


L Boccardo & A Tesei (Editors)

University of Rome 'La Sapienza'/Second University of Rome

Nonlinear parabolic equations: qualitative properties of solutions

Pitman Research Notes in Math. Series 149
1987

 Longman
Scientific &
Technical
Copublished in the United States with
John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York

J I DÍAZ & J HERNÁNDEZ

Qualitative properties of free boundaries for some nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations

1. Introduction

This paper is a short survey of some recent work by the authors, concerning qualitative properties of nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations. The associated stationary problem was considered by the authors in [7] by using a local comparison technique involving some kind of local radial supersolutions, which was previously introduced by the first author in [5]. There the main interest was the study of the dead core, namely the subset where the (positive) solutions vanish identically; some necessary and/or sufficient conditions for the existence of a (non-empty) dead core, together with additional information about its size and location, were obtained (see [1] and [11] for related work as well as the monograph [6]).

Here we apply the same kind of arguments to a rather large class of nonlinear (possibly) degenerate parabolic equations complemented with non-zero Dirichlet boundary conditions (see Problem (P) below). Some results for the case of pure powers, i.e., $\varphi(u) = u^m$ and $f(u) = u^p$ were obtained in [8]. Here we extend this investigation to nonlinearities φ and f which are not necessarily powers but have only a similar qualitative behaviour (see assumptions (H_1) and (H_2) below) near the origin. We refer the reader to [2] - [4] and [13] - [15] for other related work.

Very roughly speaking, a large part of our results seem to be new in this more general situation, and some of them extend to the case $0 < p < 1$ theorems known for $p \geq 1$. More detailed information can be found below (see also [8][9]). An extended version of this survey, including also work in [8], with full proofs and many complementary results and applications will appear in [9]: in particular, we will give there applications to some reaction-diffusion systems arising in combustion theory (see [2][8]) and population dynamics with nonlinear diffusion ([12]).

2. Main theorems

In this section we consider the following degenerate parabolic problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta \varphi(u) + f(u) = 0 & \text{in } Q = \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, t) = h(x, t) & \text{on } \Sigma = \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (P)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, under the following assumptions:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi & \text{ is a continuous increasing function, } \varphi(0) = 0 \text{ and} & (2.1) \\ \varphi' & > 0, \varphi'' > 0 \text{ in } (0, \infty); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f & \text{ is continuous, } f(0) = 0; \text{ there exists a continuous increasing} & (2.2) \\ & \text{function } f_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq f_0(s) \leq f(s) \text{ for every } s \geq 0; \end{aligned}$$

$$h \in L^\infty(\Sigma), h \geq 0 \text{ in } \Sigma; u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega), u_0 \geq 0 \text{ on } \Omega. \quad (2.3)$$

Our main result in this section is the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose that $u \in C(\bar{Q})$, $u \geq 0$, is a solution of problem (P) with (2.1) - (2.3). Moreover assume that*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{ds}{\left[\int_0^s f_0(\varphi^{-1}(t)) dt \right]^{1/2}} < +\infty \quad (H_1)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \frac{ds}{f_0(s)} < +\infty. \quad (H_2)$$

are satisfied. Then there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that for every $t \geq T_0$ we have

$$N(u(\cdot, t)) \equiv \{x \in \Omega \mid u(x, t) = 0\} \supset \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x, \bigcup_{\tau \geq 0} S(h(\cdot, \tau))) \geq L\}$$

where S denotes the support of the corresponding function, and L is a constant depending on φ , f_0 , h , u_0 , Ω and N .

The main tool for the proof of Theorem 2.1 is the following Lemma, which generalizes Lemma 2.1 in [7]. Its proof can be found in [6].

LEMMA 2.1. *If we define $\eta(s) = \psi^{-1}1/N(s)$, where*

$$\psi_\mu(r) = \int_0^r \frac{ds}{\left[\int_0^s \frac{1}{2} f_0(\varphi^{-1}(t)) dt \right]^{1/2}},$$

then for any $x_0 \in \Omega$ we have

$$-\Delta \eta(|x-x_0|) + \frac{1}{2} f_0(\varphi^{-1}(\eta(|x-x_0|))) \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover $\eta(0) = \eta'(0) = 0$ and $\eta(s) > 0$ if $s \neq 0$.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2.1. We define (this is an idea adapted from [10])

$$\bar{u}(x, t) = \varphi^{-1}(\eta(|x-x_0|) + \varphi(U(t)))$$

where $\eta(s)$ and $\psi_\mu(r)$ are as in Lemma 2.1 (we remark that by (H_1) we have $\psi_\mu(r) < +\infty$) and U is a positive solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dV}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} f_0(V) = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

$$V(0) = \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}.$$

It is not difficult to see that, as a consequence of (H_2) , we have $U(t) = 0$ for any $t \geq T_0 = \int_0^{\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}} \frac{L^\infty ds}{2f_0(s)}$.

From (2.1), (2.2) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{u}_t - \Delta \varphi(\bar{u}) + f_0(\bar{u}) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} (\varphi^{-1}(\eta(|x-x_0|) + \varphi(U(t))) - \Delta \eta(|x-x_0|) + \\ &+ f_0(\varphi^{-1}(\eta(|x-x_0|) + \varphi(U(t)))) \geq \\ &\geq \frac{\varphi'(U)}{\varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(\eta + \varphi(U)))} \frac{dU}{dt} - \Delta \eta + \frac{1}{2} f_0(\varphi^{-1}(\eta)) + \frac{1}{2} f_0(U) \geq \\ &\geq \frac{dU}{dt} - \Delta \eta + \frac{1}{2} f_0(\varphi^{-1}(\eta)) + \frac{1}{2} f_0(U) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by (2.4) and (2.5), taking into account that

$$\eta + \varphi(U) \geq \varphi(U)$$

implies the inequality

$$\varphi^{-1}(\eta + \varphi(U)) \geq U,$$

hence

$$\varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(\eta + \varphi(U))) \geq \varphi'(U),$$

once again by (2.1).

Concerning the boundary condition, it is easy to show that if we have

$$0 \leq h(x,t) \leq \|h\|_{L^\infty} \leq \varphi^{-1}(\eta(|x-x_0|)) \leq \bar{u}(x,t),$$

then the inequality $h(x,t) \leq \bar{u}(x,t)$ holds at the boundary. Indeed, if $x \notin S(h(\cdot, \tau))$, $h(x, \tau) = 0$ and the inequality is automatically satisfied. If not, it is sufficient that

$$\varphi(\|h\|_{L^\infty}) \leq \eta(|x-x_0|) \text{ for any } x \in \partial\Omega;$$

this is equivalent to

$$\psi_{1/N}[\varphi(\|h\|_{L^\infty})] \leq |x-x_0|$$

or, otherwise stated, be

$$d(x_0, \bigcup_{\tau \geq 0} S(h(\cdot, \tau)) \geq L,$$

where $L = \psi_{1/N}(\varphi(\|h\|_{L^\infty}))$.

As for the initial condition, it is easily seen that

$$0 \leq u_0(x) \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \varphi^{-1}(\eta(|x-x_0|)) + \varphi(\|a_0\|_{L^\infty}).$$

Thus we obtain (recall (2.2)):

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta\varphi(u) + f_0(u) \leq 0 \leq \bar{u}_t - \Delta\varphi(\bar{u}) + f_0(\bar{u}) & \text{in } Q \\ u(x,t) \leq \bar{u}(x,t) & \text{on } \Sigma \\ u_0(x) \leq \bar{u}(x,0) & \text{in } \Omega; \end{cases}$$

it follows from comparison results for problem (P) with f_0 that $0 \leq u(x,t) \leq \bar{u}(x,t)$. The proof ends by recalling that $u(x_0, t) = 0$ if $t \geq T_0$ and x_0 satisfies the above inequality.

REMARK 2.1. It is also possible to prove similar results when replacing $f(u)$ by $c(x,t).f(u)$, with $c(x,t) \geq 0$ (see [8][9]). This seems to be particularly interesting for applications to reaction-diffusion systems.

REMARK 2.2. If $\varphi(s) = s^m$, $f_0(s) = s^p$, then (H_1) is equivalent to $p < m$ and (H_2) is equivalent to $p < 1$. Now, for $m = 1$, (H_1) and (H_2) coincide. But if $\varphi(s) = s$ and f_0 is not a power, then (H_1) implies (H_2) but the converse is not true (see [10]).

REMARK 2.3. Our theorem extends some work by Kersner [14] for the case $N = 1$, and also, for $h \equiv 0$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, results by Kalashnikov [13] and Véron [15] concerning extinction of solutions in finite time. On the other hand, for $m = 1$, $h \equiv 1$, $u_0 \equiv 1$, estimates for the dead core as $N(u(\cdot, t)) \supset \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x, \partial\Omega) \geq L\}$ can be found in [2] (see also [8]).

REMARK 2.4. If (H_2) is satisfied but (H_1) does not hold, it is still possible to get estimates of the kind

$$0 \leq u(x,t) \leq U(t)$$

extending in this way some work by Berstch, Nanbu and Peletier [4], respectively Véron [15]. Similar arguments also allow us to prove the estimate

$$N(u(\cdot, t)) \supset \{x \in \Omega - S(u_0) \mid d(x, S(u_0) \cup (\bigcup_{\tau \geq 0} S(h(\cdot, \tau))) \geq L'\}$$

for some constant L' .

REMARK 2.5. The same technique of proof allows us also to obtain local (namely depending on the point $x_0 \in \Omega$ and on the norm $\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(B(x_0, \epsilon))}$)

estimates for the extinction time $T_0(\epsilon > 0$; see [2],[8],[9]).

THEOREM 2.2. Assume that $u \in C(\bar{Q})$, $u \geq 0$, is a solution of problem (P) with (2.1) - (2.3) and (H_1) . If $x_0 \in \Omega$ satisfies

$$0 \leq u_0(x) \leq \varphi^{-1}(\eta(|x-x_0|)), 1/N \quad (2.5)$$

for any $x \in B(x_0, \epsilon)$, where $\epsilon = \psi_{1/N}(\varphi(M))$, $M = \|u\|_{L^\infty(Q)}$, $\eta(r, \mu) = \psi_\mu^{-1}(r)$, ($\psi_\mu(r)$ as above), then $u(x_0, t) = 0$ for any $t > 0$.

Sketch of the proof. On the set $B(x_0, \epsilon) \times (0, \infty)$ define the function

$$\bar{u}(x) = \varphi^{-1}(\eta(|x-x_0|)), 1/N.$$

Now, reasoning as in [6] we obtain

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta\varphi(u) + f_0(u) \leq 0 \leq \bar{u}_t - \Delta\varphi(\bar{u}) + f_0(\bar{u}) & \text{in } B(x_0, \epsilon) \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \leq \bar{u}(x) = \varphi^{-1}(\eta(|x-x_0|)) & \text{in } B(x_0, \epsilon) \\ u(x, t) \leq M \leq \bar{u}(x) & \text{on } \partial B(x_0, \epsilon) \times (0, \infty), \end{cases}$$

where $\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq M$. Then a comparison argument gives $0 \leq u(x, t) \leq \bar{u}(x)$.

REMARK 2.6. Theorem 2.2 improves on some results in [4] for $h \equiv 0$; indeed, we only need the local estimate (2.5). If $\varphi(s) = s^m$, $f_0(s) = \lambda s^p$, then

$$u(x) = K_\lambda |x-x_0| \frac{2}{1-f_m}$$

for some $K_\lambda > 0$.

THEOREM 2.3. Assume $u \in C(\bar{Q})$, $u \geq 0$, is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \Delta u + f_0(u) &= 0 & \text{in } Q \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \Sigma \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) & \text{on } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where (2.2), (2.3) and (H_1) are satisfied. If, moreover, $u_t \in L^\infty(Q)$, then we have the estimate

$$S(u(\cdot, t)) \subset S(u_0) + B(0, \psi_{1/N}(Ct))$$

for any $t > 0$ and some $C > 0$, where C depends on $\|u_t\|_{L^\infty(Q)}$.

Sketch of the proof. Let $t_0 > 0$ and $x_0 \in S(u(\cdot, t_0)) - S(u_0)$. We consider the region

$$R(t_0) = \{(x, t) \mid 0 < t < t_0, u(x, t) > 0, x \notin S(u_0)\}$$

and the function

$$\bar{u}(x) = \eta(|x-x_0|), 1/N.$$

The function $z(x, t) = u(x, t) - \bar{u}(x)$ satisfies

$$z_t - \Delta z + B(x, t)z \leq 0 \quad \text{on } Q$$

for a suitable $B(x, t)$; then the Strong Maximum Principle implies that z takes its maximum on the parabolic boundary of $R(t_0)$. But, on the other hand, $0 = u(x, t) \leq \bar{u}(x)$ for $(x, t) \in \partial_P R(t_0) - S(u_0)$, and $z(x_0, t_0) > 0$. Hence there exists a point $(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) \in \partial S(u_0) \times (0, t_0)$ satisfying $\bar{u}(\bar{x}) < \bar{u}(\bar{x}, \bar{t})$. This in turn implies

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_0, S(u(\cdot, t))) &\leq |x-x_0| \leq \psi_{1/N}(u(x, t)) \leq \psi_{1/N}(u(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) - u(\bar{x}, 0)) \leq \\ &\leq \psi_{1/N}(Ct) \leq \psi_{1/N}(Ct_0), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the result.

REMARK 2.7. The proof follows an idea of Evans and Knerr [10]. If $\Delta u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $u_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $h \in L^\infty(\Sigma) \cap H^1(\Sigma)$, then, following a theorem by Bénilan-Ha, $u_t \in L^\infty(Q)$.

REMARK 2.8. If $f_0(s) = s^p$, $0 < p < 1$, then $\psi_{1/N}(Ct) = Ct^{1-p/2}$.

REFERENCES

1. Bandle, C., R. Sperb and I. Stakgold: Diffusion-reaction with monotone kinetics, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 8 (1984), 321-333.
2. Bandle, C. and I. Stakgold: The formation of the dead core in parabolic reaction-diffusion problems, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 286 (1984), 275-293.
3. Bertsch, M., R. Kersner and L.A. Peletier: Positivity versus localization in degenerate diffusion equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 9 (1985), 987-1008.
4. Bertsch, M., T. Nanbu and L.A. Peletier: Decay of solutions of a degenerate nonlinear diffusion equation, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 8 (1984), 1311-1336.
5. Diaz, J.I.: Técnica de supersoluciones locales para problemas estacionarios no lineales, Memoria no 14 de la Real Academia de Ciencias, Madrid (1980).
6. Diaz, J.I.: *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Free Boundaries I: Elliptic equations* (Pitman, to appear).
7. Diaz, J.I. and J. Hernández: On the existence of a free boundary for a class of reaction-diffusion systems, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 15 (1984), 670-685.
8. Diaz, J.I. and J. Hernández: Some results on the existence of free boundaries for parabolic reaction-diffusion systems. In "Trends in Theory and Practice of Nonlinear Differential Equations", V. Lakshmikantham Ed., pp.149-156 (Dekker, 1984).
9. Diaz, J.I. and J. Hernández: On the Existence and Evolution of Free Boundaries for Parabolic Reaction-Diffusion Systems (to appear).
10. Evans, L.C. and B.F. Knerr: Instantaneous shrinking of the support of nonnegative solutions to certain nonlinear parabolic equations and variational inequalities, *Illinois J. Math.* 23 (1979), 153-166.
11. Friedman, A. and D. Phillips: The free boundary of a semilinear elliptic equation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 282 (1984), 153-182.
12. Hernández, J.: Some free boundary problems for reaction-diffusion systems with nonlinear diffusion (to appear).
13. Kalashnikov, A.S.: The propagation of disturbances in problems of nonlinear heat conduction with absorption, *Z. Vycisl. Mat. i Met. Fiz.* 14 (1974), 891-905.
14. Kersner, R.: The behaviour of temperature fronts in media with nonlinear thermal conductivity under absorption, *Vestnik Moskov Univ. Ser. I. Mat. Meh.* 33 (1978), 44-51.

15. Véron, L.: Coercivité et propriétés régularisantes des semigroupes non-linéaires dans les espaces de Banach, *Publications Mathématiques de Besançon* (1977).

J.I. Diaz
Departamento de Ecuaciones Funcionales
Facultad de Matemáticas
Universidad Complutense
28040 Madrid
España

J. Hernández
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad Autónoma
28049 Madrid
España