, MA, 1985.
- [68] J.I. Díaz, Qualitative Study of Nonlinear Parabolic Equations: an Introduction, Extracta Mathematicae 16 (2001), 303-341.
- [69] J I. Díaz, On the Haim Brezis Pioneering Contributions on the Location of Free Boundaries, In: Proceedings of the Fifth European Conference on Elliptic and Parabolic Problems; A special tribute to the work of Haim Brezis, M. Chipot et al. (eds.), Birkhauser Verlag, Bassel, 2005, 217–234.
- [70] J.I. Díaz, On the ambiguous treatment of the Schrödinger equation for the infinite potential well and an alternative via flat solutions: the one-dimensional case, Interfaces and Free Boundaries 17 (2015), 333–351.
- [71] J.I. Díaz, On the ambiguous treatment of the Schrödinger equation for the infinite potential well and an alternative via singular potentials: the multi-dimensional case, SeMA-Journal 74 (2017), 225-278.
- [72] J.I. Díaz, Correction to: On the ambiguous treatment of the Schrödinger equation for the infinite potential well and an alternative via singular potentials: the multidimensional case, SeMA-Journal 75 (2018), 563–568.
- [73] J.I. Díaz and J. Giacomoni, Uniquenees and monotone continuous dependence of solutions for a class of singular parabolic problems, To appear.

- [74] J.I. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro, and J. M. Rakotoson, Existence and uniqueness of solutions of Schrödinger type stationary equations with very singular potentials without prescribing boundary conditions and some applications, Differential Equations and Applications 10 (2018), 47–74.
- [75] J.I. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro, J. M. Rakotoson and R. Temam, Linear diffusion with singular absorption potential and/or unbounded convective flow: the weighted space approach, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 38 (2018), 509–546.
- [76] J.I. Díaz, D. Gómez-Castro and J.L. Vázquez, The fractional Schrödinger equation with general nonnegative potentials. The weighted space approach, Nonlinear Analysis 177 (2018), 325-360.
- [77] J.I. Díaz, J. Hernández and Y. Il'yasov, On the existence of positive solutions and solutions with compact support for a spectral nonlinear elliptic problem with strong absorption, Nonlinear Analysis Series A: Theory, Mehods and Applications 119 (2015), 484-500.
- [78] J.I. Díaz, J. Hernández and Y. Il'yasov, Flat solutions of some non-Lipschitz autonomous semilinear equations may be stable for  $N \geq 3$ , Chinese Ann. Math., Series B **38** (2017), 345-378.
- [79] J.I. Díaz, J. Hernández and F. J. Mancebo, Nodal solutions bifurcating from infinity for some singular p-Laplace equations: flat and compact support solutions, Minimax Theory and its Applications 2 (2017), 27-40.
- [80] J.I. Díaz, J. Hernández and J. M. Rakotoson, On very weak positive solutions to some semilinear elliptic problems with simultaneous singular nonlinear and spatial dependence terms, Milan J. Maths. 79 (2011), 233-245.
- [81] J.I. Díaz and M. A. Herrero, Estimates on the support of the solutions of some nonlinear elliptic and parabolic problems, Proc. Royal Soc. Ed. 89A (1981), 249-258.
- [82] J.I. Díaz and S. Kamin, Convergence to travelling waves for quasilinear Fisher-KPP type equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 390 (2012), 74–85.
- [83] J.I. Díaz and O. A. Oleinik, Nonlinear elliptic boundary-value problems in unbounded domains and the asymptotic behaviour of its solutions, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I 315 (1992), 787-792.
- [84] J.I. Díaz, J.M. Rakotoson, On very weak solutions of semilinear elliptic equations with right hand side data integrable with respect to the distance to the boundary, Discrete and Continuum Dynamical Systems 27 (2010), 1037-1058.
- [85] J.I. Díaz and J.E. Saá, Uniqueness of nonnegative solutions for elliptic nonlinear diffusion equations with a general perturbation term. In: Actas del VIII Congreso de Ecuaciones Diferenciales y Aplicaciones (C. E. D. Y. A.), Santander September 1985. Unpublished book.
- [86] J.I. Díaz and J.E. Saá, Existence et unicité de solutions positives pour certaines équations elliptiques quasilinéaires, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sc. Paris, Série I, 305 (1987), 521–524.
- [87] J.I. Díaz and L. Tello, On a nonlinear parabolic problem on a Riemannian manifold without boundary arising in Climatology, Collectanea Mathematica 50 (1999), 19-51.
- [88] J.I. Díaz and F. de Thelin, On a nonlinear parabolic problem arising in some models related to turbulent flows, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 25 (1995), 1085-1111.
- [89] J.I. Díaz and I.I. Vrabie, Existence for reaction-diffusion systems. A compactness method approach. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 188 (1994), 521-540.
- [90] F. Dickstein, On semilinear parabolic problems with non-Lipschitz nonlinearities, Mat. Contemp., 18 (2000), 111-121.
- [91] O. Došlý, The Picone identity for a class of partial differential equations, Mathematica Bohemica, 127 (2002), 581–589.
- [92] P. Drábek and J. Hernández, Quasilinear eigenvalue problems with singular weights for the p-Laplacian, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata 198 (2019), 1069–1086.

- [93] L.C. Evans, Application of nonlinear semigroup theory to certain partial differential equations, In: Nonlinear Evolution Equations, M. G. Crandall (ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1979, 163-188.
- [94] E. Feireisl, A note on uniqueness for parabolic problems with discontinuous nonlinearlies, Nonlinear Anal. 16 (1991), 1053-1056.
- [95] M. Fila, Ph. Souplet and F.B. Weissler, Linear and nonlinear heat equations in  $L^p_{\delta}$  spaces and universal bounds for global solutions, Math. Ann. **320** (2001), 87–113.
- [96] J. Fleckinger, J. Hernández and F. de Thélin, On maximum principles and existence of positive solutions for some cooperative elliptic systems, Differential Integral Equations 8 (1995), 69–85.
- [97] J. Fleckinger, J. Hernández, P. Takač and F. de Thélin, Uniqueness and positivity for solutions of equations with the p-Laplacian, In: Reaction diffusion systems (Trieste, 1995), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 194, Dekker, New York, 1998, 141–155.
- [98] H. Fujita and S. Watanabe, On the uniqueness and non-uniqueness of solutions of initial value problems for some quasi-linear parabolic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 21 (1968), 631-652.
- [99] M. Ghergu and V. Radulescu, The influence of the distance function in some singular elliptic problems, Potential theory and stochastics in Albac, Theta Ser. Adv. Math., 11, Theta, Bucharest, 2009, 125–137.
- [100] M. Ghergu and V. Radulescu, Singular Elliptic Problems. Bifurcation and Asymptotic Analysis, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, 37, Oxford University Press, New York, 2008.
- [101] M. Ghergu and V. Radulescu, Nonlinear PDEs: Mathematical Models in Biology, Chemistry and Population Genetics, Springer, Berlin, 2012.
- [102] J. Giacomoni, P. Sauvy and S. Shmarev, Complete quenching for a quasilinear parabolic equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 410 (2014), 607–624.
- [103] B.H. Gilding and R. Kersner, A necessary and sufficient condition for finite speed of propagation in the theory of doubly nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics 126 (1996), 739-767.
- [104] P. Girg and P. Takác, Bifurcations of positive and negative continua in quasilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré 9 (2008), 275–327.
- [105] J.-S. Guo, Large time behaviour of solutions of a fast diffusion equation with source, Nonlinear Anoiysis, Theory, Methods & Applications 23 (1994), 1559-1568.
- [106] J. Hernández and F.J. Mancebo, Singular elliptic and parabolic equations, In: Handbook of Differential Equations: Stationary Partial Differential Equations, M. Chipot and P. Quittner (eds.) 3, Elsevier BV, 2006, 317-400.
- [107] K.M. Hui, Nonnegative solutions of the fast diffusion equation with strong reaction term, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applicationss, 19 (1992), 1155-1178.
- [108] A.S. Kalashnikov, Some problems of qualitative theory of nonlinear degenerate second-order parabolic equations, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. 42 (1987), 135-176.
- [109] H. Keller and D. Cohen, Some positive problems suggested by nonlinear heat generation, J. Math. Mech. 16 (1967), 1361-1367.
- [110] H. Keller and J. Keener, Positive solutions of convex nonlinear eigenvalue problems, J. Diff. Eq. 16 (1967), 103-125.
- [111] M. Krasnoselskii, Topological Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Integral Equations, Pergamon Press, London, 1964.
- [112] H. Levine, The role of critical exponents in blow-up theorems, SIAM Rev. 32 (1990), 262–288.
- [113] H. Levine and P. Sacks, Some existence and nonexistence theorems for solutions of degenerate parabolic equations, J. Differential Equations 52 (1984), 135–161.
- [114] J.L. Lions, Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires, Dunod, Paris, 1969.

- [115] M. Marcus and I. Shafrir, An eigenvalue problem related to Hardy's L<sup>p</sup> inequality, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4e série, 29 (2000), 581-604.
- [116] M. Marcus and L. Véron, Nonlinear Second Order Elliptic Equations Involving Measures, De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, Berlin, 2013.
- [117] T. Namba, Density-dependent dispersal and spatial distribution of a population, J.Theor. Biol. 86 (1980), 351-363.
- [118] L. Orsina and A.C. Ponce, Hopf potentials for Schroedinger operators, Analysis & PDE, 11 (2018), 2015–2047.
- [119] A de Pablo and J.L. Vázquez, The balance between strong reaction and slow diffusion, Communs Partial differential Equations 15 (1990), 159-183.
- [120] M.C. Palmeri, Existence and regularity results for some sublinear parabolic equations, Communications in Applied Analysis, 6 (2002), 297-316.
- [121] M. Picone, Sui valori eccezionalle di un parametro da cui dipende une equatione differenzialle lineare del secondo ordine, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 11 (1910), 1–141.
- [122] Y. Pinchover, A. Tertikas and K. Tintarev, A Liouville-type theorem for the p-Laplacian with potential term, Ann. I. H. Poincaré – AN 25 (2008), 357–368.
- [123] A. Ponce, Elliptic PDEs, Measures and Capacities, Europeam Mathematical Society, Zurich, 2016.
- [124] P. Pucci and J. Serrin, The strong maximum principle revisited, J. Differential Equations 196 (2004), 1–66.
- [125] P. Quittner and Ph. Souplet, Superlinear Parabolic Problems: Blow-up, Global Existence and Steady States, 2nd ed. Birkhäuser, Basel 2019.
- [126] J.M. Rakotoson, Regularity of a very weak solution for parabolic equations and applications, Advances in Differential Equations 16 (2011), 867–894.
- [127] J. E. Saá, Nonlinear Diffusion Elliptic and Parabolic Problems, Ph.D. thesis, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 1988.
- [128] J. E. Saá, Large Time Behaviour of the Doubly Nonlinear Porous Medium Equation, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 155 (1991), 345-363.
- [129] A.A. Samarskii, V.A. Galaktionov, S.P. Kurdyumov, A.P. Mikhailov, Blow-up in quasilinear parabolic equations, Nauka, Moscow, 1987; English translation: Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.
- [130] M. Schatzman, Stationary solutions and asymptotic behaviour of a quasilinear degenerate parabolic equation, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 33 (1984), 1-30.
- [131] P. Takač, Nonlinear spectral problems for degenerate elliptic operators, In: Handbook of Differential Equations, M. Chipot M. and P. Quittner (eds.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2004, 385–489.
- [132] P. Takač, L. Tello and M. Ulm, Variational problems with a p-homogeneous energy, Positivity 6 (2001), 75–94.
- [133] P. Takáč and J. Giacomoni, A p(x)-Laplacian extension of the Díaz-Saá inequality and some applications, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics, 1-28. doi:10.1017/prm.2018.91
- [134] M. Tsutsumi, On solution of some doubly nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations with absorption, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 132 (1988), 187-212.
- [135] J.L. Vázquez, A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations, Appl. Math. Optim. 12 (1984), 191–202.
- [136] J.L. Vázquez, *The porous media equation. Mathematical theory.* Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007.
- [137] J.L. Vázquez, The mathematical theories of diffusion: nonlinear and fractional diffusion, In: Nonlocal and Nonlinear Diffusions and Interactions: New Methods and Directions, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2186, Springer, Cham, 2017, 205–278.

- [138] L. Véron, Coercivité et propriétés régularisantes des semi-groupes non-linéaires dans les espaces de Banach, Publ. Math. Fac. Se. Besançon 3 (1977).
- [139] L. Véron, Elliptic equations involving measures, In: Stationary Partial Differential Equations. Vol. I. Handb. Differ. Equ., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2004, 593-712.
- [140] L. Veron, Local and Global Aspects of Quasilinear Degenerate Elliptic Equations: Quasilinear Elliptic Singular Problems, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2017.
- [141] I. I. Vrabie, Compactness Methods for Nonlinear Evolutions, Second Edition, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 75, Addison-Wesley and Longman 1995.
- (J.I. Díaz) Instituto de Matemática Interdisciplinar, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Plaza de Ciencias 3, 28040 Madrid, Spain

E-mail address: jidiaz@ucm.es