Inequalities for the Capillary Problem with Volume Constraint

Catherine BANDLE and Jesus Ildefonso DIAZ

Dedicated to our friend and colleague Ivar Stakgold

Abstract

We use rearrangements methods to estimate the minimal height of a liquid in a tube. We also use comparison techniques in order to give upper and lower bounds for the critical volume which gives rise to a free boundary. The main motivation comes from a conjecture posed by R. Finn in 1986.

Keywords: Capillary problem, isoperimetric inequality, rearrangements 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 76845, 35J60, 53A10

1 Introduction

In this paper we discuss the equilibrium surface of a liquid in a cylindrical tube of cross-section D and horizontal basis. Its height is denoted by u(x, y) and is determined by means of the principle of virtual work. (see e.g. [5]). If $\kappa > 0$ is the capillarity constant, $\gamma \in [0, \pi/2)$ the wetting angle and V the prescribed volume of the liquid, the total energy is

$$J[u] = \int\limits_D \sqrt{1+|
abla u|^2}\,dx\,dy + rac{1}{2}\kappa\int\limits_D u^2\,dx\,dy - \cos\gamma\oint\limits_{\partial D} u\,ds\,.$$

The height u(x, y) is the solution of the variational problem

$$(1) \hspace{1cm} J[v] \to \min\,, \quad v \in K := \, \{w \in BV(D) \, : \, w \geq 0 \, , \, \int\limits_{D} w \, dx \, dy = V \} \, ,$$

where BV(D) is the space of bounded variations functions.

It was shown in [6] that (1) has a unique solution $u \in C^1(\bar{D})$ which is locally analytic in $D^+ := \{(x, y) : u(x, y) > 0\}$, has the property that $\operatorname{div} Tu \in L^\infty(D^+)$, $Tu := \frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}$, and satisfies the Laplace equation and the capillary boundary condition

(2)
$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} Tu = \kappa u + \lambda & \text{in } D^+, \quad u \geq 0 & \text{in } D, \\ (Tu, n) = \cos \gamma & \text{on } \partial D. \end{cases}$$

Here n is the outer normal to ∂D and (,) denotes the scalar product. The constant λ is a Lagrange multiplier which is easily obtained from (2) by integration by parts. More precisely we have

(3)
$$\lambda = \frac{1}{A^+} (L\cos\gamma - \kappa V),$$

where

$$A^+={
m meas}\,D^+$$
 and $L=\oint\limits_{\partial D}ds$.

If no volume constraint is imposed then the height u_0 is a solution of (1) with K replaced by $K_0 = \{w \in BV(D)\}$. The minimizer is positive and satisfies div $Tu = \kappa u$ in D. The volume V_0 of the liquid is then

$$(4) V_0 = L\cos\gamma/\kappa.$$

The maximum principle applies and implies that u_0 takes its maximum at the boundary and its minimum, say α , at an inner point. It is well-known [6] that there exists a critical volume V^c such that $D_0 = D - D^+ = \{(x, y) \in D : u(x, y) = 0\}$ is void if $V > V^c$. It is readily seen that $D_0 \neq \phi$ for $V \leq V^c$. By shifting the solution $u_0(x, y)$ of the problem without volume constraint, it follows that

(5)
$$V^c = V_0 - \alpha A, \quad A := \operatorname{meas} D.$$

The case $\kappa = 0$ is peculiar. It corresponds to the capillary problem without gravity. A solution exists only if $\lambda A^+ = \cos \gamma L$ [5].

Equation (2) can also be used to describe a fluid in a tube with a pressure different than the one of the surrounding media. In this case λ is determined by the difference of the pressures. The aim of this paper is to estimate u and in particular α , by means of rearrangement methods [1]. It was motivated by the following conjecture of Finn [5]: Among all cross-sections D of given area, the disk has the minimal α . For references on this and related problems we refer to [2], [3], [4], [5], [7], [8]. We also construct an upper solution to localize D^+ .

2 Rearrangement Inequalities

Let us first introduce some notation connected with the solution u(x, y) of problem (2). Given $\tilde{u} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ let

$$D(\tilde{u}) := \{(x, y) \in D : u(x, y) < \tilde{u}\}$$

 $a(\tilde{u}) := \text{meas } D(\tilde{u}) \text{ is the } \underline{\text{distribution function}}.$ The increasing rearrangement of u is defined by $\tilde{u}(s) := \inf\{t : a(t) \geq s\}$. The boundary of $D(\tilde{u})$ consists of the sets

$$\Gamma(ilde{u}) := \{(x,\,y) \in D \,:\, u(x,\,y) = ilde{u}\} \quad ext{and} \quad \Gamma_0(ilde{u}) := \, \partial D \cap \partial D(ilde{u}) \,.$$

For $\tilde{u} \in (\alpha, \min_{\partial D} u)$, $\Gamma_0(\tilde{u})$ is empty.

The goal of this section is to derive a differential inequality for the rearrangement $\tilde{u}(a)$. The divergence theorem yields

$$\int\limits_{D(\tilde{u})} \operatorname{div} Tu \, dx \, dy = \int\limits_{\Gamma(\tilde{u})} \frac{|\nabla u|}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}} ds + \cos \gamma \int\limits_{\Gamma_0(\tilde{u})} ds$$

on the other hand we obtain from (2) and Cavalieri's principle

(7)
$$\int\limits_{D(\tilde{u})} \operatorname{div} Tu \, dx \, dy = \kappa \int\limits_{D(\tilde{u})} u \, dx \, dy + \lambda a$$
$$= \kappa \int\limits_{0}^{a} \tilde{u}(s) \, ds + \lambda a =: \nu(a)$$

Define $q(t) = \frac{t^2}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}$. It is an increasing function in \mathbb{R}^+ which is convex for $0 \le t \le \sqrt{2}$ and concave for $t > \sqrt{2}$. Denote by $q_0(t)$ any function which for positive t is increasing, convex and satisfies

$$egin{array}{ll} (i) & q_0(t) \leq q(t) & ext{for} & t>0, \quad q_0(0)=0 \ (ii) & q_0(t)/t
ightarrow \cos \gamma & ext{as} & t
ightarrow \infty. \end{array}$$

Examples

 $\overline{(1) \ q_0(t)} = \cos \gamma t^2/(1+t)$ (This function was proposed by Talenti [8]).

$$q_0(t) = \left\{egin{array}{ll} q(t) & t \in (0,\,t_0) \ \cos\gamma t + q(t_0) - \cos\gamma t_0 & t \geq t_0 \end{array}
ight.$$

where t_0 is the positive root of $q'(t_0) = \cos \gamma$. Put

$$t_m \,=\, \left\{egin{array}{ll} |
abla u| & ext{on } \Gamma(ilde{u}) \ m & ext{on } \Gamma_0(ilde{u}) \end{array}
ight., \quad dp_m = rac{ds}{t_m}$$

and $P_m = \oint_{\partial D(\tilde{u})} dp_m$. The latter definition makes sense if $|\nabla u| \neq 0$ on $\Gamma(\tilde{u})$. According to Sard's lemma this is true for almost all $\tilde{u} > 0$. The right-hand side of (6) can now be estimated as follows

$$(8) \hspace{3cm} \nu(a) \geq \int\limits_{\partial D(\tilde{u})} q_0(t_m) \, dp_m$$

Since q_0 is convex, Jensen's inequality applies and yields

(9)
$$\nu(a) \geq P_m q_0(L(\partial D(\bar{u}))/P_m)$$

This is true for all m and also if we let m tend to infinity.

$$P_m o P = \int\limits_{\Gamma(ilde u)} rac{ds}{|
abla u|} \quad ext{as} \quad m o \infty \, .$$

From the coarea formula it follows that

(10)
$$P = \frac{da}{d\tilde{u}}.$$

By the isoperimetric inequality we have $L^2(\partial D(\tilde{u})) \geq 4\pi a$. Since q_0 is monotone,

(11)
$$Pq_0(L(\partial D(\tilde{u}))/P) \ge q_0(\sqrt{4\pi a}\tilde{u}'(a))/\tilde{u}'(a).$$

Finally we obtain the rearrangement inequality

$$\kappa V(a) + \lambda a \geq rac{q_0(\sqrt{4\pi a} ilde{u}'(a))}{ ilde{u}'(a)}\,, \quad V(a) := \int\limits_{D(ilde{u})} u\,dxdy \quad ext{in} \quad (0,\,A)\,.$$

Let us now discuss the case of equality. Consider a solution \tilde{u}^* of

(12)
$$\kappa V^*(a) + \lambda^* a = \frac{q_0(\sqrt{4\pi a} \tilde{u}^{*'}(a))}{\tilde{u}^{*'}(a)}, \quad V^*(a) := \int\limits_0^a \tilde{u}^*(s) \, ds$$

After the change of variable $\pi r^2 = a$ and $u^*(r) = \tilde{u}^*(a)$, $a = \pi r^2$, (12) becomes

(13)
$$\kappa u^*(r) + \lambda^* u^*(r) = rac{1}{r} \left(rac{q_0(u^{*'})r}{u^{*'}}
ight)' \quad ext{in} \quad (0,\,R)\,.$$

If we impose the boundary conditions $u^{*'}(0) = 0$ and $u^{*'}(R) = \cos \gamma$, $\pi R^2 = A$, then u^* can be interpreted as the radial solution of

(14)
$$\operatorname{div}(g(|\nabla u^*|)\nabla u^*) = \kappa u^* + \lambda^* \quad \text{in} \quad D^*, g(t) := \frac{q_0(t)}{t^2}$$

where D^* is the circle of the same area as D. The existence of such a solution is guaranteed for the examples given before. $u^{*'}$ is positive regardless of the sign of λ^* .

Remark 2.1. It can be shown that for smooth boundaries the function $\tilde{u}(a)$ is absolutely continuous.

3 Comparison of the minimum height

Let u(x, y) be the solution of (1) and let $u_0(x, y)$ be the solution of (1) without volume constraint. Besides of (1) we shall consider the following "comparison" problem

(15)
$$\int\limits_{D^*} G(|\nabla v|) \, dx \, dy + \frac{\kappa^*}{2} \int\limits_{D^*} v^2 \, dx \, dy - \cos \gamma \oint\limits_{\partial D^*} v \, ds \to \min,$$

where $v \in K^* = \{w \in BV(D^*)\,,\; w \geq 0\,,\; \int\limits_{D^*} w^*\,dx\,dy = V^*\}\,.$

Here $G' = \operatorname{tg}(t) = q_0(t)/t$. The corresponding Euler equation is (14) with the boundary condition

$$g(|\nabla u^*|)\partial u^*/\partial n = \cos \gamma$$
.

The solution of (15) will be denoted by u^* . Accordingly we write u_0^* for the solution of (15) with K^* replaced by $K_0^* = BV(D^*)$. In the sequel the * refers to quantities related to (15).

Theorem 3.1. The solutions of problems (1) and (15) without volume constraints satisfy $\alpha \geq \alpha^*$.

Proof. From (I) and (12) we infer

(16)
$$\kappa[V(a) - V^*(a)] \geq \frac{q_0(\sqrt{4\pi a}\tilde{u}_0'(a))}{\tilde{u}_0'(a)} - \frac{q_0(\sqrt{4\pi a}\tilde{u}_0^{*'}(a))}{\tilde{u}_0^{*'}(a)}.$$

Integrating (14) over D^* and taking into account the boundary conditions satisfied by the minimizer of (15) we find $V_0^* = L^* \cos \gamma/\kappa$. By (4) and the isoperimetric inequality $V_0 \geq V_0^*$. Put $\delta(a) = V(a) - V^*(a)$. By the previous observation $\delta(A) \geq 0$. From (16) it then follows that $\delta(a) \geq 0$ for all a. In fact, suppose that $\delta(a)$ takes a negative minimum at a_1 . Then $0 \leq \delta''(a_1) = \tilde{u}_0'(a_1) - \tilde{u}_0^{*'}(a_1)$. By (16) and the monotonicity of $q_0(t)/t$ (which follows immediately from the definition)

$$\kappa(V(a_1)-V^*(a_1))\geq 0$$

which is a contradiction to our assumption. Since $V(a) \ge V^*(a)$ and $V(0) = V^*(0) = 0$ the assertion is now obvious.

Remark 3.1. The solution of (15) without volume constraint never coincides with the corresponding one of (1) even if we choose for q_0 the function given in the second example. This follows immediately from the fact that t_0 is always smaller than the value for which $q(t)/t = \cos \gamma$. The inequality in Theorem 3.1 is therefore never isoperimetric.

COROLLARY 3.1. If $V^* - V_0^* \leq V - V_0$, then the solutions of (1) and (15) satisfy $\alpha \geq \alpha^*$. Proof. Let $V = V_0 + hA$ and $V^* = V_0^* + h^*A$. By our assumption, $h \geq h^*$. If $h \geq -\alpha_0 := \min u_0$, then $u = u_0 + h$ is the desired solution. If $h \leq -\alpha_0$, $\bar{u} = u_0 - \alpha_0$ is a super solution and by the comparison principle [5], $\min u = 0$. Consequently $\alpha = \min(\alpha_0 + h, 0)$ and $\alpha^* = \min(\alpha_0^* + h^*, 0)$. Since $\alpha_0^* + h^* \leq \alpha_0 + h$, the proof is completed.

COROLLARY 3.2. Assume $V \leq V^c(D)$ and $V^* - V_0^* \leq V - V_0$. Then $A_+ \geq A_+^*$.

Proof. Suppose that the $A_+ \leq A_+^*$. \tilde{u} satisfies the inequality (I) with (cf. (3)) $\lambda = \frac{\kappa}{A^+ \cos \gamma} (V_0 - V)$ and \tilde{u}^* satisfies (12) with $\lambda^* = \frac{\kappa}{A_+^* \cos \gamma} (V_0^* - V^*)$. By (4) and the isoperimetric inequality $V_0 \geq V_0^*$. Thus because of our assumption $V \geq V^*$. The same arguments as for the Theorem 3.1 apply because $\lambda < \lambda^*$. Hence $V(a) \geq V^*(a)$ in (0, A). By assumption $0 = \tilde{u}(a) = V'(a) < V^{*'}(a) = \tilde{u}^*(a)$ in $(A - A_+^*, A - A_+)$. Since $V(a) = V^*(a) = 0$ in $(0, A - A_+^*)$ this is impossible.

Observation 3.1. As already noted the level lines $\Gamma(\tilde{u})$ are closed for $\tilde{u} \in (\alpha, \min_{\partial D} u)$. According to a result of Payne and Philippin [7] the function

$$P = 2(1 - (1 + |
abla u|^2))^{-1/2} rac{\kappa u^2}{2}$$

takes its maximum at the points P on ∂D where $u(P) = \min_{\partial D} u(x, y)$. This together with Bernstein's result that $|\nabla u|^2$ takes its maximum on the boundary implies that

$$|
abla u|^2 \leq ctg^2\gamma \quad in \quad D(eta)\,, \quad eta = \min_{\partial D} u\,.$$

If $ctg\gamma \leq \sqrt{2}$, then (I) with $q_0(t)$ holds in (α, β) . If this suffices to establish Finn's conjecture at least for angles close to $\pi/2$ is still an open question.

4 Additional remarks

As we have seen before the estimate $\alpha \geq \alpha^*$ provides an upper bound for V^c in terms of κ , γ , L and A. We shall construct a lower bound by means of an upper solution.

The crucial tool is the following comparison lemma due to Concus & Finn (see [5]). If $\partial D = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1$, $\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_1 = \emptyset$ and \bar{u} , \underline{u} are two functions satisfying

$$\operatorname{div} T\underline{u} - \kappa\underline{u} \geq \operatorname{div} T\overline{u} - \kappa\overline{u}$$
 in D ,

 $\bar{u} \geq \underline{u} \text{ in } \Gamma_0, (n, T\bar{u}) \geq (n, T\underline{u}) \text{ in } \Gamma_1, \text{ then } \bar{u} \geq \underline{u} \text{ in } D.$

A suitable candidate for \bar{u} , already used by Finn [5], p. 113, and Gerhardt [6] is $\bar{u} = c - (R^2 - r^2)^{1/2}$ which satisfies

(17)
$$\operatorname{div} T \bar{u} = \frac{2}{R} \quad \text{for} \quad r < R \,, \quad (T \bar{u}, \, n) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad r = R \,.$$

If $c = \frac{2}{\kappa R}$, then \bar{u} satisfies the comparison principle for u_0 [5]. Consequently, if r_0 is the inradius of D,

(18)
$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\kappa r_0}.$$

This together with (5) implies

$$(19) V^c \geq \frac{L\cos\gamma}{\kappa} - \frac{2A}{\kappa r_0}.$$

 \bar{u} can also be used to localize the set D^+ in case of a small volume V. This idea has already been applied in [4] in the case of fixed λ and will be repeated for the sake of completeness.

Let $P \in D$ be a point such that $\operatorname{dist}(P, \partial D) = \rho$ and let B_{ρ} be the disk of radius ρ centered at P. Then the function $\bar{u} = \rho - (\rho^2 - r^2)^{1/2}$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{div} Tar{u} = rac{2}{
ho} \leq \kappaar{u} + rac{2}{
ho} \quad ext{in } B_
ho \, , \ (Tar{u}, \, n) = 1 \quad ext{on } \partial B_
ho \, .$$

It is therefore an upper solution for the solutions u of (2) with $\lambda \geq \frac{2}{\rho}$. This construction is independent of the particular position of P. Hence u = 0 on $\{P \in D : \text{dist}(P, \partial D) = \rho\}$. By the comparison lemma, $u \equiv 0$ in $\{P \in D : \text{dist}(P, \partial D) > \rho\}$. Hence

$$(20) D^+ \subset D_\rho \colon = \left\{P \in D \colon \operatorname{dist}\left(P,\,\partial D\right) < \rho\right\}.$$

In view of (3) we must have

(21)
$$\lambda = \frac{1}{A^+} (L\cos\gamma - \kappa V) \ge \frac{2}{\rho}.$$

Since $A^+ \leq A_{\rho} := \text{meas } D_{\rho}$, (21) holds for all V such that $\kappa V \leq L \cos \gamma - \frac{2A_{\rho}}{\rho}$. We have thus established the following result.

THEOREM 4.1. If $V \leq (L\cos\gamma - 2A_{\rho}/\rho)\kappa^{-1}$, then a free boundary occurs and $D^+ \subset D_{\rho}$. Remarks

- (1) Since $\lim_{\rho\to 0} A_{\rho}/\rho = L$, the assertion makes only sense for $\rho \geq \rho_0 > 0$.
- (2) Let $\beta = \max_{0 < \rho < r_0} (L \cos \gamma 2A_{\rho}/\rho) \kappa^{-1}$. Then $V^c \ge \beta$.

Acknowledgements This work was done when one of the authors (C. B.) was Visiting Professor of the University Complutense de Madrid. She would like to thank this University and also the European Science Foundation Program on "Mathematical Treatment of Free Boundary Problems" for their support. The research of the second author was partially supported by the DGICYT (Spain) under the project PB90/0620.

References

- C. Bandle, Isoperimetric Inequalities and their Applications, Pitman (1980)
- J.I. Diaz, J.E. Saa and U. Thiel, Sobre la ecuacion de curvatura media prescrita y otras ecuaciones cuasilineares elipticas con soluciones anulandose localmente, Rev. Unión Mat. Argentina 35(1990), 175-206
- J.I. Diaz, Desigualdades de tipo isoperimetrico para problemas de Plateau y capitaridad, Rev. Acad. Canar. Cienc. 3(1991), 127-166
- J.I. Diaz, Problemas estaticos con frontera libre en Mecanica de Medios Continuos, Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales, Madrid, 83(1989),119-122
- R. Finn, Equilibrium Capillary Surfaces, Springer (1986)
- C. Gerhardt, On the capillary problem with constant volume, Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa, 2(1975), 303-320
- L.E. Payne and G.A. Philippin, Some maximum principles for nonlinear elliptic equations in divergence form with applications to capillary surfaces and to surfaces of constant mean curvature. J. Nonlinear Analysis TMA 3(1979), 191-211
- G. Talenti, Nonlinear elliptic equations, rearrangements, of functions and Orlicz spaces, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. IV 120(1977), 159-184

C. B.
Mathematisches Institut
Universität Basel
Rheinsprung 21
CH-4051 BASEL (Switzerland)

J. I. D.
Departamento de Matematica
Aplicada
Universidad Complutense de
Madrid
E-28040 MADRID (Spain)