

On the formation of the free boundary for the obstacle and Stefan problems via an energy method

J.I. Diaz¹

The main goal of this communication is to extend the, so called, *energy method*, developed since the beginning of the eighties for the study of the free boundaries giving rise by the solutions of nonlinear pdes (see, e.g. the recent monograph [1] by S.N. Antontsev, J.I. Díaz and S.I. Shmarev to the case of multivalued equations, as it is the case, for instance, of *the obstacle problem* and *the Stefan problem*. Let us mention that the study of the qualitative behavior of the coincidence set for the obstacle problem was initiated in 1976 by Brezis and Friedman [3] (see also Tartar [10] and Evans and Knerr [6]) by using the maximum principle. Some general references on the Stefan problem are Friedman [7] and Meirmanov [8]. The energy methods are of special interest in the situations where the traditional methods based on the comparison principles fail. A typical example of such a situation is either a higher-order equation or a system of PDEs. Moreover, even when the comparison principle holds, it may be extremely difficult to construct suitable sub or super-solutions if, for instance, the equation under study contains transport terms and has either variable or unbounded coefficients or the right-hand side.

Here we shall deal with the formation of a free boundary for local solutions of the obstacle problem

$$\frac{\partial \psi(u)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}(x, t, u, D u) + B(x, t, u, D u) + C(x, t, u) + \beta(u) \ni f(x, t), \quad (1)$$

where $\beta(u)$ is the maximal monotone graph given by $\beta(u) = \{0\}$ if $u \leq 0$ and $\beta(u) = \emptyset$ (the empty set) if $u < 0$. The general structural assumptions we shall make are the following

$$|\mathbf{A}(x, t, r, \mathbf{q})| \leq C_1 |\mathbf{q}|^{p-1}, C_2 |\mathbf{q}|^p \leq \mathbf{A}(x, t, r, \mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{q}, \quad (2a)$$

$$|B(x, t, r, \mathbf{q})| \leq C_3 |r|^\alpha |\mathbf{q}|^\beta, \quad 0 \leq C(x, t, r) r, \quad (2b)$$

$$C_6 |r|^{\gamma+1} \leq G(r) \leq C_5 |r|^{\gamma+1}, \quad \text{where} \quad (2c)$$

$$G(r) = \psi(r) r - \int_0^r \psi(\tau) d\tau.$$

Here $C_1 - C_6$, p , α , β , σ , γ , k are positive constants which will be specified later on. We shall also consider the Stefan problem

$$\frac{\partial \psi(u)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}(x, t, u, Du) + B(x, t, u, Du) + C(x, t, u) \ni f(x, t), \quad (3)$$

where now $\psi(u)$ is the maximal monotone graph $\psi(u) = k_+u + L$ if $u > 0$, $\psi(u) = k_-u$ if $u < 0$, $\psi(0) = [0, L]$, with k_+, k_- and L positive constants. In both cases, we shall deal with weak solutions satisfying the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (4)$$

Let us start by considering the obstacle problem.

Definition. A function $u(x, t)$, with $\psi(u) \in C([0, T] : L^1_{loc}(\Omega))$, is called weak solution of problem (1), (4) if $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^{\gamma+1}(\Omega')) \cap L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega'))$, $\overline{\Omega'} \subset \Omega$, $\mathbf{A}(\cdot, \cdot, u, Du)$, $B(\cdot, \cdot, u, Du)$, $C(\cdot, \cdot, u) \in L^1(Q)$; $\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} G(u(\cdot, t)) = G(u_0)$ in $L^1(\Omega)$; $u(x, t) \geq 0$ and $c(x, t) \in \beta(u(t, x))$ a.e. $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega$ for some $c \in L^1((0, T) \times \Omega)$, and for every test function $\varphi \in L^\infty(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega))$,

$$\int_Q \{\psi(u)\varphi_t - \mathbf{A} \cdot D\varphi - B\varphi - C\varphi - c\varphi\} dx dt - \int_\Omega \psi(u)\varphi dx \Big|_{t=0}^{t=T} = - \int_Q f \varphi dx dt. \quad (5)$$

In contrast to considerations on the *finite speed of propagation* or the *uniform localization of the support*, we shall use some energy functions defined on domains of a special form. Let us introduce the following notation: given $x_0 \in \Omega$ and the nonnegative parameters ϑ and ν , we define the *energy set*

$$P(t) \equiv P(t; \vartheta, \nu) = \{(x, s) \in Q : |x - x_0| < \rho(s) \equiv \vartheta(s - t)^\nu, s \in (t, T)\}.$$

The shape of $P(t)$, *the local energy set*, is determined by the choice of the parameters ϑ and ν . Here we shall take $\vartheta > 0$, $0 < \nu < 1$ and so $P(t)$ becomes a paraboloid (other choices are relevant for the study of different properties: see [1]). We define the *local energy functions*

$$E(P) := \int_{P(t)} |Du(x, \tau)|^p dx d\tau, \quad C(P) := \int_{P(t)} |u(x, \tau)| dx d\tau$$

$$b(T) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in (t, T)} \int_{|x - x_0| < \vartheta(s - t)^\nu} |u(x, s)|^{\gamma+1} dx.$$

Although our results have a local nature (for instance, they are independent of the boundary conditions), we shall need some global information on *the global energy function*

$$D(u(\cdot, \cdot)) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in (0, T)} \int_\Omega |u(x, s)|^{\gamma+1} dx + \int_Q (|Du|^p + |u|) dx dt. \quad (6)$$

For the sake of the exposition, we shall assume the additional condition $\frac{p-1}{p} \leq \gamma \leq p-1$. Our main assumption deals with the forcing term: we assume that there exists $\Theta > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ such that

$$f(x, t) < -\Theta \text{ on } B_\rho(x_0) \subset \Omega, \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, T). \quad (7)$$

In the presence of the first order term, $B(\cdot, \cdot, u, Du)$, we shall need the extra conditions

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = \gamma - (1 + \gamma)\beta/p, \\ C_3 < \left(\Theta \frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{(p-\beta)/p} \left(C_2 \frac{p}{\beta}\right)^{\beta/p} \text{ if } 0 < \beta < p, \\ C_3 < \Theta \text{ if } \beta = 0 \text{ (respectively } \Theta < C_2 \text{ if } \beta = p). \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The next result shows how the multivalued term causes the formation of the null-set of the solution, even for positive initial data.

Theorem 1 *There exist some positive constants M , t^* , and $v \in (0, 1)$ such that any weak solution of problem (1), (4) with $D(u) \leq M$ satisfies that $u(x, t) \equiv 0$ in $P(t^* : 1, v)$.*

In the case of the Stefan problem a definition of weak solution can be given in similar terms but the integral identity reads now as follows:

$$\int_Q \{\psi_u \varphi_t - \mathbf{A} \cdot D\varphi - B\varphi - C\varphi\} dx dt - \int_\Omega \psi(u)\varphi dx \Big|_{t=0}^{t=T} = - \int_Q f \varphi dx dt, \quad (9)$$

for some $\psi_u \in L^1((0, T) \times \Omega)$, $\psi_u(x, t) \in \psi(u(t, x))$ a.e. $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega$. To simplify the exposition we shall assume now that \mathbf{A} and B are independent of u .

Theorem 2 *Assume that $f(x, t) < -\Theta$ (respect. $f(x, t) > \Theta$) on $B_\rho(x_0) \subset \Omega$, a.e. $t \in (0, T)$. Then there exist some positive constants M , t^* , and $v \in (0, 1)$ such that any weak solution of problem (3), (4) with $D(u) \leq M$ satisfies that $u(x, t) \leq 0$ (respect. $u(x, t) \geq 0$) in $P(t^* : 1, v)$.*

The proof of Theorem 1 consists of several parts: Step 1. *The integration-by-parts formula:*

$$\begin{aligned} i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4 &= \int_{P \cap \{t=T\}} G(u(x, t)) dx \\ &+ \int_P \mathbf{A} \cdot Du dx d\theta + \int_P B u dx d\theta + \left(\int_P C u dx d\theta - \int_P u f dx d\theta \right) \\ &\leq \int_{\partial_l P} n_x \cdot \mathbf{A} u d\Gamma d\theta + \int_{\partial_l P} n_\tau G(u(x, t)) d\Gamma d\theta \\ &+ \int_{P \cap \{t=0\}} G(u(x, t)) dx + := j_1 + j_2 + j_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_l P$ denotes the lateral boundary of P i.e. $\partial_l P = \{(x, s) : |x - x_0| = \vartheta(s - t)^\nu, s \in (t, T)\}$, $d\Gamma$ is the differential form on the hypersurface $\partial_l P \cap \{t = \text{const}\}$, n_x and n_τ are the components of the unit normal vector to $\partial_l P$. This inequality can be proved by taking the cutting function

$$\zeta(x, \theta) := \psi_\varepsilon(|x - x_0|, \theta) \xi_k(\theta) \frac{1}{h} \int_\theta^{\theta+h} T_m(u(x, s)) ds, \quad h > 0,$$

as test function, where T_m is the truncation at the level m ,

$$\xi_k(\theta) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta \in [t, T - \frac{1}{k}], \\ k(T - \theta) & \text{for } \theta \in [T - \frac{1}{k}, T], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise, } k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \quad \psi_\varepsilon(|x - x_0|, \theta) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d > \varepsilon, \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon}d & \text{if } d < \varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with $d = \text{dist}((x, \theta), \partial_t P(t))$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. So, $\text{supp} \zeta(x, \theta) \equiv P(t)$, $\zeta, \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \Omega)$ and $\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x_i} \in L^p((0, T) \times \Omega)$. Using the monotonicity of β and passing to the limits we get the inequality.

Step 2. *A differential inequality for some energy function.* We assume choice P such that it does not touch the initial plane $\{t = 0\}$ and $P \subset B_\rho(x_0) \times [0, T]$. Then $i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \leq j_1 + j_2$. In order to estimate j_1 , let us mention that $\mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{n}_x, \mathbf{n}_\tau) = \frac{1}{(\vartheta^2 v^2 + (\theta - t)^2 (1 - v))^2} ((\theta - t)^{1-v} \mathbf{e}_x - v \mathbf{e}_\tau)$ with $\mathbf{e}_x, \mathbf{e}_\tau$ orthogonal unit vectors to the hyperplane $t = 0$ and the axis t , respectively. Then, if we denotes by (ρ, ω) , $\rho \geq 0$ and $\omega \in \partial B_1$ the spherical coordinate system in \mathbb{R}^N , if $\Phi(\rho, \omega, \theta)$ is the spherical representation of a general function $F(x, t)$, we have

$$I(t) := \int_P F(x, \theta) dx d\theta \equiv \int_t^T d\theta \int_0^{\rho(\theta, t)} \rho^{N-1} d\rho \int_{\partial B_1} \Phi(\rho, \omega, \theta) |J| d\omega,$$

where J is the Jacobi matrix and $\rho(\theta, t) = \vartheta(\theta - t)^v$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dI(t)}{dt} &= - \int_0^{\rho(\theta, t)} \rho^{N-1} d\rho \int_{\partial B_1} \Phi(\rho, \omega, \theta) |J| d\omega \Big|_{\theta=t} \\ &\quad + \int_t^T \rho_t \rho^{N-1} d\theta \int_{\partial B_1} \Phi(\rho, \omega, t) |J| d\omega = \int_{\partial_t P} \rho_t F(x, \theta) d\Gamma d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Then, by Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial_t P} n_x \cdot \mathbf{A} u d\Gamma d\theta \right| &\leq M_2 \int_{\partial_t P} |n_x| |\nabla u|^{p-1} |u| d\Gamma d\theta \\ &\leq M_2 \left(\int_{\partial_t P} |\rho_t| |\nabla u|^p d\Gamma d\theta \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left(\int_{\partial_t P} \frac{|n_x|^p}{|\rho_t|^{p-1}} |u|^p d\Gamma d\theta \right)^{1/p} \\ &= M_2 \left(-\frac{dE}{dt} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left(\int_t^T \frac{|n_x|^p}{|\rho_t|^{p-1}} \left(\int_{\partial B_{\rho(\theta, t)}} |u|^p d\Gamma \right) d\theta \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

To estimate the right-hand side of (11) we use the interpolation inequality ([5]) : if $0 \leq \sigma \leq p - 1$, then there exists $L_0 > 0$ such that $\forall v \in W^{1,p}(B_\rho)$

$$\|v\|_{p, S_\rho} \leq L_0 \left(\|\nabla v\|_{p, B_\rho} + \rho^\delta \|v\|_{\sigma+1, B_\rho} \right)^{\tilde{\theta}} \cdot \left(\|v\|_{r, B_\rho} \right)^{1-\tilde{\theta}} \quad (12)$$

$r \in [1, 1 + \gamma]$, $\tilde{\theta} = \frac{pN-r(N-1)}{(N+1)p-Nr}$, $\delta = -\left(1 + \frac{p-1-\sigma}{p(1+\sigma)}N\right)$. In our case, we shall apply it to the limit case $\sigma = 0$. By Hölder's inequality

$$\left(\int_{B_\rho} |u|^r dx \right)^{1/r} \leq \left(\int_{B_\rho} |u| dx \right)^{1/qr} \cdot \left(\int_{B_\rho} |u|^{\gamma+1} dx \right)^{(q-1)/qr},$$

with $q = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-r+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial B_\rho} |u|^p d\Gamma &\leq L_0 \left(\int_{B_\rho} |\nabla u|^p + \rho^{\delta p} \left(\int_{B_\rho} |u| \right)^{p/2} \right)^{\tilde{\theta}} \times \left(\int_{B_\rho} |u|^r \right)^{p(1-\tilde{\theta})/r} \\
&\leq L_0 \rho^{\delta \tilde{\theta} p} \left(\int_{B_\rho} |\nabla u|^p + \int_{B_\rho} |u| \right)^{\tilde{\theta}} \times \left(\int_{B_\rho} |u| \right)^{p(1-\tilde{\theta})/qr} \left(\int_{B_\rho} |u|^{\gamma+1} \right)^{p(q-1)(1-\tilde{\theta})/qr} \\
&\leq K \rho^{\delta \tilde{\theta} p} (E_* + C_*)^{\tilde{\theta}} C_*^{(1-\tilde{\theta})p/qr} b^{(q-1)(1-\tilde{\theta})p/qr} \\
&\leq K \rho^{\delta \tilde{\theta} p} (E_* + C_*)^{\tilde{\theta} + (1-\tilde{\theta})p/qr} b^{(q-1)(1-\tilde{\theta})p/qr},
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $E_*(t, \rho) := \int_{B_\rho} |\nabla u|^p dx$, $C_*(t, \rho) := \int_{B_\rho} |u| dx$ and K is a suitable positive constant. Taking $r \in \left[\frac{p(\gamma+1)}{p+\gamma}, \gamma+1 \right]$ we get that $\mu = \tilde{\theta} + p \frac{1-\tilde{\theta}}{qr} < 1$. Applying once again Hölder's inequality with the exponent μ , we have from (13)

$$\begin{aligned}
|j_1| &\leq L \left(-\frac{dE}{dt} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \times \left(\int_t^T \frac{|\vec{n}_x|^p}{|\rho_t|^{p-1}} K \rho^{\delta \tilde{\theta} p} (E_* + C_*)^\mu b^{(q-1)(1-\tilde{\theta})p/qr} d\tau \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq L \left(-\frac{dE}{dt} \right)^{(p-1)/p} b^{(q-1)(1-\tilde{\theta})p/qr} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_t^T (E_* + C_*) d\tau \right)^{\frac{\mu}{p}} \left(\int_t^T \left(\frac{|\vec{n}_x|^p}{|\rho_t|^{p-1}} \rho^{\delta \tilde{\theta} p}(\tau) \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\mu}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1-\mu}{p}} \\
&\leq L \sigma(t) \left(-\frac{d(E+C)}{dt} \right)^{(p-1)/p} b^{(q-1)(1-\tilde{\theta})p/qr} (E+C)^{\frac{\tilde{\theta}}{p} + \frac{1-\tilde{\theta}}{qr}},
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for a suitable positive constant L . To obtain (14) we have assumed that

$$\sigma(t) := \left(\int_t^T \left(\frac{1}{|\rho_t|^{p-1}} \rho^{\delta \tilde{\theta} p}(\tau) \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\mu}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1-\mu}{p}} < \infty$$

which is fulfilled if we choose $\nu \in (0, 1)$ sufficiently small because the condition of convergence of the integral $\sigma(t)$ has the form $(1-\nu)(p-1) + \nu \delta \tilde{\theta} p > -(1-\tilde{\theta}) \left(1 - \frac{p}{qr} \right)$. So, we have obtained an estimate of the following type

$$|j_1| \leq L_1 \Lambda(t) D(u)^{(q-1)(1-\tilde{\theta})/qr-\lambda} (E+C+b)^{1-\omega+\lambda} \left(-\frac{d(E+C)}{dt} \right)^{(p-1)/p}, \tag{15}$$

where L_1 is a universal positive constant, $D(u)$ is the total energy of the solution under investigation, $\lambda \in [0, (q-1)(1-\tilde{\theta})/qr]$ and $\omega := 1 - \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{p} - \frac{1-\tilde{\theta}}{qr} \in \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}, 1\right)$. This allows us to choose λ so that $\frac{p(\omega-\lambda)}{p-1} \in (0, 1)$. Let us estimate j_2 . Using the expression for n_τ , we have $|j_2| \leq C_5 \int_{\partial_t P} |u|^{1+\gamma} d\Gamma d\theta$. We apply then the interpolation inequality (for the limit case $\sigma = 0$)

$$\|v\|_{\gamma+1, \partial B_\rho} \leq L_0 \left(\|\nabla v\|_{p, B_\rho} + \rho^\delta \|v\|_{\sigma+1, B_\rho} \right)^s \cdot \|v\|_{r, B_\rho}^{1-s} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,p}(B_\rho) \quad (16)$$

with a universal positive constant $L_0 > 0$ and exponents $s = \frac{(\gamma+1)N-r(N-1)}{(N+r)p-Nr} \frac{p}{\gamma+1}$, $r \in [1 + \sigma, 1 + \gamma]$. Again

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial B_\rho} |u|^{\gamma+1} dx &\leq L^{1+\gamma} K^{s(\gamma+1)/\tilde{\theta}p} \left(\int_{B_\rho} |\nabla u|^p dx + \int_{B_\rho} |u|^{\sigma+1} dx \right)^{s(\gamma+1)/p} \\ &\times \left[\left(\int_{B_\rho} |u|^{\sigma+1} dx \right)^{1/qr} \left(\int_{B_\rho} |u|^{\gamma+1} dx \right)^{(q-1)/qr} \right]^{(1-s)(\gamma+1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Here K is the same as before. Let $\eta = \frac{s(\gamma+1)}{p} + \frac{(1-s)(\gamma+1)}{qr} < 1$, $\pi = \frac{(q-1)(1-s)(\gamma+1)}{qr}$, $\eta + \pi \geq 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |j_2| &= \left| \int_t^T d\tau \int_{\partial B_{\rho(\tau)}} |u|^{\gamma+1} d\Gamma \right| \\ &\leq L (b(T))^\pi \left(\int_t^T K^{s(\gamma+1)/\tilde{\theta}p} (E_* + C_*)^\eta |n_\tau| d\tau \right) \\ &\leq L (E + C + b(T, \Omega)) (b(T, \Omega))^\kappa \left(\int_t^T \left(K^{s(\gamma+1)/\tilde{\theta}p} \right)^\varepsilon d\tau \right)^{1/\varepsilon}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

for some $L = L(C_5, L_0)$ and exponents $\kappa := \eta + \pi - 1$, $\varepsilon = 1/(1-\eta)$. Then, we have

$$C_5 \int_{P \cap \{t=T\}} |u|^{1+\gamma} dx + E + C\Theta \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3, \quad (19)$$

$$|i_4| \leq \varepsilon C_3 \frac{p-\beta}{p} C(\rho, t) + \frac{\beta C_3}{p C_2} \varepsilon^{-(p-\beta)/\beta} E(\rho, t), \quad (20)$$

$$K \left(\int_{P \cap \{t=T\}} |u|^{1+\gamma} dx + E + C \right) \leq i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4, \quad (21)$$

for different positive constants K . Now, assuming $T-t$ and $D(u)$ so small that

$$L (b(T, \Omega))^\kappa \left(\int_t^T \left(K^{s(\gamma+1)/\tilde{\theta}p} \right)^\varepsilon d\tau \right)^{1/\varepsilon} < \frac{K}{2},$$

- [2] Bernis, F. and Diaz, J.I., work in preparation.
- [3] Brezis, H. and Friedman A., Estimates on the support of solutions of parabolic variational inequalities, *Illinois J. Math.*, **20**, 1976, 82-97.
- [4] Calvo, N., Diaz, J.I., Durany, J., Schiavi, E. and Vazquez, C., On a doubly nonlinear parabolic obstacle problem modelling ice sheet dynamics. To appear.
- [5] Diaz J. I and Veron L., Local vanishing properties of solutions of elliptic and parabolic quasilinear equations. *Trans. American Mathematical Society*, **290**, 1985, 787-814.
- [6] Evans L. C., Knerr. B., Instantaneous shrinking of the support of nonnegative solutions to certain nonlinear parabolic equations and variational inequalities, *Illinois J. Math.* **23**, 1979, 153-166.
- [7] Friedman 1982 Friedman A., *Variational Inequalities and Free Boundary Problems*, Wiley-Interscience, New- York
- [8] Meirmanov, A.M., *The Stefan Problem*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [9] Nirenberg, L., An extended interpolation inequality, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **3**, 1966, 733-737
- [10] Tartar, L., Personal communication, 1976.

1 Departamento Matemática Aplicada. F. Matemáticas. Universidad Complutense de Madrid. Avda. Complutense. 28040 Madrid. Proyecto REN2000-0766.